1	
2	
3	JOINT COMMITTEE REDISTRICTING HEARING
4	May 25, 2021
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDED AUDIO PROCEEDINGS
10	received on May 28, 2021.
11	
12	Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions were unable to be transcribed. The transcript may also include misinterpreted words. The transcriber
13	was not present at the time of the recording; therefore, this transcript should not be considered
14	verbatim.
15	
16	
17	
18	AUDIO RECORDING WAS TRANSCRIBED BY: Carol A. Beckmann, CSR# 084-003383
19	Alaris Litigation Services 711 North Eleventh Street
20	St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 644-2191
21	(314) 044 2191
22	
23	
24	
25	

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

1	(Beginning of audio recording of Joint
2	Committee Redistricting Hearing, 5-25-21.)
3	THE CLERK: Bourne.
4	REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Burke.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We need to connect
7	so I can tell you all the shit, but it's
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it good shit or
9	bad shit?
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's life shit.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just shit.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Life shit. Oh.
13	THE CLERK: Burke.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is
15	interrupting (inaudible).
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I know, we need a
17	name.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We need a name.
19	We need a name.
20	REPRESENTATIVE JEHAN: Rep
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's fine.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Representative
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's not true.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Representative
25	Gordon Jehan (sic).

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

1		CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Representative
2		Can we can we try this again? Clerk,
3	please call th	e roll on the house end.
4		THE CLERK: (Inaudible.)
5		CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, please start
6	over.	
7		THE CLERK: Hernandez.
8		CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Here.
9		THE CLERK: Tarver.
10		REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Here.
11		THE CLERK: Butler.
12		REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: That was a great
13	way to start.	Butler is here.
14		THE CLERK: Bourne.
15		REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Here.
16		THE CLERK: Burke.
17		REPRESENTATIVE BURKE: Here.
18		THE CLERK: Gordon-Booth.
19		REPRESENTATIVE GORDON-BOOTH: Here.
20		THE CLERK: Hoffman.
21		REPRESENTATIVE HOFFMAN: Here, here,
22	here, here.	
23		THE CLERK: Mah.
24		REPRESENTATIVE MAH: Here.
25		THE CLERK: Severin.
L		

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're here, say 2 "yes," Dave. 3 SEVERIN: Yes, I'm here. 4 THE CLERK: Spain. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Spain is here. 6 THE CLERK: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We had ten 8 present, we have a quorum. 9 Before I proceed, I'd like to start with a short statement, but I will turn it over to the 10 11 senate. CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 13 The Senate Redistricting Committee will come to order. 14 Will the clerk please take roll? 15 THE CLERK: Senator Plummer. 16 SENATOR PLUMMER: Here. 17 THE CLERK: Senator McConchie. 18 SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Here. 19 THE CLERK: Senator McClure. 20 SENATOR MCCLURE: Here. 21 THE CLERK: Senator Barickman. 2.2 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Here. 23 THE CLERK: Senator Murphy. 24 SENATOR MURPHY: Here, here. 25 THE CLERK: Senator Jones. Senator

1 Hunter. 2 SENATOR HUNTER: Here. 3 THE CLERK: Senator Cunningham. 4 SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Here. 5 THE CLERK: Senator Castro. 6 SENATOR CASTRO: Here. 7 THE CLERK: Senator Bennett. 8 SENATOR BENNETT: Here. 9 THE CLERK: Senator Sims. SENATOR SIMS: Here. 10 11 THE CLERK: Chairman Aquino. 12 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Present. 13 There being a quorum established, Bostrom 14 seeks leave to videotape, seeing no objection, leave is 15 granted. 16 I'll pass over to Chair Hernandez. 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair 18 Aquino. 19 So I'd like to begin with a statement. Ι will ask the House Minority Leader if he has one as 20 21 well, and then I will turn it over to the Senate if 22 they have a statement as well. 23 Good evening. And thank you to everyone 2.4 who has taken time to share their thoughts with us today. As many of you know, the house and senate 25

1 redistricting committees undertook an extensive series of public hearings in April before any lines were 2 3 We have held a total of 46 hearings covering drawn. 4 every corner of Illinois. Virtual hearings offered 5 everyone the opportunity to participate from the 6 comfort of their own homes. For hearings that were 7 held in person, a hybrid option was offered where 8 available, or a subsequent virtual hearing was held to 9 cover the same region, ensuring that during this pandemic no one had to choose between participating in 10 11 the process and staying safe. 12 Our staff reached out to more than 2,000 13 community groups, local leaders, and stakeholders in 14 advance of these hearings. And those who attended, 15 submitted written testimony, or used our public drawing portal, provided valuable insight. Every form of 16 17 public submission was analyzed by staff. The 18 transcripts, written testimony, and public maps 19 submitted throughout this process are now available on 20 ilhousedems.com/redistricting and on ilga.gov. 21 On May 21st Democrats released full maps 22 of proposed House and Senate districts. These 23 districts are available to be viewed in a Google map 24 format at ilhousedems.com/redistricting and 25 ilsenateredistricting.com.

1 Among the many considerations that went 2 into shaping this proposal was the testimony heard at hearings and submitted through our website. On a 3 4 number of occasions comments from the public are 5 directly reflected in this draft, while not all requests could be accommodated, all were extremely 6 7 important and helpful. 8 Our request is being met right now. Many 9 witnesses asked for subsequent hearings after the release of a proposed map giving the public an 10 opportunity to comment and provide further insight. 11 As 12 was the case in the initials hearings, testimony 13 collected at these hearings and through the email will 14 be analyzed by staff and considered as the process moves forward. 15 16 After these hearings are concluded and 17 any resulting changes to the proposal are finalized, we plan to provide further information about the 18 19 population, demographics, and communities that comprise the final districts in the form of a descriptive 20 21 resolution, another request raised in our first round 22 of hearings. 23 Our goal today remains to hear from you. We want to hear your specific views on any social, 2.4 political, economic, or religious interest commonly 25

1	held in the community, any specific interest groups
2	that exist, any concerns you have regarding the current
3	legislative boundaries, or proposed changes, and
4	further suggestions for the drawing of new boundaries.
5	We invite people to continue submitting written
6	testimony by emailing
7	redistrictingcommittee@hds.ilga.gov. I want to thank
8	everyone once again for your time and for your
9	attention to this important process and we look forward
10	to hearing your thoughts.
11	And now I'll turn it
12	If you don't mind, Minority Butler, I
13	will turn it over first to the Senate and then we'll
14	come back, okay?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Madam Chair, real
16	quick, could I have a housekeeping question, though, if
17	you don't mind, before Senator Aquino starts?
18	First of all, do we have an agenda for
19	today?
20	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We are going to go
21	through the witnesses that are looking to be heard.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. The other
23	thing, obviously this hearing has gotten off to a rocky
24	start as a hybrid, as we saw. And as you testified,
25	the only thing we saw on the screen was Senator

1	Aquino's name, so I'm not sure what technology we need
2	to change, but it seemed that Senator Aquino's screen
3	was the only one that was being shared to not only
4	on our screens, but to the public as well, so can we
5	make sure that that's taken care of as we move so
6	right now I'm talking
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we
8	6UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: and all we see
9	is Senator Aquino.
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we noticed that
11	and we have contacted IT.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So can we get that
13	rectified?
14	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we're taking
15	care of that as we speak.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Chairman Aquino,
18	please continue.
19	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you.
20	Good afternoon, and welcome to the first
21	of several joint Senate and House Redistricting
22	Committee hearings we will hold this week to discuss
23	the proposed map of new legislative boundaries.
24	It is an honor to be here with our
25	colleagues from the other chamber, and we appreciate

1 all of the community organizations, grass-root groups, advocacy organizations, and individuals who are taking 2 3 the time to testify today. 4 Between our two redistricting committees we have held more than 45 public hearings across the 5 6 state and gather -- excuse me, across the state to 7 gather input on how to ensure our communities receive 8 fair and equal representation. The proposed map we 9 will discuss today is a fair map that incorporates 10 numerous suggestions gathered during those hearings. 11 For instance, for the first time Chicago's Chinatown 12 community will be kept whole and contained in one 13 senate and one house district. Danville will be 14 contained in one Senate and one House district, as will Carbondale, Galesburg, and the majority of 15 16 Bloomington-Normal. The City of Evanston will be in 17 one Senate district. And the Metro-East will be more consolidated. 18 19 Of course there is much more in this 20 proposal which is designed to comply with federal and 21 state law and insure the broad racial and geographic 22 diversity of Illinois is reflected in the general 23 assembly. We are here to gather additional feedback as 24 we remain dedicated so that people across Illinois have 25 a voice in this process. Making sure our community

1	have a say in this process is the reason why we must
2	put in place a map by the June 30th deadline laid out
3	in the Illinois Constitution. If we miss that
4	deadline, map making will be turned over to a group of
5	political appointees who have no obligation to listen
6	to the public whatsoever. That would be an ultimate
7	disservice to our communities. Again, I thank those
8	who are here to participate and I look forward to the
9	discussion.
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chairman
11	Aquino. I will now pass it over to Minority Leader Tim
12	Butler for a statement.
13	MINORITY LEADER BUTLER: Thank you, Madam
14	Chair. And it seems we continue to have Senator
15	Aquino's face on the screen.
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I I yeah, I
17	beg your patience here, we are trying to get to the
18	bottom of this.
19	MINORITY LEADER BUTLER: Well, I would
20	say that we're all in the same building, it might be
21	easier if we showed up in a room together so but I
22	will move forward.
23	You know, over the last couple of months
24	every hearing that we've had we've heard about
25	transparency from the Majority, the transparency that

1 they have undertaken in this process. Madam Chair, I spoke with you at 12:43 this afternoon about this very 2 3 hearing, and within 30 minutes, a map for the redoing 4 the Supreme Court districts had appeared on a blog. Ι 5 have asked repeatedly over the last two months if we 6 would be seeing -- when we would be seeing legislative 7 maps, when would we be seeing congressional maps, when 8 we -- if the Majority had planned to redistrict the 9 Supreme Court; I never once got a response to that. We had a discussion not less than a half hour before you 10 11 were quoted in a statement about the Supreme Court maps 12 being dropped, so I think this discussion of 13 transparency and engagement especially with the 14 minority party just goes straight out the window. 15 The hearings have been scheduled 16 throughout the spring at odd times and places distant 17 from each other, we had a hearing in Rock Island an 18 hour before a hearing in Chicago on the south side. We 19 had multiple hearings that were at the same time, and 20 virtually. And so I think the idea, yes, technology 21 has made it a tad easier maybe to engage the public, 22 but the engagement just has not been there. 23 And for the witnesses today, I truly 24 appreciate what you have done, often in difficult 25 circumstances, to get your point across and to get your

1	message out and to have your testimony. Many of you I
2	think testifying today have testified at previous
3	hearings, and I appreciate that you're coming forward
4	with your words, but as we've seen with the legislative
5	map that was rolled out in a PDF on Friday night at
6	7:30, that not much of what you said in these hearings
7	was taken into consideration, certainly when it comes
8	to transparency, certainly when it comes to having
9	adequate time after the map is drawn to have input.
10	We don't even have a bill yet. It's a
11	map, it's a PDF, it's a Google map. That's all we
12	have. We have nothing in bill form yet. And as for
13	the Majority statement that you listen to the public,
14	listen to the advocacy groups, this morning the
15	spokesman the spokesmen for the house speaker, when
16	quizzed on a live radio show about the stated
17	opposition of so many of the advocacy groups to the map
18	that was rolled out Friday night, he said, quote, Well,
19	look at their 990s. Look at their 990s. The inference
20	there for the spokesman of the speaker was that
21	advocacy groups were just front organizations for
22	someone else. I think that tells the advocacy groups a
23	lot of what they need to know with what the majority
24	thinks about their input.
25	The governor has advocated for a

1	commission. The governor refused our request to
2	testify at a hearing. The governor has said he's he
3	would veto maps drawn by politicians. Governor, these
4	maps are the worst example of politicians picking their
5	people drawn behind closed doors without public input.
6	Governor, you need to veto these maps.
7	Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Leader
9	Butler. I will offer, if there is any further
10	statements from Chair Omar Aquino?
11	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
12	I would just defer and see if spokesperson Barickman
13	and (inaudible) from the senate republicans had any
14	statement that he'd like to share before we go into
15	hearing from testimony from advocates and who we have
16	here today.
17	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Thank you,
18	Mr. Chairman, Madam Chair.
19	When we started these hearings back in
20	March, the residents of our great state were promised
21	transparency as they were encouraged to attend the
22	hearings, offer their thoughts on how best to redraw
23	the districts, and have their questions about the
24	process answered. The people of Illinois answered this
25	call. They rearranged their schedules on short notice

to participate in the hearings. They did their 1 2 research to present educated testimonies and asked thoughtful questions. They reached out to their 3 4 communities to get their input, and they kept their end 5 of the bargain. As it is with the people of Illinois, they had different opinions on different issues; 6 7 however, one request an overwhelming number of them 8 made of this committee was to wait for the real census 9 numbers to come out before drawing a map, and they had good reason for doing so, as witness after witness 10 testified their concerns with the use of inaccurate 11 12 data is that their community members would be 13 disenfranchised, that their votes would be diminished 14 in a government that requires equal representation, 15 that their groups who represent real people in this 16 state have at risk the very protections that are 17 supposed to be afforded to them under our United States Constitution, our Illinois Constitution, and the Voting 18 19 Rights Act, things that some members have given lip 20 service, but are designed to give legal protections to 21 voters to ensure that they are represented in their 22 government. 23 The only thing that the witnesses and the 24 general public have received throughout these hearings is evasive talking points from Democrats, deflection, 25

1	and repeated broken promises to provide answers that
2	have never come. It's been a month since the last
3	hearings were held, and in that time democratic
4	legislators went behind closed doors and drew their own
5	partisan districts. We all saw the news reports of
6	Democrats shuffling in and out of their mapping room
7	one by one to participate in the ultimate backroom
8	process of politicians drawing their own districts. If
9	that's not politicians picking their voters, I don't
10	know what is.
11	In the last couple of days, when citizens
12	were finally given the opportunity to see these drafted
13	maps, Democrats actively chose to withhold any real
14	details of their politician-drawn maps from the public.
15	They have not answered basic questions about their
16	choices or their process. What's the total population
17	of each district? What's the racial and ethnic makeup
18	of these districts? What communities of interest may
19	or may not have been preserved? What is the underlying
20	data that was used to draw these maps? To date, no one
21	knows the answers to these fundamental questions. When
22	they do choose to weigh in, it's only been with
23	excuses. They say they have no other choice but to
24	draw their map, but fail to mention there is
25	legislation that's been sitting in the Senate

1 Assignment Committee for months that would remove lawmakers from the process and altogether create an 2 3 independent commission, something that countless 4 Democrats have given lip service to, including our own 5 -- our very own governor. They say that they have a constitutional deadline, but fail to mention the 6 7 deadline must only be met for them to draw a partisan 8 map, instead of sending it to a bipartisan commission. 9 They say they have no choice but to conduct these committees virtually, but fail to mention 10 11 that the legislators who serve on the committee here 12 today are sitting in this very building, evidently in 13 their offices, rather than facing the public. Why? 14 Because over and over, and again and again, the 15 democratic majority continues to put their own 16 political interest before the interest of the people 17 they were chosen to represent. What's clear from all 18 of this is that Democrats were never sincere in wanting 19 an open and transparent process that provides all 20 Illinoisans the protections they deserve under our 21 Constitution and the Voting Rights Act. What is clear, 22 is that there is a better way to do this. They're 23 simply choosing their old way because it's the only way 24 they know they can get what they want. 25 They may act like this is a lost cause,

1 but there is certainly time to fix this process and provide the people of Illinois the real transparency, 2 3 the real public participation, and the real 4 representation that they deserve. We haven't even seen 5 a bill. We've only seen Google maps and drawings. We 6 don't know who the sponsor of the bill might be, but if 7 the majority Democrats are committed to ramming through 8 their own map, despite their constituents' objections, 9 there is one more option to save Illinoisans from this 10 Governor Pritzker could and should keep his process. 11 promise that he made as a candidate and veto these 12 politician-drawn maps. This is bigger than the 13 governor keeping his word. This is about showing the 14 people of Illinois, who have been so burdened with 15 corruption and wrongdoing from their legislators, that 16 the next ten years are going to be different. That 17 things are going to get better for them and not just for the politicians. That a promise as big as this 18 19 one, and the person who made it, can still be trusted. I quess we'll all know soon enough. 20 21 Thank you for that. And with that, I do 22 have a question for the chair -- one of the chairs, I'm 23 not sure who to direct it to, but there is a question 24 for the chairs as to who we are supposed to direct our 25 questions during this hearing? We have no legislation,

1	we don't have bill sponsor, so where does our question
2	go? Where do questions go? The same goes for the
3	witnesses who likely have the same question, where do
4	questions go in the absence of legislation and a bill
5	sponsor at a committee hearing like this?
6	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Senator Barickman, we
7	are here to listen to the testimony on the proposed map
8	that was released, from the witnesses that are here, so
9	there are going to be a number of witnesses that are
10	going to provide testimony on those proposed maps, and
11	questions can be directed towards them. That's what
12	we're here to do is to listen to the testimony of the
13	proposed map that was released last Friday.
14	SENATOR BARICKMAN: So the questions may
15	be directed to the witnesses but not to the majority
16	who's drawn the maps?
17	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: We're here to continue
18	to get community input, and this is a part of the
19	process of where there is the proposed maps right now,
20	and we are trying to take again, in our over 45
21	hearings that we have, this is an extension of a
22	continuation rather of that, of trying to get community
23	input, and so there is a proposed map out there and
24	we're trying to get that community input today, and so
25	we hope to get to that point soon so that we can hear

1	the testimony from those people that are waiting to
2	provide testimony on this map.
3	SENATOR BARICKMAN: A witness has asked
4	this question though of me which is they posed it in
5	the hypothetical, if they were to ask a question about
6	the data that was used in drawing the maps that have
7	now been made public, where might they direct that
8	question, what data was used? Should that be directed
9	to you, Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: If a witness wants to
11	ask a question while they provide testimony, at the end
12	of their testimony they may provide they may ask the
13	question then.
14	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Representative
15 16	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Representative Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening
	-
16	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening
16 17	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you
16 17 18	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the
16 17 18 19	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the witnesses.
16 17 18 19 20	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the witnesses. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the witnesses. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Aquino. So we are going to begin with the testimony of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the witnesses. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Aquino. So we are going to begin with the testimony of Dr. Allan Lichtman.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hernandez, I think we're done with the opening statements, so I'm going to pass the chair over to you to continue with starting the testimony from the witnesses. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Aquino. So we are going to begin with the testimony of Dr. Allan Lichtman. CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Dr. Lichtman, you're on

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you. Yes, sir.
2	DR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. So I'm Allan
3	Lichtman. And as I said, to quote the late great Yogi
4	Berra, this is a bit like déjà vu all over again. I am
5	once again retained as the voting rights redistricting
6	advisor to the house and the senate. Some of you may
7	remember me for speaking with this body ten years ago,
8	I don't know if any of you have a long enough tenure to
9	remember me speaking to this body 20 years ago. In
10	both instances I was also the expert in the successful
11	defense in court of the maps drawn by this august body.
12	I am Distinguished Professor of History
13	at American University in Washington, D.C. That's not
14	something I made up. That's a real title. It's a
15	university title. It's the highest academic title
16	above full professor. There are only a handful of us
17	out of many hundreds of faculty members in the
18	university.
19	I've been teaching at American
20	University, I hate to say it, for 48 years, after I
21	received my PhD from Harvard in 1973. Of course I was
22	9 at the time, but that's a whole other story.
23	I am the author of 12 coauthored or
24	authored original books, hundreds of popular and
25	scholarly articles. I'm humbled to say I've received a

1	number of honors for my academic work. I was Scholar
2	Teacher of the Year at American University, the highest
3	honor for a professor. My 2008 book, White Protestant
4	Nation, The Rise of The American Conservative Movement,
5	was a finalist, one of five, for all nonfiction books
6	published in the United States from the National Book
7	Critics Circle. My coauthored book with Richard
8	Breitman, FDR and the Jews, won the National Jewish
9	Book Award in American Jewish Studies, was a New York
10	Times recommended book, and a finalist for the Los
11	Angeles Book Prize for all books published in history.
12	I'm the recipient of the Marquis Lifetime
13	Achievement Award for the top persons in the Marquis'
14	Who's Who. I was listed by Rise Global as number 85 of
15	the world's 100 leading geopolitical experts. And I am
16	an elected member of the Literary Honor Society PEN
17	America, among other things.
18	I think there are four areas of my
19	scholarship that are directly relevant here, I'll
20	briefly describe them.
21	One, methodological works, it's on
22	quantitative applications in the social sciences and
23	historical methodology. This is grounded in two books,
24	my book Ecological Inference, which is not about the
25	environment but about mathematical methods for studying

1	groups like African Americans, Hispanics, Asians. And
2	my book Historians and the Living Past: The Theory and
3	Practice of Historical Study. And in numerous articles
4	in journals such as the Proceedings of the National
5	Academy of Sciences, Political Methodology, Social
6	Science History, Journal of Interdisciplinary History.
7	Second area would be the application of
8	quantitative and historical methods for the study of
9	American politics. This grounds almost all of my
10	substantive books, including White Protestant Nation,
11	which I described to you. My first historical book,
12	Prejudice and the Old Politics: The Presidential
13	Election of 1928. Running up to my very recent book,
14	The Embattled Vote in America: From the Founding to
15	the Present.
16	The third area is the application of
17	statistical, quantitative and historical methods to
18	voting rights analysis. This has been published of
19	course in my book on the embattled vote, and in
20	articles, in journals like the Journal of Legal
21	Studies, the Journal of Law and Politics, and
22	Evaluation Review.
23	And finally something I've become
24	somewhat notorious for, political prediction. My book,
25	Keys to the White House, predicting the next president,

1	has been in seven editions outlining my successful
2	method for predicting the results of presidential
3	elections. I've also published in the International
4	Journal of Forecasting, the International Journal of
5	Applied Forecasting, and twice keynoted the
6	International Forecasting Summit.
7	Enough about me.
8	But I bring some very good news to the
9	Illinois General Assembly, and that is in terms of
10	providing minority representation in the general
11	assembly, the State of Illinois is an exemplar, it's a
12	model for the nation. About a third, a little under a
13	third, of members of the state house and the state
14	senate are African Americans, Asian Americans, or
15	Hispanics. That's right in line with the minority
16	citizen voting age population in the state. By
17	contrast, a study by the National Conference of State
18	Legislatures found that nationally minority
19	representation of any kind lags far behind minority
20	citizen voting age population in the nation, lags
21	behind by a whopping 9 percentage points, as compared
22	to what Illinois has been able to achieve.
23	What underlies this is something I spoke
24	about a decade ago, and something I successfully
25	presented to the court in the 2011 litigation, and that

1 is the diminution of racially polarized voting in the 2 State of Illinois. 3 Now, certainly minorities have remained 4 cohesive behind candidates of their choice, which are typically minorities, but not always. There are 5 6 occasionally white candidates who in competition with 7 minorities are in fact the minority candidate of their 8 choice, but there is no question about overwhelming 9 minority cohesion. 10 The real story though in the State of 11 Illinois, as I presented to the court and as I'm going 12 to present to you now, is the fact that there is no 13 longer white/black voting that usually defeats the 14 candidate of choice of minority voters, except, you 15 know, in a very few overwhelmingly white districts and 16 white areas that really can't do anything about it. 17 I'm going to illustrate this to you, this substantial white voting for minority candidates of choice, with 18 19 publicly available polling data. I'm first going to 20 look at the three presidential polls, one -- that pose 21 a black versus a white candidate, one for a primary, 22 two for a general election. The beauty here is it 23 takes into account the entire state, not just the 24 Chicago area as I testified to in 2011, and it enables 25 us to compare white voting for the minority candidate

in Illinois with white voting for the minority
 candidate nationwide.

3 We can first look at the 2008 primary 4 between Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton. In both 5 cases, both for the state and the nation, black voters 6 strongly united behind Barack Obama, but even more so 7 by about 11 points in Illinois. But here is the 8 extraordinary difference, in the nation the white vote 9 for Obama was 39 percent, in the State of Illinois, the white vote for Obama was a majority 57 percent, 18 10 11 percentage points higher, almost 50 percent higher, 12 than the white vote for Obama nationwide. So Obama was able to achieve a majority both of the black vote and 13 14 of the white vote here in Illinois sharply contrasting 15 with the nation.

16 Then we have the 2008 general election 17 between Obama and McCain. And nationally 43 percent of white voters voted for Obama, and again black voters 18 19 overwhelmingly, both in Illinois and the nation, united 20 behind Obama. But in Illinois again Obama received a 21 majority 51 percent, substantially higher than the nation. And we had a similar result in the 2012 22 23 presidential election between Obama and Mitt Romney 24 with nationwide 41 percent of white voters for Obama 25 but 50 percent in the State of Illinois.

1	We also had have a statewide election for
2	which there is an exit poll, Tammy Duckworth versus
3	Kirk in the 2016 U.S. senate election, and Duckworth
4	got a very substantial 41 of the vote from white voters
5	overwhelmingly winning the black vote, and then that
6	enabled us her to prevail in a state that is about
7	two-thirds white nonHispanic in its citizen voting age
8	population.
9	We also have some interesting polling
10	from the mayoral elections. In the city of Chicago, we
11	have a poll for that massive 2019 election, you know,
12	with more than a dozen candidates, not an exit poll,
13	but it's a poll taken right before the election, which
14	took into account early voters and likely voters and
15	its results were pretty much spot on. And again, we
16	see considerable white crossover. In fact, the two
17	leading candidates among whites were the African
18	American candidate, Lightfoot, who went on to the
19	runoff, and the white candidate, Dailey. They finished
20	with an equal percentage, an overall 60 percent of
21	white voters in that first round 2019 mayoral election
22	voted for a black or an Hispanic candidate. A majority
23	voted for nonwhite candidates.
24	Then we did have an exit poll for the
25	runoff in 2015, this time you had a white, Emanuel,

1	against an Hispanic, Garcia, and Emanuel wins with a
2	pretty remarkable interracial coalition. He wins 66
3	percent of the white vote, 58 percent of the black
4	vote, and although Garcia gets a majority of the
5	Hispanic vote, Emanuel still gets 32 percent.
6	That's why overwhelmingly under the
7	current plan, in both the house and the senate, at
8	about a 90 percent success level, Hispanic and black
9	candidates are able to prevail in districts, not that
10	are single race majority, and I talked about this in my
11	previous testimony. A state drawing a plan does not
12	have to create single race majority districts. It just
13	has to create districts that work. And if you can do
14	it at a lower level you create more districts, which is
15	exactly what the plan did.
16	Anything in the citizen voting age
17	population over 40 percent in the house and the senate,
18	blacks and Hispanics overwhelmingly have won those
19	districts, along with one white candidate who was in a
20	contest with an Hispanic, the candidate of choice of
21	Hispanics. There also have been black and Hispanic
22	candidates elected at much lower levels of single race
23	minority citizen voting age population. And we have
24	about five Asian Americans elected in the house and
25	senate. Not one of them from a district that is above

1 26 percent Asian in citizen voting age population. 2 These candidates have been able to put 3 together winning interracial coalitions, even in 4 districts that are far short of single race Asian citizen voting age population. This all expands upon 5 6 the testimony I gave a decade ago, and the testimony I 7 gave to the court in 2011. 8 And I want to comment on one other very 9 important issue, and that is the use of American 10 Community Survey data, which I understand is the data 11 that was used to create this plan. Although let me say 12 I had nothing to do with the creation of the plan, like 13 everyone else I haven't seen any numbers out of the 14 plan, I've simply conveyed through staff and attorneys 15 the same things, the same principles and findings, that 16 I'm giving to you today. And these of course are my 17 own personal opinions. They're not the official 18 opinions of anyone else, and they are an opinion of an 19 historian, social scientist, voting rights expert; not 20 the opinions of an attorney. 21 So if we assume that American Community 22 Survey data was used, that is, and I advised staff and 23 attorneys on that, the best available data, because we 24 don't have the census data. That is not due to any 25 fault of anyone in Illinois, that is due to deep

1 problems in the federal government with the conduct of the census, problems that we have never witnessed 2 3 before in the modern history of the taking of the 4 census, but I'm not going to get into that any further. 5 There is no requirement under 6 law nationally, there is no requirement under law of 7 the Constitution in Illinois, that only decennial U.S. 8 census data can be used for redistricting, in fact, the 9 majority of states, I repeat that, according to the national conference of state legislators, do not 10 11 explicitly require that you must use only decennial 12 census data for internal redistricting purposes, 13 particularly of course when such data is not available 14 or delayed. In fact, some states are quite explicit in 15 saying if data on the decennial census is not available 16 or delayed, then the instruction is to use other 17 sources of data for redistricting. 18 I have direct personal experience with 19 the use of alternative data for redistricting. I was 20 the expert for the Ronald Reagan Department of Justice 21 in the landmark case of Garza v. The County of Los 22 Angeles that established back in 1990 the first Latino 23 opportunity district in Los Angeles County. Now, that 24 may sound like not much, but it's a big deal. Even at 25 that time Los Angeles County was almost 8,000,000

1 persons, and this was one of the most powerful positions one could have to be on the county council of 2 3 Los Angeles County. 4 Now, we didn't have, of course, at that 5 time, the 1990 census data. ACS was not yet 6 established, so we used -- enter into the best 7 available data, and that was population estimates and 8 projections from the University of California Los 9 Angeles, and it worked out perfectly well. The district we created, as expected, indeed performed for 10 11 Hispanic voters who elected Gloria Molina as their 12 candidate of choice, the first Hispanic person to serve 13 on the Los Angeles County Council. 14 Social scientists like myself, state 15 administrators, and leaders, use American Community 16 Survey data all of the time. We use it to make 17 assessments of districts to analyze the effectiveness 18 of districts. It's the only source of data for the 19 most pertinent of information for electoral and 20 district analysis, citizen voting age population, as 21 you all know, from the (inaudible) over the 2020 22 census, there is no citizenship question on the long 23 form census, so we have to rely on the ACS. And it's not, by the way, a little sample. It's not a tiny 24 25 sample. It's 3.5 million across the nation. That

1	equals approximately 140,000 per year in Illinois, and
2	taken over the five-year period for which it's often
3	reported, that's 700,000 persons in the State of
4	Illinois. I know there is some concern that while the
5	total estimate from the ACS is only three-tenths of one
6	percent off from what the census recently issued for
7	the State of Illinois as a whole, and that's really
8	good news, that's a very, very minimal and
9	inconsequential difference. And remember, for drawing
10	state legislative districts you have a 10 percent
11	deviation to work with. I'm not saying you should push
12	out the 10 percent, but it you know, the district
13	doesn't have to be on the penny in terms of population.
14	Now, I know there is some worry about the
15	fact at the county level there may be much larger
16	deviations. And I have in my hand a memo, 4/30/2021,
17	from various organizations, including MALDEF, the
18	Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund, that
19	by the way was a plaintiff in that Garza case that drew
20	a district for minorities and a districting plan on
21	data other than the decennial census. And this memo
22	warns about county total populations varying from 36.6
23	percent to 51.5 percent, plus and minus.
24	Now I have to say I was a little alarmed
25	when I saw that. And the truth is, if I found

Pag	е	33

1	deviation remember, this has nothing to do with
2	Illinois. I don't know where this data came from but
3	it's not specific to Illinois which is all that matters
4	for our purposes. So I'm thinking, yeah, if I saw
5	deviations of that magnitude in more than one or two
6	isolated cases, then I might be worried about the use
7	of ACS data in Illinois. In fact, I looked at every
8	single county in Illinois, and did the same thing they
9	did, compared the 2005 to 2009 ACS and the 2010
10	decennial census, to see if we get anything like the
11	magnitude of deviation that they're talking about. And
12	in fact it couldn't be more different in Illinois.
13	There is nothing like that here.
14	The median deviation is 1.8 percent; the
15	mean deviation is 2.3 percent; 58 percent of the
16	counties have a deviation of under 2 percent; 76
17	percent under 3 percent; 88 percent under 4 percent;
18	only one county in the state is over 9 percent; and
19	it's not at 37 or 51. It's Kendall County, which is at
20	18 percent. And there is a very good explanation as to
21	why that happened and won't happen again. Kendall
22	County was unique in the 2000 to 2010 period. It was
23	the fastest-growing county, not only in Illinois, but
24	the fastest-growing county in America. It more than
25	doubled its population during that period. Its rate of

1 growth was more than triple that of the second fastest growing county in Illinois. 2 3 As you all know, in the period we're 4 dealing with now from 2010 to 2020, our growth has 5 grinded to a halt in Illinois. In the previous decade 6 it was over 4 percent, over 400,000 new residents. Now 7 it's pretty much the same, dropped -- even dropped by a 8 little bit. And Kendall County, based on the recently 9 released census of population estimates, which is not 10 the same as the ACS, is still the fastest-growing 11 county in Illinois, but nowhere near the nation. It's 12 only growing at about 14 percent compared to 110 13 percent. So we just had one anomaly last time, and we 14 wouldn't even expect that anomaly to emerge this time. 15 And of course the census ACS was new, it was just instituted in 2005, and so you would expect, you know, 16 17 there would be some growing pains and some glitches 18 that certainly would have been worked out by the time 19 we're dealing with 10 to 15 years later. We would 20 certainly expect improvement. 21 I also looked at whether there was some 22 concern about undercounting in small rural counties. Ι 23 looked at I think there were 14 100 percent rural counties, very close between the 2010 census and the 24 25 In fact, the ACS slightly over-counted. ACS.

1 In terms of minority representation, I 2 drilled down into voting age, which is a better 3 assessment of districts than total population. You 4 don't have CVAP of course from the census, and I found 5 that for Hispanics, African Americans, and Asians, the differences between the 2010 census and the ACS were 6 7 miniscule, ranging from about one percent to one-tenth 8 of one percent, and in different directions. And again 9 as de minimus as that was, we would expect probably 10 even less this time as, you know, there has been a lot 11 more experience with the ACS, and Illinois is a much 12 more stable state over the last decade than it was during the previous decade. 13 14 So these are the insights that I've 15 shared with the staff and attorneys and now the 16 insights that I'm sharing with this distinguished body 17 and all members of the public. And if anyone has questions, I'd be happy to respond. Don't ask me about 18 19 the opera and we'll be just very happy. 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Lichtman, thank 21 you very much for that presentation, a lot of 22 information there. 23 What I'm going to ask is if we can go ahead with a few questions, we do quite have a list, 24 25 but I feel that instead of waiting until the end maybe

```
there are some questions to ask, would that be okay?
 1
 2
                    DR. LICHTMAN: I'd much prefer to finish,
 3
     yeah.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
 5
     Representative Butler?
 6
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Madam
 7
     Chair.
 8
                    Just first of all a housekeeping. I know
 9
     in our other hearings we had a court reporter at all of
     our hearings. Do we have a court reporter for this
10
11
    hearing?
12
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm being told that
13
     the transcripts will be -- they would be handled with
14
    what technology we have right now.
15
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Well, the
16
     technology seems to be working fine tonight.
17
                    DR. LICHTMAN: (Laughing.) I hear you
     just fine, Representative Butler.
18
19
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Dr. Lichtman, I
20
     don't have a lot of questions other than thank you for
21
     your curriculum vitae earlier. Have you filled out a
    witness slip? I'm looking online here to see if you've
22
23
     registered --
24
                    DR. LICHTMAN: I haven't.
25
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: -- as a witness?
```

1 You have not? 2 DR. LICHTMAN: No. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. Would you 4 please fill out a witness slip? I'm sure the staff can walk you through that. And who are -- since you don't 5 6 have a witness slip, again, who are you here 7 representing today? 8 DR. LICHTMAN: I have been hired by the 9 house and senate --10 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: By -- okay. I 11 think -- I think Representative --12 DR. LICHTMAN: -- just as I have been in 13 the past --14 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I think 15 Representative Spain has a couple of questions as well. 16 DR. LICHTMAN: -- this is my third 17 redistricting go around as I've explained. But my opinions are my own. They're not officially sanctioned 18 19 by anyone else. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, Madam 21 Chairman. 22 Dr. Lichtman, thank you for your 23 testimony. 24 I just want to follow up on a few issues 25 that you have mentioned.

1	DR. LICHTMAN: Certainly.
2	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: You talked about
3	the use of ACS data, and in fact a lot of your
4	testimony examined some of the issues and
5	considerations when using ACS data. The maps that
6	we're reviewing now and receiving public testimony on,
7	have they been constructed using the ACS data?
8	DR. LICHTMAN: You'll have to ask the
9	map. I recommended that. Now it doesn't mean they
10	necessarily followed my recommendations. I would
11	expect they would, but I have nothing to do with the
12	drawing of the maps, so I can't with confidence answer
13	that question.
14	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So you have no
15	knowledge what the data source used to create these
16	maps actually would be even though you've just given us
17	and delivered a defense of ACS data?
18	DR. LICHTMAN: I presume that's what they
19	used because that's what I recommended, but, you know,
20	they've typically followed my recommendations, but who
21	knows.
22	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: And I ask the
23	question because it's a question that we've asked at
24	each and every hearing on redistricting that has been
25	conducted in this state over the last many weeks, what

1	is the data? Is it ACS data? Tell us. Is it
2	something else? Is it a proprietary blend that you've
3	created? We would like to know what the data is. And
4	that's why, I apologize for the frustration, Doctor,
5	but I'm asking you with earnest hope that you could
6	say, "yes, ACS data has been used for this map"
7	DR. LICHTMAN: I wish I could help you
8	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: because we
9	don't know.
10	DR. LICHTMAN: and I would, but I had
11	nothing do with the drafting of the plan. As I said I
12	made a recommendation. I would anticipate it would be
13	followed, but since I was not privy to the drawing of
14	the plan, I can't help you any more than that. And I'm
15	you know, I'm trying to help you as much as I can.
16	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: No, I appreciate
17	that. Now, you mentioned that you have been retained
18	by the house and by the senate, and I'm a member of the
19	house. This is my first opportunity to meet you, so
20	it's lovely to meet you, but
21	DR. LICHTMAN: You're saying you weren't
22	here ten years ago?
23	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: I was not here ten
24	years ago. I was involved in local government at the
25	time. But have you been retained by the Illinois

1 General Assembly, by the entire house and senate, or have you been retained by one of the partisan caucus 2 arms of the house and senate? 3 4 DR. LICHTMAN: I don't know if I could 5 answer that. I mean, I got a contract from the house 6 and the senate, I don't think it was just a part of the 7 house and the senate, but you have to -- you can ask 8 them. I mean, it's public record. 9 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Madam Chair, I'd 10 like to know --11 So let me ask you this, Dr. Lichtman, you're not a volunteer then, you are a paid consultant? 12 13 DR. LICHTMAN: Correct; just as I was in 14 2000 and 2010. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Did you work in 16 2000 --17 DR. LICHTMAN: I have (inaudible) I did 18 then. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: In 2000 and 2010, 20 were you retained by Speaker Mike Madigan in those 21 instances? 22 DR. LICHTMAN: I'm not sure. It's 23 possible. I don't really recall. It doesn't matter to 24 me. I'd give the same testimony, the same analysis, no 25 matter who I am retained by. Otherwise I would not

1	have been an expert in over 100 voting rights and civil
2	rights cases, retained by expert excuse me, by
3	defendants, plaintiffs, Republicans, Democrats,
4	Department of Justice, civil rights groups, you name
5	it. So I just go with the facts and the analyses and
6	it doesn't matter to me, you know, who ultimately sits
7	upstairs.
8	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. Madam
9	Chair, this individual has not been retained by the
10	house republican caucus or the senate republican
11	caucus. Has this witness been retained and paid by the
12	house democratic caucus, or the senate democratic
13	caucus, or both?
14	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm going to have to
15	ask and take a look at the contract, so I'll have to
16	get back to you.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: That's the same
18	answer that we've heard for weeks, again and again, and
19	guess what, we never get the answer. You never get
20	back to us. So we're about 30 minutes into the first
21	redistricting meeting that will govern the State of
22	Illinois for the next ten years, and we've had a paid
23	witness using the first half hour of our time in a
24	proceeding that is supposed to be to gather input from
25	the public. I hope we will have a chance to do so as

```
we move forward. Thank you.
 1
 2
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, Representative,
 3
     I just got word back that, yes, he was -- to clarify
 4
     things that it -- he was contracted by the house and
 5
     senate caucuses.
 6
                    And the answer to the ACS data, yes, we
 7
     did use ACS data. I believe that was in the press
 8
     release.
 9
                    REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN:
                                           Thank you.
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm going to turn it
10
11
     over to the senate if there is any questions, Chair
12
     Aquino? Otherwise we'll go to the next witness.
13
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
14
     I don't see any hands up on this -- on the virtual
    platform, so I would say if we could move towards the
15
16
    next witness.
17
                    DR. LICHTMAN: Thank you very much.
18
                    SENATOR MCCONCHIE: So, wait, wait. So,
19
    Madam Chair, I don't --
20
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Oh.
21
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry, there is
     further questions, so --
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: My apologies.
24
                    SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Due to the fact that
     I'm down here --
25
```

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

1	DR. LICHTMAN: I'm still here.
2	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: I have no ability
3	to raise my hand, we use the technology that's here.
4	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader McConchie.
5	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Thank you, Madam
6	Chair.
7	So, Doctor, I appreciate your willingness
8	to stick around just a bit further.
9	DR. LICHTMAN: Sure, no problem.
10	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: You did not make any
11	determination as to whether these particular districts
12	drawn will effectively elect minority candidates; is
13	that accurate?
14	DR. LICHTMAN: I haven't seen the numbers
15	on the districts, but I did advise staff and the
16	attorneys, as I did before, that if the map is
17	reasonably close to the district percentages of the
18	previous map, then it would certainly be at least
19	adequate and more for providing minority
20	representation, but I haven't seen the numbers for the
21	new map.
22	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: So isn't it necessary
23	to see those numbers to verify that what you're what
24	you believe to be the case with this map is accurate
25	and real?

1	DR. LICHTMAN: I'm not sure I understand
2	your question. If in fact the numbers are close to
3	what the numbers were last time, then the map is
4	accurate and real. And when the numbers come out, I'll
5	certainly look at that, and, you know, if there is
6	litigation, that's going to be a question for
7	litigation, as it was in 2001, and 2011. I'm going to
8	be totally honest with you, I'm not naïve enough to
9	think there won't be litigation again this time.
10	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Madam Chair, is there
11	additional detail? We don't have a bill. All we have
12	is a Google map. Is there additional detail in regards
13	to the type of districts, the numbers, anything in
14	regards to this? You know, we have a very
15	distinguished witness here who is you know, believes
16	that, you know, he's hopeful that this map is going to
17	check certain boxes that need to be checked, but we
18	doesn't have the data himself or is that data going
19	to become public at some point? And if so, when?
20	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, Senator, I just
21	want to remind you, and everybody else, that it's a
22	draft. We are today taking a look at something that
23	was put together. We are looking it over, and we are
24	collecting further information, so
25	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: So how can we

1	appropriately measure the draft without numbers?
2	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That would be
3	upcoming.
4	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: When?
5	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right now it's just
6	a matter of getting feedback because that is going to
7	take be taken into consideration whatever is said
8	today.
9	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: We're looking at
10	shapes on a map that doesn't give us the level of
11	detail necessary to ensure that these concerns that
12	have obviously been addressed or raised in the past,
13	and here that the witness brought forward, I mean,
14	there is no measurement. There is no ability to
15	measure without the data, without other than just,
16	you know, what we just have, the shapes on a map. I'm
17	very concerned about the fact that you're asking for
18	feedback on something that is incomplete even you
19	know, I have the feeling that our witnesses are likely
20	to testify that they're unsure. I don't want to put
21	words in their mouth, but I mean, this seems you
22	know, there is all of the for all of the talk of a
23	transparent process, this seems to be counterproductive
24	to that process, but I'll I guess we'll keep going.
25	Thank you.

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Senator.
 2
                    So, Dr. Lichtman, I'm going to ask if you
 3
    would --
 4
                    Oh, there is another question, I'm so
 5
     sorry, Senator --
 6
                    SENATOR BARICKMAN: No problem.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: -- Barickman. I
8
     hope I said it right.
 9
                    SENATOR BARICKMAN: Yep, thanks, Madam
     Chair.
10
                    I think we just wants to clarify the
11
12
     answer given by Dr. Lichtman as to whom has hired him.
13
     You were asked that question; your response was the
14
    house and senate caucuses. Did you mean the house
15
    democratic caucus and the senate democratic caucus?
16
                    DR. LICHTMAN: That's not directed to me,
17
     I mean, I didn't say that.
18
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct.
19
                    SENATOR BARICKMAN: That's correct to me?
20
     To my question? Yeah?
21
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
2.2
                    SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. Thank you.
23
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Madam Chair, you
2.4
    said there was a --
25
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader Butler.
```

Okay. REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: You said there was a draft, you used the word draft --CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The map is a draft. REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So you don't have a draft of legislation? CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not that I know of. REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Lichtman, I'm going to ask if you be willing to stay longer as we go through some of the witnesses in case there is further questions. DR. LICHTMAN: Of course. Just let me know when you don't need me any more. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure. So next on the list I have Madeleine Doubek, from CHANGE Illinois, if she's with us. MS. DOUBEK: I am. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Proceed. MS. DOUBEK: Thank you, Chair Hernandez, Chair Aquino, and committee members, for allowing me the opportunity to provide testimony this evening. My name is Madeleine Doubek, and I'm the executive director of CHANGE Illinois and the CHANGE Illinois Action Fund. Both are nonpartisan nonprofits

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that educate, engage, and advocate for ethics and efficiency in government and elections. CHANGE 2 3 Illinois is a coalition of more than 30 organizations 4 that have a history of advocating for an independent, 5 transparent redistricting process. 6 The maps unveiled Friday night are built 7 on old, flawed data that never was meant to be used for 8 redistricting. We and many other organizations and 9 community members have said this repeatedly. The 10 American Community Survey five-year estimates 11 undercount Illinoisans by tens of thousands. Erasing 12 these people from our maps is the equivalent of erasing 13 cities like Oak Park, Rock Island, Quincy, or Buffalo 14 The ACS data misses 41,877 people. That's more Grove. 15 than one-third of a house district. These are real 16 people whose representation matters. The ACS sampling collection did not have the benefit of the historic 17 18 people-powered effort and state funding that the census 19 did to ensure communities were counted, especially 20 people in black communities. Our state spent millions 21 of tax dollars and enlisted the help of hundreds of 22 community partners for this very reason. The ACS 23 collection process lacks the trusted community 24 partnerships and engagement with civil rights 25 organizations that are needed to ensure an accurate

1	count in historically disenfranchised communities. Our
2	state's fastest-growing populations, Asian American and
3	Latino communities, as well as its largest growing
4	counties, Kendall, Kane, Champaign, and Monroe, also
5	are highly likely to have been undercounted in the ACS
6	sampling.
7	Is this committee willing to risk
8	disenfranchising all of these communities of color and
9	others by proceedings with adopting new districts
10	without complete census data? How are we doing right
11	by the people of Illinois when we take the entire
12	map-drawing process out of their hands? Proceeding
13	with this process without the data from the census
14	presents a fundamental foundational issue. We again
15	urge you to step back from the brink and seek court
16	approval to set aside deadlines to wait for the full
17	census data. That's what leaders in California and
18	Oregon have done. Michigan is seeking similar
19	permission to set aside deadlines. Lawmakers in Maine
20	have said they intend to do the same. Even Oklahoma,
21	which already passed maps using the sampling data, and
22	not the full census, has committed to returning to
23	revised maps once the census data arrives in mid
24	August.
25	At about 7:30 p.m. last Friday, committee

1 members decided to unveil the long-awaited legislative maps, rather than providing detailed maps that could be 2 3 analyzed by community members so they could provide 4 meaningful feedback at this week's hearings. The 5 people of Illinois were left with PDFs with very few 6 details or explanations that can be used to understand 7 the effects the new maps would have on their 8 communities. We don't know how many majority/minority 9 districts these maps create, what is the demographic 10 data used to draw the maps, what is the voting age 11 population in each district, what are the exact 12 boundaries? We had none of that. Instead lawmakers 13 are forcing the people of this state to rely on 14 quesswork to determine the ramifications of your 15 decisions on their communities for the next decade. 16 A press release issued by the 17 redistricting committees claims that the maps had the 18 federal and state Voting Rights Acts top of mind, yet 19 the release stopped short of guaranteeing these maps 20 actually fulfilled that promise required by law, nor 21 did it include the necessary data to demonstrate 22 compliance with federal and state Voting Rights Acts. 23 This again is in spite of the fact that many who came 24 to these hearings asked these committees to release a 25 detailed compliance report with the map proposals. The

1	press release mentioned these hearings were being held
2	for the purpose of giving the public a chance to
3	provide feedback on the proposals, yet the
4	redistricting websites and ilga.gov had no list of the
5	hearings giving people a chance to register to testify
6	until yesterday afternoon, again, after months and
7	months of numerous groups pleading with committee
8	members to provide two weeks' notice for these
9	hearings, that minimal courtesy was not offered.
10	Over the course of two months, we saw
11	very few people attending the initial hearings because
12	of the failure of lawmakers to meaningfully engage and
13	involve the public. Instead of recognizing this and
14	working to correct it, today we have another committee
15	hearing announced to the public barely five days ago.
16	This track record of transparency failure was
17	underscored a few hours ago when lawmakers unveiled new
18	Illinois Supreme Court district maps without having
19	made mention of a plan to do so over the course of the
20	previous 46 hearings. This is a missed opportunity for
21	lawmakers to work with community groups to reimagine
22	what equity looks like for our state's highest court.
23	What is to happen if new legislative
24	court or congressional maps are unveiled after these
25	hearings? Will the public have the opportunity to
1	

1 review, understand, and weigh in on these maps or any changes? People care about how the maps will affect 2 3 their communities. 4 In the last few weeks, the Michigan 5 Independent Redistricting Commission started holding 6 public hearings and more than 60 people are showing up 7 at each of them. People care and they will participate 8 if an effort is made to reach them, if they're given 9 meaningful opportunities, but little effort has been 10 made to engage or accommodate people in Illinois. The 11 number of hours and times that hearings were held are 12 meaningless if you rob people of the opportunity to be 13 part of the discussion. The hours are meaningless if 14 the people who did show up had their voices and views 15 and input ignored. The hours are meaningless if all of 16 the decisions are made without them in a locked back 17 Everyone should be heard. Everyone should room. 18 count. No voices or votes should be suppressed in 19 Illinois.

20 We urge you again to stop and ask the 21 courts for permission to set aside the Constitutional 22 deadlines so that we can wait for the full 2020 census 23 data. Everyone in Illinois deserves representation. 24 Representation is supposed to be about the people, not 25 the politicians. Everyone in Illinois deserves

equitable redistricting centered on them and their 1 2 needs. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 4 Ms. Doubek. Do we have questions? 5 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Madam Chair, I 6 have my hand up. 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry? 8 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I have my hand 9 up. I don't know if you can see my raised hand --CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: 10 I --11 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: -- I just wanted 12 to let you know. 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I can't, so 14 thank you. If you will, I'm going to have Senator 15 Barickman first go and then Representative Tarver. 16 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Thank you, Madam 17 Chair. 18 Ms. Doubek, thanks for your appearance 19 here today. Your testimony referenced that the data 20 was not known to you to analyze the districts. Do you know if -- the committee chair stated earlier that they 21 22 used -- they announced in a press release their use of 23 ACS data; do you now have enough information about the data set used by the Democrats to analyze the proposal 24 25 that's been put into a map form now?

1	MS. DOUBEK: No, Senator, I would imagine
2	that the ACS five-year estimates was not the only data
3	put to use and we would need to know a lot more to be
4	able to understand, for instance, how many
5	majority/minority districts were created through this
6	process, what the voting age population is in each
7	district, and so forth.
8	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Madam Chair, can you
9	tell us whether the what data what ACS data was
10	used? The witness says the five-year estimate is her
11	guess; is that true? And if so, which years of data
12	were utilized?
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: What I can tell you
14	is ACS was one source. And, yes, it was the five years
15	or the five-year estimate.
16	SENATOR BARICKMAN: What then other
17	okay. So not in the press release was any other data
18	sets; can you tell the committee, please, Madam Chair,
19	what other data were used other than the ACS estimated
20	data?
21	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: In terms of data,
22	what I know is ACS, but I think what we forget is that
23	there was quite a bit of information from community
24	interest groups that were taken into consideration as
25	well.

1	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Right; but that's not
2	what you said. You said that other data sets may have
3	been used, so the question is: What other data sets
4	were used beyond the ACS data?
5	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well then I stand
6	corrected. I meant to say ACS is one source of data
7	that was used, and what I mean by "other" is community
8	interest groups' feedback, input that they put was
9	very much taken into consideration.
10	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. So are we to
11	draw from that statement that no other data sets were
12	used?
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All I know at the
14	moment is that the data that was used was the five-year
15	ACS data and community interest groups' information.
16	SENATOR BARICKMAN: What date the
17	census counts data as of a specific date. They in fact
18	would use April 1st, 2020; what date is the ACS
19	estimates as of?
20	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I believe it's the
21	2019.
22	SENATOR BARICKMAN: So it the you
23	previously testified was that it was a five-year
24	estimate, so are you referencing the 2015 to 2019
25	five-year estimate?

1	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct.
2	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. That data uses
3	an equivalent average reporting date of 2017, three
4	years earlier than the census date estimates that
5	today are roughly four years old. How do we know
6	whether that data is accurate to today's population, if
7	it's roughly four years old?
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm going to defer
9	that question to Dr. Lichtman.
10	DR. LICHTMAN: Yep. A couple of points
11	on that. One, with all due respect to the last
12	speaker, the deviation is only .3 percent, and so you
13	have a test right there of how close they are between
14	the five-year ACS and the what the census has put
15	out for the whole State of Illinois, and that is about
16	43,000 people, but it's not true that those people
17	would get erased. They're still in the plan. Nobody
18	gets excluded from the plan. All it means is that the
19	districts possibly, as compared to the 2020 census,
20	might have a deviation of a tenth or two or three
21	percentage points different. And remember, you have in
22	state legislative plans a deviation of 10 percent.
23	The second thing we know about is to use
24	the same methodology that all of these advocacy groups
25	use in this memo that I analyzed, and that is to see

1	how close the 2005 to 2009 ACS was to the 2010
2	decennial census. There we have actual data to make
3	the comparison. And, you know, there was this extreme
4	warning from the interest groups, and I was able to
5	test that county by county in the state and found that
6	there was, you know, very little deviation. And it
7	wasn't biased in one direction. Sometimes it was
8	undercount, sometimes it was overcount. And the one
9	major deviation is readily explicable, is very unlikely
10	to happen again, you're not significantly undercounting
11	minorities, you're not undercounting rural. So we have
12	a lot of very solid social scientific information to
13	say that this is the best available data and it is very
14	good data to use.
15	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Sir, you know, I
16	notice in your testimony you continue to talk about the
17	differences in the statewide data, but of course we're
18	not talking about statewide data here; right, we're
19	talking about local level districts for which your
20	response quickly became instead of definitive, you
21	know, you talked about "there might be, there might be
22	these differences." I think it's important to note,
23	Doctor, that the plan here draws boundaries around
24	census blocks. The ACS estimates are only available at
25	the block group level. In plain speak, blocks consist

1 of people that might be 0 to 200 individuals large, block groups can consist of groups that are as large as 2 2,000 or more. So there is a significant difference 3 4 between statewide counts and those counts made at a 5 local level. But nonetheless the question --6 DR. LICHTMAN: Let me comment on that, 7 please. 8 SENATOR BARICKMAN: The question about 9 though -- the question that I raised was about the 10 data, and so again the committee chair --11 DR. LICHTMAN: Can I comment about 12 (inaudible) --SENATOR BARICKMAN: The committee chair 13 14 though --15 DR. LICHTMAN: -- correct --16 SENATOR BARICKMAN: The committee 17 chair --DR. LICHTMAN: -- it mischaracterizes my 18 19 testimony. 20 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Hold on. 21 DR. LICHTMAN: I went county by county. 22 I looked at 102 counties. I didn't just look at 23 statewide data --24 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. But (inaudible) --25

1	DR. LICHTMAN: I did exactly what all
2	of the advocacy organizations said you should do, look
3	at the counties, and that was a very significant part
4	of my testimony. So just, with due respect, I didn't
5	just look at total statewide data.
6	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Sure. We're not
7	dealing with county legislative districts, though,
8	we're dealing with legislative districts that carve
9	DR. LICHTMAN: A lot of the counties are
10	much smaller than legislative districts.
11	SENATOR BARICKMAN: So the question,
12	Madam Chair, is: Again, we're trying to understand the
13	data that was used, whether the ACS data was
14	supplemented; could you please tell us what geographic
15	boundaries were used with the ACS data?
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator, I don't
17	have that information for you right now.
18	SENATOR BARICKMAN: So this is a really
19	important question though for the again, the
20	witnesses who are asked to analyze these maps have to
21	understand this level of answer, because to simply tell
22	them that there was a five-year data set used by ACS,
23	it's still not clear to me whether that data was
24	supplemented with other data, a critical question, but
25	then becomes the question of what boundaries were used.
1	

1	Did the Democrats use the 2020 boundaries or the 2020
2	boundaries? The issue is how that will impact census
3	blocks and an issue of how the Democrats' maps would
4	treat a block group. If a block group under the 2010
5	geographic data has subsequently been split into two
6	block groups in the 2020 data, then we would need to
7	know how the Democrats, when they drew their map,
8	decided how many people to put into each of the block
9	groups. So can you please tell us which boundary
10	which geographic boundaries were used?
11	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator, I don't
12	have that information with me right now.
13	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. So again,
14	Madam Chair, the frustration that exists here is
15	because for months we've been told, we'll get back to
16	you on the question of what data would be used. It's
17	been presented here that the answer to that question
18	was put in a press release, that it's ACS data. The
19	response though is wholly inadequate to the witnesses
20	who bring to this committee questions, like, are
21	whether their members are going to be provided the
22	protections afforded them under the Equal Protections
23	Clause of our Constitution and of the Voting Rights
24	Act. So if not at today's hearing, when do we get an
25	answer to the actual data that was used so that groups

1	can determine whether or not you've satisfied the
2	Constitutional protections afforded to minorities,
3	ethnic groups, and others in the state?
4	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator, I think
5	that once we get through with all testimony, and we are
6	able to review the input from communities at this
7	point, you might just get your answers after that's all
8	done.
9	SENATOR BARICKMAN: So but the input
10	you want is simply to the color graph that was posted
11	online; right? It's not to you're not asking then
12	for their input to whether their community of interest
13	may be kept intact at a local level? Is what you're
14	is that the question? Or is that what you're looking
15	for from the witnesses?
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator, I'd just
17	like to give the community interest groups a chance to
18	have you know, give their information to help us
19	further review and get to the point where we can have a
20	map.
21	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. Last question.
22	Did you utilize population data to supplement the ACS
23	data?
24	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't have that
25	information for you.

1 SENATOR BARICKMAN: When will we get a 2 response to these questions? 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Once again, I think 4 if we have the opportunity to give these witnesses, you 5 know, their time to give us feedback, we can get back to the drawing board. So if we can get through this, 6 7 we still have a long list, Senator, and I'm really 8 confident that once we get through all of this, you'll 9 have your answers. SENATOR BARICKMAN: I believe there is 10 11 more hearings scheduled even today yet, maybe we could 12 get them at the next hearing? 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are we done, 14 Senator? 15 SENATOR BARICKMAN: I'm just looking for 16 when we'll get the dataset, Madam Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm going to move on to the next witness if that's okay -- oh, I'm sorry, 18 19 there are more questions, so I'm going to move on to 20 Representative Tarver. 21 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Thank you, Madam 22 Chair. And I will really ask a question of the chair, 23 but I want to make sure the record is clear, we're talking about a draft map; is that correct? 2.4 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct.

1 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. I just 2 want to make sure before I go down a couple of lines of 3 questions. 4 To the prior witness, Madeleine, from 5 CHANGE Illinois, a few things. I think somewhere in 6 your commentary you noted that the chair and/or 7 Democrats are not guaranteed that the map was 8 constitutional. And it's funny, I just spoke to my 9 daughter's second grade class about government and things like that, who determines what's constitutional? 10 11 Is that the legislative branch or is that the judicial branch? Just out of curiosity? That question is for 12 you, Madeleine. 13 14 MS. DOUBEK: The judicial branch. 15 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. I just 16 wanted to make sure. And you recognize that none of us are in the judicial branch? You do recognize that; 17 right? 18 19 MS. DOUBEK: Absolutely. 20 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. All right. 21 I was trying to really understand why you would ask a 22 question like that. But beyond that --23 MS. DOUBEK: I do know you have a lot of 24 helpful legal advice and so it was noted -- noteworthy 25 to us that you didn't say more then about these maps

meeting the Federal and State Voting Rights Acts than 1 2 that they were top of mind. 3 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Sure. And, thank 4 you. And I'm sure you have legal advice over at CHANGE 5 Illinois and they wouldn't allow you to walk out and quarantee anything either; right? Like, you can't 6 7 quarantee, that's why you said "it's highly likely" or 8 "likely" that there was undercounting and things like 9 that. You guaranteed that there was undercounting? Did you guarantee when you, you know, put out your 10 11 press release about black communities and things like 12 that, how we've potentially been targeted by large 13 scale misinformation, did you guarantee that we've been 14 targeted by large scale information (sic) campaign? 15 MS. DOUBEK: Representative, the census 16 bureau --17 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: My question --18 MS. DOUBEK: -- (inaudible) overall --19 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: My question is 20 different. And I appreciate your answer. My question 21 -- thank you for what you're going to offer, but my 22 question is: Did you guarantee that black communities 23 were targeted by large scale misinformation campaigns? And what are those campaigns? 2.4 25 MS. DOUBEK: No, I didn't guarantee it.

1	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. But what
2	are some of those campaigns? Because you raised money
3	based on this fundraiser, I mean, that's the one number
4	I see one number in all of your testimony, in your
5	press release, 41,877 people. I don't see how much
6	money you raised on the backs of black people in
7	suggesting that black people have been targeted. How
8	did you do on your fundraising this past weekend?
9	MS. DOUBEK: I don't know,
10	Representative.
11	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Right. Okay.
12	MS. DOUBEK: I haven't looked.
13	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: You couldn't
14	guarantee that number either. I understand. No
15	problem.
16	I guess moving on, it sounded like, my
17	notes could be incorrect, did you learn that the
18	Democrats used ACS data today?
19	MS. DOUBEK: No, on Friday night at
20	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay.
21	MS. DOUBEK: $7:30$ p.m. when the
22	statement and the maps were put out.
23	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Right; because
24	you issued that press release on Friday, so pretty much
25	as soon as you knew you issued a press release. It

1	sounded like from your testimony today that you
2	suggested that you learned of it today.
3	Can you talk to me a little bit more
4	about this "likely" and "highly likely" when you talk
5	about black and brown communities? I mean, I again,
6	since you used numbers like 41,877, I'm trying to
7	understand, what's the probability that we've been
8	undercounted, or what's the probability that we've been
9	targeted by large scale misinformation campaigns,
10	what's the probability that we've been underresourced,
11	I just want to get some of those numbers, like specific
12	numbers.
13	MS. DOUBEK: I don't have the number
14	that I have that is specific came from the census
15	bureau
16	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay.
17	MS. DOUBEK: the five-year estimates
18	indicate that they missed 41,877 people in the State of
19	Illinois, I would think that it's a reasonable
20	conclusion that that would include black people, brown
21	people, Asian people, white people, and definitely some
22	of our fastest-growing communities, who would not
23	necessarily respond to government surveys and data,
24	particularly communities who move around a lot and are
25	more mobile, may not respond to something like this

1	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Sure.
2	MS. DOUBEK: they didn't have the
3	benefit of the trusted partnerships that so many
4	organizations worked toward during the census.
5	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: And thank you for
6	that. I noticed that in your testimony now you
7	mentioned our white population as well. For some odd
8	reason, I don't see that in your actual press release,
9	you only reference black communities, Asian
10	communities, Latinx communities, and I'm looking at the
11	press release, so is there a reason why you didn't
12	mention white people since apparently that could have
13	been an issue as well?
14	MS. DOUBEK: Because I presume that white
15	people are taken for assumed that they're included
16	in all of these conversations, and I'm trying to give
17	voice to others who need it more with my colleagues
18	from these organizations that are part of our
19	coalition.
20	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. And again
21	let's get back to some numbers, again because all I'm
22	hearing is "highly likely," and things like that, just
23	kind of, you know, inflammatory statements that are
24	good for fundraising purposes, but really a little
25	wanting on specifics. What specific communities or

1	areas did you go in and talk to individuals in the
2	black community, and they told you they were
3	underresourced, targeted by large scale misinformation
4	campaigns to disenfranchise them? Where did you get
5	that from specifically? What are some of the black
6	communities that you went into personally and discussed
7	those issues
8	MS. DOUBEK: I don't
9	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: prior to
10	putting out the press release to raise funds?
11	MS. DOUBEK: I don't recall saying that
12	certain communities were targeted by disinformation
13	campaigns. We did hear from some of our coalition
14	partners that there were robocalls happening on the
15	south side trying to dissuade people from participating
16	and responding.
17	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Did they tell you
18	that any of those phone calls were in the 19th ward?
19	The 19th ward is not black. Or did they tell you that
20	it was only in, I don't know, specific wards like the
21	8th ward or 6th ward? What did your colleagues tell
22	you? Because that would help us to know.
23	MS. DOUBEK: The conversation was not
24	that specific, Representative, I am sorry, I can't
25	answer that.

1	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Well, I think
2	before you put out press releases that suggest that
3	people have done something so wrong, and raised money
4	on the backs of the disenfranchisement of black people,
5	you should actually talk to some black people and
6	understand
7	MS. DOUBEK: I have I have,
8	Representative.
9	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I just asked you.
10	I just asked you who. What
11	MS. DOUBEK: And I just told you, some of
12	my colleagues from the Black Roots Alliance, from the
13	NAACP South Side, from the NAACP West Side, and lots of
14	partners
15	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: No, you said
16	partners first, that's why I asked a more specific
17	question. You said "some of our partners" is what you
18	said. But to help you out, this is exactly what your
19	press release says, "The undercount is likely to have a
20	greater effect on people of color." Then you focus on
21	black people. "Black communities have historically
22	been underrepresented, underresourced, and targeted by
23	large scale misinformation campaigns designed to
24	further disenfranchise them." Now maybe you didn't
25	draft this press release, but it says, "For all

1	inquiries, please contact Madeleine Doubek, Executive
2	Director, CHANGE Illinois." Cell phone number, email.
3	So I'm assuming that if you didn't draft this, you at
4	least have some knowledge of it, but now it sounds like
5	you're saying that you don't exactly know
6	(inaudible)
7	MS. DOUBEK: Representative, you're
8	putting words in my mouth that I did not speak.
9	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I am reading your
10	press release. I'm quoting the press release.
11	MS. DOUBEK: And I stand by the press
12	release.
13	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. So are
14	those are they your words in the press release?
15	MS. DOUBEK: Yes.
16	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. So then
17	I'm not putting words in your mouth, I'm reading your
18	press release.
19	MS. DOUBEK: You concluded some things
20	after you read from the press release that were putting
21	words in my mouth.
22	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I'm reading
23	directly from the press release and I asked you a very
24	simple question. Again, how many okay. How many
25	black people did your partners talk to? Did they poll

1 these individuals to get to this point? Now I'm not putting words in your mouth, I'm asking you a question 2 3 again. Did they poll -- let's just go with this: Did 4 they poll people, "yes" or "no"? 5 MS. DOUBEK: Not that I'm aware of. REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. So they 6 7 didn't poll people, so we wouldn't even have a number 8 of who was actually discussed -- this was discussed 9 with, because they didn't poll anybody. The only number that you have, Ms. Doubek, is 41,877. Fine. 10 11 But then you purposefully, or somebody in your staff, 12 purposefully puts black people out front, and then 13 mixes in Asian and Latinx, to raise funds without any 14 specificity in your press release. There are no 15 numbers but one. You can't even tell me if it's more 16 probable than not. You just say, highly likely and 17 likely, and then when I ask you to drill down on where you got to that conclusion, you can't do that. 18 So I 19 just want to make sure we had a clean record. Ι 20 appreciate your time. 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 22 Representative. 23 MS. DOUBEK: Thank you, Representative. 24 I appreciate yours. 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do we have any

1	further questions? Representative Spain.
2	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you very
3	much, Madam Chair. And thank you, Ms. Doubek, for your
4	testimony. Since we're learning about the Constitution
5	here tonight, are you aware that legislators elected to
6	the Illinois General Assembly swear on oath to uphold
7	and defend the Constitution of the State of Illinois
8	and the Constitution of the United States?
9	MS. DOUBEK: I am.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. A
11	question, I know that you have been working on this
12	topic for a long time, including years in the making
13	wishing that we would have created a different process
14	than the one that is unfolding now with partisan
15	legislative redistricting and use of an independent
16	commission, but setting that aside, in the testimony
17	that you provided in the numerous hearings that were
18	conducted, you had asked for time and data in order to
19	understand the maps that would be proposed by the
20	majority party. And I just mean this in all sincerity,
21	if you were given that time, because obviously you
22	weren't, you asked for at least two weeks, you asked to
23	have the data, what would have been in a better and
24	more transparent world, what would have been the
25	process that you would have undertaken if you were

1 given the opportunity to do so? 2 MS. DOUBEK: Well, I think that my 3 organization and others who stand in coalition with us 4 would have worked to assess some of the questions that I asked earlier in my testimony about how many 5 6 majority/minority districts were created, for what 7 communities, what is the voting age population in each 8 of these districts, what is the political party 9 affiliation in each of these districts, to try to 10 provide a more detailed assessment of whether this map 11 serves the people of this state. REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So you were 12 13 prepared to do all of those things if you were provided 14 with the time and the data to do so? 15 MS. DOUBEK: We certainly would have 16 given it our best effort. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. Ι 18 appreciate your testimony. And I look forward to 19 hearing from other witnesses tonight. I find it very 20 disappointing that we've heard from two witnesses so 21 far. One is a paid consultant by the Democrats, and 22 one is a leader in good government reform in our state 23 who has just been excoriated by the majority party. Ι hope that the volunteer witnesses and community 24 25 advocates are not dissuaded from sharing your very

```
Page 74
```

important and earnest feedback about this work. Your 1 2 testimony matters a great deal and I look forward to 3 receiving it. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 5 Representative. Are there -- oh, Representative 6 Butler? 7 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Madam Chair. And, Ms. Doubek, thank you so much for 8 9 testifying and all of the work that CHANGE has done over these many years. Just a couple of quick 10 11 questions for you. You've been on a lot of these 12 hearings that we've had over the last couple of months. 13 Have you heard testimony in these hearings that says 14 that ACS data undercounts minority communities? 15 MS. DOUBEK: I believe I've provided some 16 of that testimony. 17 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And have you either provided testimony or heard testimony that ACS 18 19 data undercounts rural communities and communities under 65,000? 20 21 MS. DOUBEK: Yes. 2.2 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 24 Representative. I will ask if Chairman Aquino has any -- if any of his members have questions? 25

CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 1 2 We at this point do not. I think we can continue on with the next witness. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair 5 Aquino. Next we have Jay Young from Common Cause. 6 MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon -- oh, I wrote 7 the notes earlier today. Good evening, Chairs 8 Hernandez and Aquino, Vice Chair Tarver, honorable 9 members of this committee. Thank you so much for the opportunity to once again discuss the drawing of 10 districts in our state. 11 12 My name is Jay Young, and I'm the executive director of Common Cause Illinois. Over the 13 14 last three years my organization and our 35,000 members 15 and supporters around the state have dedicated 16 themselves to ensuring that all Illinoisans had a voice 17 in Census 2020 and in this redistricting process. For example, we -- our members submitted more public 18 19 comments in opposition to the citizenship question than 20 all of the other organizations in this state combined, 21 and I'm really proud of my team and of our membership. 22 You know, indeed there is -- was so much 23 for our entire state to be proud of. In an incredibly difficult census year, our collective investment 2.4 produced the nation's seventh best self-response rate. 25

It was number one among states with over 9,000,000 1 2 Thanks to the leadership of this body, we people. responded to a global pandemic, a shameful partisan 3 4 effort to hijack the process through that citizenship 5 question I mentioned, the pain that spilled out into the streets following George Floyd's murder. We took 6 7 that investment and we built an army of trusted 8 messengers that flooded our communities with census 9 ambassadors who distinguished themselves time and time again in the face of obstacles that frankly we've never 10 seen before. 11 12 Then over the last three months, we threw 13 a lot of that away. Beginning at that very first 14 hearing that the Senate Redistricting Committee held back in March, we urged you to halt this process and 15 16 follow in the footsteps of other states like California, Oregon, and Michigan in seeking relief from 17 our Constitutional deadlines, waiting for the decennial 18 19 census data before drawing the maps, and using this 20 time to reengage those ambassadors that we just 21 employed to enlist our communities in defining 22 themselves for themselves. That didn't happen. 23 Instead committees held more than 45 sparsely attended, 24 mostly virtual hearings that usually left observers with more questions than answers. 25

1 Looking at the witness list for today, it's once again mostly advocacy organizations on this 2 3 Zoom, but then again, we only had a few hours of notice 4 for these hearings. At those last hearings, I 5 testified at more than a few of them, when the 6 advocates pointed out that few community members were 7 in the room, we were told that Illinoisans could draw 8 their own maps using an online tool. As far as I can 9 tell, there were really only three groups that actually 10 managed to submit maps using that unnecessarily complex 11 and undocumented tool. Had you engaged with the 12 advocates, we could have let you know that there were 13 much easier products out there to allow communities of 14 interest to define themselves. 15 The reason for the rush? Well, Illinois 16 residents have been fed competing sets of talking 17 points about the upcoming June 30 deadline. One side would have us believe that the Constitution requires 18 19 maps to be completed by that date, or quote/unquote, 20 political insiders take over. The other side argues 21 that that's the date when true independence becomes 22 possible. I believe that Illinois residents are savvy 23 enough to understand that it's really all about control. 24 Who they want to control this process may 25 well be aligned with our political leanings, but the

1 truth is that this interim date is being used to force bad decisions about the underlying data that was used 2 3 to produce these maps. 4 On April 30th of this year, Common Cause 5 Illinois circulated a letter to leadership from both 6 committees, from 55 national civil rights and social 7 justice organizations, map members -- mapmakers, excuse 8 me, and nationally recognized census and redistricting 9 efforts who explained the risks that were associated 10 with states using American Communities -- the American 11 Communities Survey in lieu of the decennial census data 12 to draw maps. Those problems have been repeated here 13 I won't dwell on them, but again, the American today. 14 Community Survey, it's an excellent instrument, but it 15 is a survey. 16 In other words, it does not capture all 17 of Illinoisans, or to that matter, Americans, who are 18 living in a very -- in a particular community. Instead 19 it talks more about how they live. The data doesn't 20 come to sort of the granular level that the decennial 21 census data goes to, and as has been noted, the 22 five-year estimate is a blend of data of varying ages. 23 The one thing that I will say though is that that 24 oft-repeated talking point about numbers being within a 25 percentage point of each other is flat-out misleading.

1 Just because I have an apple and an orange in my hand that are the same size does not mean that they're the 2 3 same fruit. And frankly, it's not surprising that the 4 ACS did a reasonable job of approximating a large 5 number, like the total population of a large state like 6 Illinois. Indeed it means that it's a good instrument, 7 but I would also note that that same talking point 8 that's been conveyed about Illinois, it's not the same 9 The ACS did a much poorer job estimating nation-wide. smaller states like North Dakota or even the District 10 11 of Columbia. Our entire argument has been smaller 12 numbers include the size of a house district, or for 13 example, one oft-quoted study that's out there refers 14 to how the ACS tends to miss indigenous people. Again 15 smaller subpopulations inside a larger population, 16 those folks, their voices matter, too. 17 If reports are to be believed, and reports are all we have because we don't have the data, 18 19 I know that there -- I saw I think last Friday a interview with Mr. Kimball Brace, who is the president 20 21 of a data vendor that I believe was used by the state, 22 and in that interview it was referenced that the ACS 23 data was supplemented with some voter data. Again, I haven't seen the data sets, I can't speak to what sort 24 25 of data was included, but I do have some concerns.

1	Certainly voter data might not be able to speak to
2	nonvoters, for example, underage residents, or
3	noncitizens, and it might overestimate
4	overemphasize, excuse me, communities with higher
5	levels of voter participation.
6	In closing, I've been trying to stress
7	throughout this process that Illinois should be a
8	beacon to other states. We're a national leader on
9	voting rights, you know, we've seen some really
10	impressive, important legislation passed in just the
11	last few months ranging from the Black Caucus agenda,
12	advancing HB 376 to encourage studying of Asian
13	American history in this moment. Those are the sort of
14	things that put us at the vanguard of national
15	equitable reforms, but when we hear that Illinois is
16	following in the shoes of states like Oklahoma that are
17	taking advantage of the pandemic and Trump's sabotage
18	of the census, national observers are rightly worried
19	that states that wish to silence voices will follow our
20	lead. Process matters and we could have done so much
21	more to engage our people under really difficult
22	circumstances. There is still time. We can back away
23	from this ledge and hit the pause button. We could
24	still appeal to the courts. I urge you in the most
25	strenuous way, please, take that opportunity.
1	

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

Page 81

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Young, for your testimony. 3 Are there any questions from members? 4 5 Representative Spain. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, Madam 7 Chair. Mr. Young, thank you for your testimony 8 tonight. And just as I was trying to understand with 9 the first witness, you're not under contract or retainer or paid to be here by either Republicans or 10 11 Democrats that are involved in these hearings; is that 12 correct? 13 MR. YOUNG: I am not. 14 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. You 15 mentioned the construction of data for this proposed 16 map, and you mentioned an individual, Mr. Kim Brace, is 17 -- Madam Chair, is that someone else who is working 18 with the house or senate Democrats on the proposed map? 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: My understanding is 20 that he is a data vendor so the answer is yes. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: A paid consultant; 22 correct? 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. And 25 so, Mr. Young, the statement that you made, I just want

1	to make sure I understand it because I think it's
2	important, Mr. Brace then and I ask because we've
3	asked these questions in these hearings about the data
4	used, in fact another member asked the chair what
5	additional data beyond ACS is being used, and we were
6	told the answer is only community input, but Mr. Brace
7	has represented then that ACS data is supplemented by
8	voter data; did I hear that correctly?
9	MR. YOUNG: Again, this is not a
10	conversation that I've had with Mr. Brace. I'm, as I
11	said, just going from the reports, and I'm
12	specifically I'm looking at a article from Mark
13	Maxwell, May 20, where he sort of describes that
14	process. Again, we're just doing the best we can to
15	figure out how this process is working.
16	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Sure. So I know
17	the feeling, we were trying to do the same, and I thank
18	you.
19	I'll ask that question then to you, Madam
20	Chair, is voter data being used to supplement the
21	American community five-year estimate data that you
22	mentioned earlier?
23	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know. I
24	have to get back to you.
25	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. I've

1	heard that a lot, but in the interest of good faith
2	I'll wait for you to get back to us. And if we could
3	understand as well who made the decision to use this
4	data, and when that decision was made, I'd be very
5	grateful for those answers.
6	Thank you, Mr. Young, for your testimony.
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
8	Representative. Senator Barickman.
9	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Sure. Thank you,
10	Madam Chair.
11	Mr. Young, thanks for being with us
12	again. I think we heard from you at one of our earlier
13	hearings. I guess I wonder if you could help us with a
14	better understanding of the human element that is at
15	issue here. We're there has been this, you know,
16	discussion about data and, you know, it's kind of
17	scientific, I suppose, in that regard, but I think
18	what's potentially lost in this is the actual risks
19	that I think you're trying to communicate to us that
20	are at issue, the you know, things like the impact
21	on neighborhoods, and on individual voting rights, and
22	I just wonder if you could help provide to us an un
23	a better understanding of what actually is at risk
24	through the use of an of a inaccurate or flawed
25	dataset like the ACS data?

1	MR. YOUNG: So again I want to be clear,
2	the ACS is absolutely valuable for what it is used for.
3	I do not want to be misunderstood to say that I think
4	the ACS is bad. Honestly it's my understanding that
5	voter data, for example, is also the sort of thing that
6	is frequently used when we draw maps. I get that. I'm
7	saying there is a better dataset. It's coming in three
8	months, that's what I'm saying.
9	In terms of the question about the human
10	element, at the end of the day you are correct, the
11	redistricting process is about using data maps and
12	frankly the preferences of the mapmakers to draw maps
13	that are going to govern us for the next ten years, but
14	at base, this process is supposed to be about
15	communities, communities of interest, and making sure
16	that those communities are reflected in our
17	representative forms of government.
18	When I talked about the mapmaking tool, I
19	used, for example I mentioned that I was somewhat
20	alarmed that it didn't seem that too many people were
21	able to avail themselves of that tool. I triple-tried
22	tested it out myself, and frankly it's not as user
23	friendly as some of the other options that are out
24	there.
25	I've been through the training for tools,

1	like, District or Representable, and I can tell you
2	what that process looks like, how advocates like Common
3	Cause and again, for those that don't know Common
4	Cause, we're a national organization with people on the
5	ground in all 50 states, and we sort of push back
6	against concerns where we think power is sort of acting
7	in a nontransparent and an unaccountable way regardless
8	of which party is sort of advancing that.
9	In any event, coming back to the use of
10	that tool. The way the process works is to sit down
11	with folks and ask them, where do your kids go to
12	school, where do you go buy groceries, what parks do
13	you use, it's the process of understanding how we use
14	our communities, and make sure that everybody, it's not
15	just Jay Young who lives in Oak Park, talks to talks
16	about where his go to kids go to school, but that
17	all of my neighbors are included. And then, once we
18	can able once we're able to develop a community of
19	interest for in that case, you know, the people who
20	live near me, but that community interest could be a
21	racial group. It could be the members of a mosque. It
22	could be any number of things, but the idea is to
23	figure out what those communities look like and make
24	sure that the interests of that community is what's
25	being represented.

1	
1	There are rules that determine things,
2	like, how people what the line should be, and the
3	extent to which the number of folks in a given district
4	should approximate the number of folks in another
5	district under the one-person, one-vote rule, that is
6	absolutely true. But again at base, this process
7	should be about protecting the interest of communities.
8	Equity is very important to me, and, you know, I have a
9	ton of respect for those that are called to service,
10	such as yourselves, members of both parties. It
11	couldn't be me. I appreciate that, but I submit to you
12	that equity is not about securing the political futures
13	of our elected officials. Equity should be about
14	ensuring that all of our voices are included in this
15	process. Somebody is always going to run for the next
16	race. We should just make sure that the lines reflect
17	the communities that we all live in.
18	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. Well, thank
19	you again for being with us here today.
20	No further questions, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Senator.
22	I will ask Chair Aquino if he has any questions from
23	his members.
24	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
25	Not at this time. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
2	Thank you. So we'll go to the next in the next
3	witness is Griselda Vega Samuel from MALDEF.
4	MS. VEGA SAMUEL: Good afternoon. Thank
5	you, Madam Chair. I think everyone can hear me; right?
6	Good evening members of the general assembly, and thank
7	you for the opportunity to testify.
8	My name is Griselda Vega Samuel, and I am
9	the midwest regional counsel for the Mexican American
10	Legal Defense and Educational Fund also known as
11	MALDEF. MALDEF is a national civil rights law firm
12	that works to protect the rights of the Latinos through
13	community education, advocacy, and litigation. We have
14	testified at several hearings of the senate and house
15	redistricting committees. MALDEF's position on
16	redistricting has been clear, consistent, and shared by
17	voting right experts across the country. It is never
18	appropriate to use American Community Survey or other
19	alternative data as the primary basis for
20	redistricting. We urge you to once again, base
21	redistricting on the decennial census data. The
22	U.S. Census Bureau will soon release this information
23	in a format known as the P.L. 94-171 file. That data
24	file will contain the most accurate numbers, and can
25	overlay on to existing districts, and will be

1 accessi	ble to	everyone.
-----------	--------	-----------

2 We are disappointed that this committee 3 has chosen to repeat history by releasing maps last Friday night in the twilight of the legislative 4 5 session, ignoring requests from diverse groups, and who are asking for two weeks' time to review these maps. 6 7 And to further highlight the lack of transparency, 8 release the judicial district maps two hours before 9 this hearing. This body has declared time and again that you are, quote/unquote, dedicated to transparency 10 and public participation in the redistricting process, 11 12 end quote, but your actions prove otherwise. 13 The committee has not released population 14 and demographic details for the proposed districts, nor has it made the raw data available. 15 There was 16 discussion earlier by an expert witness, Dr. Lichtman, 17 who said that the American Community Survey data was acceptable but causes acceptable deviations when 18

19 drawing those maps. We urge this committee to release 20 the data files so that the public can in fact see how 21 much unequal representation you find acceptable.

22 Why hide the data? The cornerstone of 23 transparency and public participation in the 24 redistricting process is based on that data. It's --25 I'm sorry, the cornerstone of transparency and public

Page 89	Pag	е	89
---------	-----	---	----

1	participation in the redistricting process is the
2	ability to compare the same data and make informed
3	decisions based on that data. As it stands, this
4	committee is asking the public for trust with no way to
5	verify. The gold standard of transparency and accuracy
6	in redistricting is the 2020 census data file coming in
7	the P.L. 94-171 file.
8	You hold the power to determine whether
9	Latino communities can elect candidates of their choice
10	or significantly influence elections in concert with
11	other groups. If those objectives sound familiar,
12	that's because they are required by the Federal Voting
13	Rights Act and the Illinois Voting Rights Act of 2011.
14	We must recognize what those rights mean so that they
15	are not reduced to key words in the litigation, of
16	press releases, or talking points.
17	Ensuring that the Latino communities have
18	the power to elect candidates of their choice, or to
19	significantly sway elections, means that our Latinos
20	will have influence reflective of their numbers and
21	legislators who will be responsive to their concerns.
22	Latinos and other minority groups have a long history
23	of being marginalized in redistricting and of being
24	treated subordinate to partisanship and other concerns.
25	In 1964 the U.S. Supreme Court finally held that the

1	state legislative districts must be equal in
2	population. That is after 146 years of Illinois
3	drawing unequal districts that packed minority
4	residents, Congress soon thereafter passed the widely
5	celebrated Federal Voting Rights Act, and the U.S.
6	Census Bureau began allowing people to identify as
7	Hispanic with the 1980 census. However, in Illinois
8	legislative redistricting, Latinos were immediately
9	told that they would not be respected.
10	In 1982, Latino residents, including
11	future senator, Miguel del Valle, challenged a plan
12	that split Chicago Latino neighborhoods into numerous
13	districts to effectively dilute Latino votes.
14	Thankfully the court did not accept the defendant's
15	rationale that Latinos were adequately given districts
16	that, like children's, quote/unquote, snowsuits, would
17	eventually fit them, a justification never applied to
18	any other group. We should not take for granted the
19	hard-fought gains of the past 40 years.
20	Members of the general assembly, you have
21	been faced with events and challenges unprecedented in
22	the history of our country. Illinois is one of the
23	first states to redistrict and will set precedents
24	nationally and for future redistricting cycles. You
25	can still build on the gains of our predecessors who

have struggled to ensure that the Latino communities 1 2 have an effective voice in our government. Know that the decennial census data is the only acceptable 3 standard for redistricting. Any map based on American 4 5 Community Survey estimates would very likely cause retrogression or a decline in the ability of the Latino 6 7 communities to elect candidates of their choice which 8 would significantly influence elections and would 9 likely invite litigation. MALDEF urges you once again to base that redistricting on the decennial census data 10 that is to come in a few months. It's time that the 11 12 general assembly finally put away the snowsuits and 13 give Latino communities the representation they 14 accurately deserve today. We are the majority-minority 15 and our time is now. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ms. Vega, 17 for your presentations. Is there any questions from members? Senator McConchie. 18 19 SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Thank you, Madam 20 Chair. Thank you, Ms. Vega Samuel, for your testimony. 21 Briefly you just commented that no data files have been made available. Can you just specify what data files 22 23 you would like to see made available that would allow you the level of analysis you're seeking to perform? 2.4 25 MS. VEGA SAMUEL: So as I've stated in my

1	position, we believe that we should wait for the U.S.
2	for the census data that is to come, but we've also
3	said that at this point these maps should come with
4	whatever information that they have used to be able to
5	look at the maps. The public has asked for it. The
6	general assembly members have said that they are
7	willing to be transparent and accountable, so share the
8	data files, whatever those files are.
9	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: So if you were
10	provided let's say with Shapefiles, which I think is
11	what was provided ten years ago, how long would it take
12	for your organization to be able to evaluate those to
13	get the answers that you need in regards to what these
14	maps look like and how they would affect your
15	community?
16	MS. VEGA SAMUEL: I unfortunately cannot
17	answer that question. I'm not a demographer, but I
18	would assume there is you know, we understand
19	that redistricting that the redistricting process
20	would entail a number of informa a number of data
21	files and a number pieces of information, so I imagine
22	that just having a Shapefile is not going to get us
23	very far, very quickly, so it would probably take a
24	very long time.
25	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Okay. So I'm just

1	going to go back, Madam Chair, do we know when the
2	types of data files that the witness is asking for will
3	be made available?
4	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator, I have said
5	it before, we're looking to try to take in all of the
6	testimony, review, and after once that's all
7	reviewed, we should be able to get everything out.
8	SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any further
10	questions from members? Chair Aquino?
11	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair,
12	none at this time.
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
14	We will go to our next witness and that will be Shlomo
15	Soroka from Agudath Israel of Illinois.
16	RABBI SOROKA: Thank you, Madam Chair.
17	Thank you, Chair Aquino. Leaders Butler, Barickman,
18	and Leader McConchie.
19	My name is Shlomo Soroka, director of
20	government affairs for Agudath Israel of Illinois, a
21	grassroots umbrella organization that represents the
22	interests of the Orthodox Jewish community. As has
23	been discussed today, fair maps is a concept that
24	legislative leaders and members of the general assembly
25	have pledged to uphold. Legislative maps are only fair

if they're drawn in a way that does not silence 1 2 communities. Keeping communities of interest together ensures equity and diversity in government and is vital 3 4 for our democracy, especially in our diverse State of Illinois. 5 6 Communities are encouraged -- communities 7 were encouraged to engage in this mapmaking process through participating in multiple hearings, submitting 8 9 proposed maps, and like many others we were told our effort was wanted and would be given all due 10 consideration, so on behalf of the Orthodox Jewish 11 12 community I testified before both senate and house 13 redistricting committees. I drew maps, I submitted 14 them, reached out to numerous legislators, and really did everything within my power to convey the importance 15 of keeping our community together in a single compact 16 17 and contiguous district. 18 The leading rabbis in our community, all 19 60 of them, signed a public letter, and by the way that 20 never happens, but all 60 of them signed a public 21 letter asking for our community to be consolidated into a single district and concluded with the plea that we 22 23 should not be divided further. We trusted our input 24 was being listened to and we were optimistic that our request would be accommodated. 25

1	I have to say when the maps were
2	unveiled, we were somewhat astonished to see that not
3	only were the improvements that we asked for not made,
4	and not only were we not further consolidated, but the
5	exact opposite was done. We were further split and
6	divided, maybe this is an exaggeration, but perhaps
7	near the brink of political irrelevance. I'll explain,
8	I'll elaborate. While most of our families currently
9	reside in the 16th House District, a line dividing the
10	15th and 16th House Districts runs right through the
11	heart of Peterson Park where our families live,
12	effectively cutting off a few hundred families, we ask
13	that that line be moved over just a few blocks to
14	incorporate these households into the 16th; however,
15	instead of being included with the rest of the
16	community, the remaining couple hundred families in
17	Peterson Park were siphoned off into the 15th House
18	District completely splitting the community
19	neighborhoods. So now Peterson Park is now in a
20	completely different district and all of those families
21	are cut off. We ask that 500 families that live in
22	Skokie, right north of where our community is located
23	in the city, they be included in a contiguous and
24	compact district that would connect it with our other
25	neighborhoods. This request was not accommodated.
I	

1	But perhaps most egregiously on the
2	northern boundary of the 8th Senate District or 16th
3	House District where the city of Chicago borders in
4	Evanston, there is a strip of city blocks containing
5	over 200 families, and when I say families I just want
6	to be clear, families that have more than one voter in
7	it, these are multi-voter households. Over 200
8	families live in this little strip of city blocks. It
9	was just surgically cut out and annexed into Evanston's
10	18th House District or 9th Senate District, which we
11	previously have virtually no presence. And Chairman
12	Aquino had mentioned before that it was an effort
13	was made to preserve communities in this process and
14	specifically highlighted that Evanston for the first
15	time is in one district, but what happened over here
16	also was that Evanston is also taking out a chunk of
17	the city, which has a different neighborhood. It's a
18	different community, and that community is being cut
19	off from its the community that it's a part of.
20	You know, what I found also very
21	interesting was that I know that there is a lot of
22	different pieces that go into consideration when these
23	maps are being drawn. Our prior state representative
24	in the 16th district lives his house is located in
25	the area that is proposed to go into the 18th House

1	District, and I wonder if that former house district
2	member would still be representing us would he would
3	his residence have been put into another district or
4	not. It may be difficult at this late hour to fully
5	accommodate our request, but I would ask you to find
6	reasonable alternatives on our northern border with
7	Evanston, such as annexing the area a few blocks
8	further east, maybe from Rockwell and go deeper into
9	city territory, where fewer community members reside.
10	It is extremely important that our
11	families in Peterson Park are not cut off from our
12	neighborhoods in West Rogers Park and Lincolnwood.
13	These areas are not only contiguous, but they are also
14	cohesive and interdependent. I am sure that if our
15	concern I am I'm sorry, I'm sure that if our
16	concerns are taken seriously, there are other lines
17	that can be slightly adjusted without compromising the
18	political voice of a community to the extent that the
19	current proposal does.
20	I don't want to rehash the testimony that
21	I have already delivered in prior redistricting
22	hearings. It is there for the record, and I think it
23	speaks for itself. The only part I feel compelled to
24	repeat is that the Orthodox Jewish community is one
25	that unfortunately is often overlooked as a community

1	of interest despite our unique needs and interests.
2	The purpose of my testimony today is to bring to the
3	committee's attention what I hope to be a simple and
4	inadvertent oversight and ask that this injustice is
5	not repeated and exacerbated. I do not believe these
6	changes were done with the intention to silence my
7	community, but I need this honorable body to know that
8	regardless of the intent, the effect will be the same.
9	And if there is any questions, I'm happy
10	to take them.
11	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
12	Mr. Soroka. I'm going to move for member's
13	Representative Butler.
14	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Madam
15	Chair. And, Rabbi Soroka, thank you for being here
16	again, and I appreciate the advocacy that the Orthodox
17	Jewish community has done in these hearings, and as
18	well as a very heartfelt letter that you sent to the
19	chair and the spokesman on the committees as well as
20	the governor about your concerns. I just want to ask
21	just a to clarify for me, so under the proposed map
22	that we have here, your community would be divided
23	between the 16th and the 17th or, excuse me, 16th
24	and 15th House District; is that correct?
25	RABBI SOROKA: It actually would be split

```
over four house districts, we would have in the 15th,
 1
 2
     16th, 17th and 18th House Districts --
 3
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So 15th --
 4
                    RABBI SOROKA: -- under these proposed
 5
    maps.
 6
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: 15th, 16th, 17th,
 7
     and 18th. And do those four districts all have
8
     incumbent legislators living in those new districts?
 9
                    RABBI SOROKA: The 16th has a freshman,
     all others have -- are veteran lawmakers.
10
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: But they're --
     each of those four districts has a incumbent legislator
12
13
     in it; correct? I believe it does. I believe they do.
14
                    RABBI SOROKA: As opposed to?
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I'm just asking
15
16
     if they have incumbents that were drawn into those four
17
     districts instead of keeping some open district or
18
     something like that.
19
                    RABBI SOROKA: Oh, there was -- yeah.
20
     Yeah, they all have incumbents; correct.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. Thank you,
22
    Rabbi.
23
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any further
24
    questions?
25
                    REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I had my hand up,
```

```
Madam Chair, if that's okay?
 1
 2
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry, if
     you will, Representative Barickman, let me have
 3
     Representative Tarver first, and then you're next.
 4
 5
                    REPRESENTATIVE TARVER:
                                            It will be very
     brief. Rabbi Shlomo, good to see you, thank you for
 6
 7
     your advocacy. I just want to say I appreciate you, to
 8
     one of the other person's points, humanizing this so
 9
     that we have a chance to kind of understand what's
     going on in your neck of the woods.
10
11
                    I only have one question for you, because
12
     I'm more of a visual person, but did you have an
13
     opportunity to potentially draw a proposal map on the
14
     website that would, I guess, effectuate what it is you
     were looking for, sir?
15
16
                    RABBI SOROKA: Indeed I did. I drew up a
     map, I submitted it, and I actually shared hard copies
17
     with every interested -- every legislator that it would
18
19
     have been relevant to as well.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Awesome. Thank
21
     vou.
           If you don't mind, I think you have my
22
     information, feel free to send it to over to me as
23
     well. I appreciate it.
24
                    RABBI SOROKA: I will do that,
     Representative Tarver.
25
```

1	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Thank you.
2	RABBI SOROKA: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator Barickman.
4	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Thank you. Rabbi
5	Soroka, thanks for being with us. I think it's worth
6	noting, to my knowledge, you may be the only group who
7	successfully submitted a map through the portal. Did
8	you get any feedback from that map from lawmakers?
9	RABBI SOROKA: I did. I got some
10	feedback from some lawmakers that they were going to
11	try to accommodate our request, and I do believe that
12	some attempts were made by some. I don't know exactly
13	what happened, because there are others that have I
14	mean, decisions have to be made in collaboration with
15	others. I know that we had some champions that were
16	trying that were giving us feedback that they were
17	working on it and that they thought they were making
18	progress.
19	SENATOR BARICKMAN: The characterization
20	that you've made in your testimony is that the
21	community of interest for which you presented in your
22	submitted map was significantly carved up. In other
23	words, it didn't sound to me as if there was tinkering
24	along the edges, it sounds like it was more draconian
25	in nature, am I understanding that correctly?

1	DIDDI CODOVI, Voc
	RABBI SOROKA: Yes.
2	SENATOR BARICKMAN: I guess I might ask
3	to our Senate Chair Aquino the purpose of asking for
4	groups to submit maps if in fact we only got one map
5	and seemingly it was not considered in the maps that
6	were drawn by the Democrats. Senator Aquino, can you
7	explain why that request, that sole request, was
8	ignored?
9	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Well, Senator, this is
10	the the great thing about this testimony here is
11	that we are certainly address this is a draft as we
12	started from the beginning to get exactly this, you
13	know, testimony from those community members that have
14	been an active part of this or want to be a part of
15	this process, and so there is certainly things that I
16	think that we can take from the witness's testimony
17	here, and so, you know, this is hopefully going to make
18	a better product based off of the hearing and why we're
19	here today. So I'm hoping that we can not only be able
20	to listen to this witness's perspective on this
21	proposed map, but also the rest of the witnesses that
22	we have still on you know, still waiting to testify.
23	SENATOR BARICKMAN: But how is this
24	different? It sounds as though the rabbi's testimony
25	at previous hearings is the same as it was today, in
1	

1	fact wont further it counds like further then are
1	fact, went further, it sounds like further than any
2	other group went in submitting a map. There is no
3	reason to have yet another hearing where the proposal
4	by the Democrats ignored that submission. I mean,
5	again, what's the purpose of why is today any
6	different than the you know, than the previous
7	testimony and the map that was submitted before today's
8	hearing? Why did we need to have this hearing to hear
9	nothing new in regards to this community of interest?
10	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Well, again we're here
11	to hear the testimony from these folks and others on
12	this proposed map, this is the you know, we unveiled
13	the map, as we all know, on Friday, and so this was an
14	opportunity for this witness and others to make
15	commentary on what they saw with the product that was
16	here and so we're going to be utilizing this testimony
17	that we're hearing today, and that we're going to hear
18	tomorrow as well, to address things that we can to try
19	to get to a final product.
20	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Okay. Unfortunately
21	it just seems as though the prior hearings were for
22	naught when the testimony and map provided prior to
23	today's hearing told us exactly what is being said
24	again today, so let's certainly hope that maybe in any
25	subsequent version of the maps, a better job is done to

1	listen to the people of this state.
2	Thank you, Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Senator.
4	Chair, are there any further questions
5	from members in the House? Senator Aquino Chair
6	Aquino, do you have any members on your end?
7	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: No questions at this
8	end. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Next
10	witness we have Dilara Sayeed from the Illinois Muslim
11	Civic Coalition. Dilara, go ahead.
12	MS. SAYEED: Good evening, Chairman and
13	Chairwoman, and thank you for having us. Legislators,
14	witnesses, friends and allies. During this hearing one
15	thing has been very clear, this is hard, this is
16	contentious, this is important, and it's personal. In
17	my family, we have five generations of Illinoisans.
18	We've buried two generations of Illinoisans in
19	Illinois. Our family is here as healthcare providers,
20	doctors, nurses. Our community is here as small
21	business people, corporate lawyers, and CEOs. We're on
22	the front lines of supporting community members, and
23	our most vulnerable communities, we're of every race,
24	class, community, and background, so this is personal.
25	I'm a graduate of Head Start Preschool of

1	low income for low income children. I also have a
2	doctorate from Harvard University. That's the success
3	stories of what can happen when our government, our
4	subsidies, our support, and our communities come
5	together. And this is what redistricting is about,
6	that all voices are heard, all communities' needs are
7	met, and each of us have representation. What you are
8	hearing today is not one community's voice saying we've
9	got to do better, not three communities' voices saying
10	we've got to do better, look at us across these
11	screens. You're hearing from the Latino community, the
12	African American community, the African immigrant
13	community, the Jewish community, the Muslim community,
14	the Chinese community, the Asian communities; you're
15	hearing from all of us saying, please don't approve
16	maps. Please don't vote yes on maps where our voices
17	and our leadership and our communities have not been
18	heard.
19	The Illinois Muslim Civic Coalition and
20	40 of our partners and leaders and allies have
21	testified at 22 of the 45 hearings you've had.
22	Twenty-two. We've seen all of you, and you've seen all
23	of us over and over again, asking for fairness, asking
24	for you to hear our voice. At no time in those 22
25	hearings, or after those hearings, or with the

1 submission of our maps, did we hear a yes we heard your voice. As the rabbi said, there was some lawmakers who 2 3 have been working with us, who have been giving their 4 best in trying to advocate for us. So now clearly you 5 haven't heard from all of us and our voices, but even 6 some of your own. We have documented what we need in 7 those hearings and in this one, and we as allies and 8 supporters have said that we are all working with each 9 other, whether it's the rabbis from the synagogues, the 10 priests and the pastors from the churches across the 11 south side of Chicago, or downstate, or the imams from 12 the mosque, whether it's somebody who never goes to a 13 house of worship and simply is an everyday citizen 14 making a living and building a life, we have all 15 testified at one point or another, because I've seen us 16 at the hearings. 17 We also have shared with you that the community is so diverse in Illinois. Illinois has the 18 19 largest Polish population outside of Warsaw, Poland, 20 the one city that's the capital. Illinois has one of 21 the largest South Asian populations outside of India or 22 Pakistan. Illinois has the largest Palestinian 23 population in the nation. It has the large -- one of 24 the largest Latino and African American populations in 25 the nation. It has one of the largest Asian

1	populations in the nation. And I have shared this map
2	with you before, Illinois has one has the largest
3	per capita population of American Muslims. These are
4	things that we absolutely have shared with you and we
5	have asked you to give us a voice, and we have asked
6	you to give us the opportunity to be here and to be a
7	part of the representation in Illinois.
8	We have also submitted maps. Honestly we
9	couldn't do it during the portal, it the map, and
10	the Shapesfile, et cetera, didn't work out, but here
11	are the maps we shared of the ten regions where
12	Illinoisans are also identify as Muslim, also
13	identify as many different races, in Urbana, in
14	Springfield, in Rockford, in Southwest Cook County,
15	where our map had us in one district, and now we are in
16	four different districts again. In Northwest Cook, in
17	North Cook where our map had a district, and yet we
18	have no voice now, in Lake County, in DuPage County.
19	So once we created our own maps, we then
20	worked with our allies, and we made a unity map, and we
21	submitted a unity map with UCCRO, which included the
22	voice of Latino Americans, African Americans, African
23	immigrants, those who identify as Muslim, and many
24	and those who identify as Asian, East Asian, or South
25	Asian. This map is a unity map. It meets the criteria

1 that we believe you need and yet this map is not the map that we saw adopted. All of this really concerns 2 3 We must get it right. us. 4 This is it. This is the decade where we 5 can make the difference on racial equity. We can make the difference on class and communities. We can make 6 7 the difference on integrating Illinois and all of its 8 In my family, there are Irish Catholics, there voices. 9 are Jewish Americans married to Muslim Americans, and 10 they're both of different racial backgrounds. There 11 are African Americans who are dating South Asians, 12 like, this is the vibrancy of our community in 13 Illinois. And when you don't give us voice, when 14 communities that are Hindu have one state legislator 15 but have one of the largest populations in the nation, 16 when a community that is Muslim has the largest per 17 capita population in Illinois, and has zero representation at the state level, when communities who 18 19 identify as Latino or African immigrant are 20 underrepresented, you're pitting us against each other. 21 And you're pitting us against you, and that's not the 22 way it works, because I know Senator Mattie Hunter has 23 been an advocate for different communities. Senator Laura Murphy has worked with us on key legislation. 24 25 Senator Cristina Castro has one of the largest

1 communities of our population, the most diverse in her 2 district, and Representative Theresa Mah and Jehan 3 Gordan-Booth have been friends to us, so we know you 4 know us.

5 We need to know that you are giving us 6 voice. We need to know that you will make sure that we 7 represent ourselves, and that we are represented by 8 compassionate and empathetic legislators as well. So 9 we don't have a choice. We got to get it right in 10 2021. Please do not adopt and approve the maps that 11 you have created. Please work with us. You still have 12 time as you said. If these are drafts, see them as drafts and see us here today asking you not to use 13 14 those drafts, but you use data that is vibrant and 15 diverse.

16 Some of it will come from the ACS, some 17 of it will come from community organizations that know where their communities live. Some of it will come 18 19 from the zip codes that mosques, temples, synagogues, 20 and churches tell vou are their communities. Some of 21 it will come from the racial leaders and the ethnic 22 leaders you see across these screens who know where 23 those communities live. So use all of those different data, let us know what data you need, let us know what 24 25 data you're using, and let's build these maps together.

1	Because right now these draft maps,
2	they're not built with us. They've been built for us,
3	and we're not okay with them. This is not the Illinois
4	that I want to raise my children and grandchildren in.
5	I want it to be an Illinois that has maps and
6	districting that looks like the Illinoisans, that looks
7	like all of us on this screen here. Beseeching you and
8	demanding you to give us representation and to make us
9	part of this process. Not to show up at hearing after
10	hearing, but to actually be there to help you create
11	maps, use all our data, use our maps that we've
12	provided, and make those maps together with us, please.
13	We trust so many of you, please don't let
14	us down this year in a very critical year for the next
15	ten years of all our lives. Please don't let us down
16	and make maps that do not include us and do not
17	represent us. We have an opportunity to make 2020 to
18	2030 the model Illinois. We got through a pandemic.
19	We were a model of how we got through the pandemic.
20	We're a model of voter engagement and turnout. We're a
21	model for how cities work with their legislators and
22	communities work with our legislators. Let's be a
23	model where the legislative body looks like the
24	community, every part of the community, and let's be a
25	model for redistricting that's a positive model for all
1	

1 of the United States. Thank you so much. And we 2 really hope these maps will be exactly what you said they are, drafts. Now let's get working on the real 3 4 maps. 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 6 Ms. Sayeed. I believe there are questions, Chair 7 Aquino, on your end? 8 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Yes, Madam Chair, 9 actually Senator Castro would like to ask a question. Thank you. Senator Castro. 10 11 SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Dilara, first off, thank you for submitting the maps 13 through UCCRO. I do have just a couple of questions. 14 My question is: Why didn't you submit or identify your 15 communities of interest in the UCCRO map? 16 MS. SAYEED: So thank you for asking that 17 question. In the UCCRO map what we wanted to do is get the maps for the senate and for the house for the 18 19 districts, two of our districts are in the UCCRO map, 20 then we also submitted it -- submitted separately our 21 eight other communities of interest, and we submitted 22 it then because, again like we said, the portal and 23 even with the UCCRO map, we had difficulty using the portal, so we submitted it directly to Senator Aquino 2.4 25 -- Chairman Aquino, to Representative Hernandez, to

1	Speaker Welch, to President Harmon, and their staff
2	-
	directly. We also submitted it to the Senate
3	Redistricting Committee and to the House Redistricting
4	Committee. But we believe the communities of influence
5	would be managed a little bit differently than the
6	actual districts that we were representing and wanted.
7	I hope that answers your question.
8	SENATOR CASTRO: Dilara, do you remember
9	when you sent those in? Do you have a date of when you
10	sent those in?
11	MS. SAYEED: Yeah, so we originally sent
12	near the end of April what we had at the time, which
13	was data that was about the Google data we had on
14	key spaces, key places, and the eight districts. Then
15	just last week, we actually submitted the maps. We
16	didn't know when this process would result in maps
17	coming out from the community or from sorry, from
18	the legislation, and we also had not had the reach out
19	from the legislator the Senate Redistricting
20	Committee and the House Redistricting Committee after
21	we sent our first email. So it took longer than we
22	thought to build our maps, and we submitted them last
23	week. And then last week actually on Friday the maps
24	came out, so we didn't know the maps were coming out on
25	Friday. We actually thought we would have more time.

1 But again we're here now as the drafts 2 are -- you know, this is a draft, and now you have all of our maps, and we will resubmit them if you want so 3 4 that you all have them. 5 SENATOR CASTRO: Yeah, I was just going 6 to ask you, because I wanted to see what you shared 7 today, could you -- can you submit those? 8 MS. SAYEED: Yes. So we will submit -- I 9 have some of your emails. We'll submit them to now the entire senate and house list that we have, but we will 10 11 resubmit them again to Chairman Aquino and to Chairman 12 Hernandez and the leadership another time, and Butler 13 and Cunningham. 14 SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you. I have 15 nothing further, Madam Chair. 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any further 17 questions? Leader Butler, I should say. 18 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Leader 19 Hernandez. Dr. Sayeed, thank you so much for your 20 testimony, and I think one of the groups -- I don't 21 know how many hearings you attended personally. I know 22 you have been at several of these. I know the 23 coalition I think attended just about every hearing we have, and I think the Muslim Civic Coalition did one of 2.4 25 the best jobs of letting this committee -- these

1	committees know exactly what they were talking about.
2	I just want to confirm with you, because I have not
3	seen your maps, I think when you shared them on your
4	screen was the first time that you've seen that
5	you've shown them, but you did say that you submitted
6	them to the Democratic staff as well as the leaders
7	offices of the House and Senate; is that correct?
8	MS. SAYEED: Yes.
9	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And could I
10	guess to Senator Castro's point, if you could share
11	that with all of us, or maybe this staff, maybe since
12	the majority staff received these and didn't care to
13	share it as other things have been shared, maybe the
14	majority staff could actually share things that have
15	been publicly submitted, that would be great.
16	I also want to bring up just one point
17	about the portal, because this is a question that came
18	up time and time again, literally I think from one of
19	the first hearings we had, about asking the majority
20	for a tutorial on the portal, which was never given, so
21	the public you see what happens when we didn't walk
22	the public through, people aren't able to submit their
23	maps like you asked. So, Dr. Sayeed, if you could
24	please share that, and I would ask maybe majority staff
25	to share it, because obviously they have it somewhere,

1	but if you could share it again with all of us we would
2	certainly appreciate that. And I just would like to
3	add we are, I don't know, three hours in to this
4	committee hearing so far, I think we have six people
5	who've testified, and five independent groups have said
6	wait for the census data to come out, and the paid
7	consultant has said ACS is all good, so that's where we
8	sit at 7:00.
9	MS. SAYEED: I'd like to share two points
10	to what you said. Absolutely we will send our maps
11	again. You know, and I and Dina, who you have met
12	at some of these hearings, I believe she did send them
13	to everybody, but that could be our mistake and I'll
14	just make sure everybody we'll make sure everybody
15	has them of whoever's emails we have, if you have them
16	and you got them, please share them with the others.
17	We got to make sure these maps that community
18	organizations are submitting, get to everybody.
19	We understand, like I I started off
20	saying, this is contentious, and this is complex. The
21	community organizations here don't want to be in the
22	middle of partisan fight. I just want to be really
23	honest. We just want our communities represented. We
24	don't want to be in the partisan fight where the
25	Republicans and Democrats are using our hearing and our

```
Page 116
```

1	time to talk about what the other side is doing wrong.
2	We'll submit our maps to all of you, we will all
3	resubmit our information to all of you
4	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, Dilara, I'm
5	going to ask you if you would be kind enough to then
6	submit to re I'm going to give you where to send
7	it, redistricting
8	MS. SAYEED: Yeah.
9	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
10	committee@hds.ilga.gov.
11	Redistrictingcommittee@hds.ilga.gov.
12	MS. SAYEED: Yep, we will.
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And, you know, and
14	this is this is exactly why we're having these
15	committees, because these are the kinds of instances
16	that we have to take in consideration, so I want to
17	thank you for your testimony.
18	MS. SAYEED: Yep. And we appreciate it.
19	Again giving some representation to communities that
20	have zero, yet have been a vibrant part of Illinois,
21	and equitable representation to those who have been
22	underrepresented, and we're not necessarily saying if
23	you can't wait for census, look census is ideal, we all
24	worked on the census, but if you can't wait for census,
25	you have to include all of our data, all of our

```
Page 117
```

demographics in the final mapping. Ideally the census 1 2 would be great. 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank 4 you. 5 MS. SAYEED: But it can't just be ACS, it 6 has to be everything. 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So --8 MS. SAYEED: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: -- I appreciate your information. So I will tell you, we do have, let's 10 11 see, one two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight --12 eight -- wait; one, two, three -- seven, seven more people wanting to testify, so I believe it's going to 13 14 be a long night, so --15 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Madam --16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're going to move 17 to the next -- oh. 18 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Madam Chair, before --19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Before we do that, I 21 just have one request. 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure. 23 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Dr. Sayeed, good seeing you again. I just want to just clarify if we can ask 24 25 in terms of the maps if you can make sure to compress

those files. Sometimes, you know, files especially 1 2 with maps can be very large, so if you can do that when sending them over, we'd appreciate your efforts. 3 4 Thanks again. 5 MS. SAYEED: Certainly, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: I have nothing further. 7 We can move on to the next testimony. Thank you, Madam 8 Chair. 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Aquino. 10 11 So next we will move to the next witness, 12 Jose Paredes from the Latino Policy Forum. Jose. 13 MR. PAREDES: Thank you so much. The 14 Latino Policy Forum would like to thank 15 Chairman Aquino, Chairwoman Hernandez, thank you so 16 much, and to the members of the Senate and the House Redistricting Committee for taking the time to hear 17 from the forum and other advocates in response to the 18 19 recent redistricting legislative maps released on 20 Friday. My name is Jose Marco-Paredes, and I'm the director of Civic Engagement of the Latino Policy 21 22 Forum. The forum is a statewide nonprofit advocacy and 23 policy organization. Part of the forum's work to strengthen leadership includes ensuring that there is a 2.4 fair redistricting process, and that provides 25

1 opportunities for the Latino community to elect the candidates of their choice. This also includes 2 3 ensuring that there are cohesive Latino communities of 4 interest in the state legislators mapping process, 5 promoting equitable Latino representation in mapmaking 6 proceedings, and advocating for a map that is 7 reflective of the demographics of Illinois' Latino 8 population. The Forum would also like to thank the 9 Senate and the House Redistricting Committees for 10 conducting over 40 hearings the past three months to 11 ensure communities throughout Illinois receive an 12 opportunity to provide testimony and give input 13 regarding what is in the best interest for our 14 neighborhoods, communities, and regions in the state. 15 The Forum was fortunate to provide 16 testimony on three of them. Unfortunately, when the 17 recent legislative maps were released on Friday, no 18 racial, ethnic, demographic composition of districts 19 were made available. The Forum is greatly disappointed 20 that this data was not made public. Without this 21 information it is impossible to analyze the maps and 22 determine if they include the considerations that we 23 made with -- when we provided testimony in this three past hearings. This is a significant problem and a 24 25 barrier for the Forum and others to provide feedback

1	and input on the maps. With zero data available, zero
2	analysis can be conducted. So when you couple this
3	problem with the issues that we've heard today centered
4	around not utilizing census data to draw the
5	legislative maps, it is very troublesome and
6	concerning, given that there are historical census
7	(inaudible) counts of black and Latino communities.
8	And knowing that the census 2020 state population
9	numbers have an estimated undercount between 42,000 and
10	140,000, we feel that these maps fall short to reflect
11	the true diversity of the state.
12	For all of the above, the Latino Policy
13	Forum is in opposition of the current legislative maps.
14	We cannot analyze them. We cannot agree with them.
15	But lastly I'm here to ask the Senate and the House
16	Redistricting Committee and the state legislators to
17	please release the demographic data associated to the
18	maps that were provided to the public last week, so we
19	can all fully and thoroughly give feedback and
20	around these legislative maps, as mentioned, without
21	this data, it is impossible to know if (inaudible)
22	standards and laws are being upheld, if any feedback or
23	recommendations of the community were considered, and
24	if there is any way to improve this version of the map
25	for the betterment of our communities.

```
1
                    The Forum strongly appreciate your
 2
     support of this community during the 2021 remapping and
     we look forward to your continued support. Thank you
 3
 4
     so much for your consideration. Thank you.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jose, for
     your testimony. I'll move to questions from members?
 6
 7
     Representative Spain.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Madam Chair, just --
 9
    Madam Chair, sorry, I just want to formally ask
     Vice-Chairman Sims to be in the chair for a moment.
10
11
     Thank you.
12
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure.
13
     Representative Spain, you may proceed.
14
                    REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, Madam
15
     Chair. And, Jose, thank you so much for your
16
     testimony. And I want to understand your request, I
     think you've stated it quite simply, which is just
17
     release the data; correct?
18
19
                    MR. PAREDES: That is correct.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: And how much time,
21
    when the data is released, will you need to do
22
     something with it, to analyze, and create findings, and
     return with recommendations?
23
24
                    MR. PAREDES: Unfortunately, I'm not able
25
    to respond to that question. We would have to chat
```

1	with our demographers.
2	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: And so and I
3	ask the question just because the clock is ticking.
4	MR. PAREDES: Yeah.
5	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: We're here in the
6	final week of session, and we know the urgency of your
7	request carries great weight and great consequences,
8	and so the request that not only you have made, but so
9	many other witnesses, to release the data needs to
10	happen very quickly, because I fear that this
11	intentional effort to run out the clock will be
12	successful. So I hope, Madam Chair, that we comply
13	with the many requests and we release the data. Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
16	Representative Spain. Are there any further questions
17	from the House? Representative Tarver.
18	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Yes, Madam Chair.
19	And I apologize for continuing to chime in. I just
20	want to make sure that we have a clear record here.
21	There has been this notion that there is an intention
22	of running out of the clock. I believe at every turn
23	you've said as soon as we have the ability, we will
24	release this information. I think you've continued to
25	say these are draft maps. I think you've continued to

1	say that we will take all of this into account, and so
2	I just want to make sure that we have a clear record,
3	so thank you for giving me another moment.
4	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure.
5	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: I have a
6	follow-up. I want to be clear then, if these are draft
7	maps, and we will see changes, will data be provided,
8	Madam Chair, when the final proposed map or the next
9	proposed map is made available?
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I believe so. We
11	are once again, we are gathering the information.
12	As you can see there are some information that's pretty
13	interesting that we have to take into consideration.
14	This is the purpose of having these sessions to see
15	what else we have to look into before there is a final
16	map.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So we have six
18	days left. We were told that this is a draft map, and
19	that changes would be made. I'd like to then
20	understand when the data would be made available so
21	that the work can be checked by the numerous advocacy
22	groups that have raised very serious and very fair
23	concerns tonight. I think we're going to need more
24	time. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Representative. I will move it to the senate, if there 1 2 is any further questions? 3 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you, Madam Chair, 4 no questions from the senate. 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. We'll move on to the next witness, and that is Jorge Perez 6 7 from Lake Effect Community Development. Jorge, you can 8 proceed. 9 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Before -- before it --Jorge, before you start, Aquino back in the chair. 10 11 Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Jorge, I think 13 you're muted. Can you unmute yourself? 14 MR. PEREZ: I'm sorry, I'll -- yes, I'm 15 sorry, I'll start all over. I'm sorry. 16 Yes, my name is Jorge Perez, and I'm 17 representing as a board member, Lake Effect Community Development. I want to thank you, Chair Hernandez and 18 19 also Chair Aquino for this opportunity to testify. I 20 represent an organization located on the southeast side 21 of Chicago looking at focus on community economic 22 development. We did testify at a couple of hearings 23 about a month ago. But I did want to reiterate the fact that in my previous incarnation for ten years I 2.4 ran a very premier, very well-known organization that 25

1	represented Hispanics in architecture, engineering, and
2	construction, throughout the State of Illinois, so I do
3	have that perspective of both, obviously the southeast
4	side and the Hispanics that live in this area, but also
5	the track of Hispanics and the trends of Hispanics
6	particularly in those industries that I mentioned over
7	the past ten years.
8	I do want to say that I strongly believe
9	that the process that was held, was very open and very
10	accessible. I think all of the leadership and electeds
11	have been very accessible in terms of questions
12	regarding this matter. I don't agree with a lot of the
13	other representation particularly (inaudible) of policy
14	forum, they don't necessarily represent me, they don't
15	represent the southeast side, and, no, I don't think
16	they represent many Hispanics who for the most part
17	have been just trying to achieve their version of the
18	American dream throughout Illinois.
19	I do think that the data that was put
20	out, particularly in terms of the Hispanic community's
21	growth, in terms of its being very reflective is

22 consistent throughout the whole State of Illinois, and 23 I think as a result the maps that have been drawn do 24 reflect that.

So again, on behalf of Lake Effect

25

1	Community Development, my name is Jorge Perez, and I
2	appreciate this opportunity to speak. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jorge,
4	for your presentation, is there any questions from
5	members of the house? Okay. There being none, I turn
6	it over to the senate, Chair Aquino?
7	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you. Unless
8	there are committee members there that I don't know
9	if there is someone that was trying to speak right now,
10	I apologize, but, no, we do not have any questions at
11	this moment.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Leader Aquino and
13	Leader Hernandez, if I can just for the record, since
14	everyone else was on here, and I've been diligently
15	waiting, for the record, I'm a graduate of Loyola,
16	Chicago Loyola University of Chicago, MBA, 1996.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. A question
20	for
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I guess I
22	suppose, go Ramblers, and I will end with that.
23	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I have a
24	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Yeah, just
25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Representative

1 Spain, you have a question? 2 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. Go Ramblers as well. Good to know. 3 4 Mr. Perez, we just, we want to be able as 5 we follow-up here and continue to work on this, to be able to learn more about your organization, the Lake 6 7 Effect Community Development, I'm an economic 8 development person as well. Do you have a website or a 9 place that you would point us to for further information? 10 11 MR. PEREZ: No, not at this point, 12 actually the original name of the organization was the 13 Hegewisch Development Corporation, but we've rebranded 14 it, and all of that information, websites, all of the 15 social media presence is being redone as we speak. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Representative --Leader Butler, I should say. 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Leader 20 Hernandez. And, Jorge, nice to see you again and thank Thank you for showing up on Easter Saturday 21 vou. 22 afternoon on 95th Street at that hearing that was 23 sparsely attended. I just had a guestion, you mentioned that you thought that -- I don't want to put 2.4 25 words in your mouth, but when you saw the maps, and you

1 thought this was reflective of the data, and can you 2 tell me what you based that off of? What data have you 3 seen that --4 MR. PEREZ: Sure. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: -- proves that 6 you believe they're good maps? 7 MR. PEREZ: I would say that -- the best 8 approach that I would take is that in the ten years 9 that I was heading up HACIA, we have been doing 10 modeling and forecast based upon how many construction 11 companies have been started, how many professional 12 service firms have been started by Hispanic 13 individuals, and those who had eventually become 14 certified by various, you know, municipalities, or some 15 government agency. And the reason why we -- obviously 16 I mention construction is because of the majority of 17 small businesses that are started by the Hispanic 18 community, roughly about 64 to 66 percent of them, go 19 into the construction world, and so it's everything 20 from, you know, your contracting, your subcontracting, 21 all of the way through engineering, and architectural 22 services. Most of those -- most of the entrepreneurs 23 in our community focus on construction, and so those that would pop up, based upon the last ten years, were 24 25 consistent with where obviously there has been growth

1	in the Hispanic communities throughout the State of
2	Illinois, so up in Lake County there was clearly a big
3	boom, even obviously out in DuPage, and Kane, and
4	obviously in the southwest side of Chicago as well,
5	that becomes a the basis for what why I'm saying
6	that.
7	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So the
8	construction data, is that what you're telling me?
9	MR. PEREZ: Constr the owners of
10	construction and construction-related businesses
11	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay.
12	MR. PEREZ: that are Hispanic-owned
13	for the past ten years, what that growth rate has been,
14	where they popped up, and whether or not they're
15	certified by a local municipality, be it Cook County,
16	or a local government agency, CMS, for example, that's
17	what we base that's what I'm basing it off of, how
18	I've seen that. I didn't look at it from a you
19	know, any other perspective but just on those business
20	startups and those that have remained in business over
21	the last ten years.
22	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So as opposed to
23	decennial census data where any rights where people
24	lived, this is construction business from data;
25	correct?

1	MR. PEREZ: That is correct. And
2	actually there is a level of analysis that we took that
3	most of the startup firms, are startup firms that start
4	out of their house, or start out of a mix-use building
5	where it's a storefront, for example, an apartment on
6	the second floor, those businesses that are small, that
7	are new, a truck, a couple of vans, those are the
8	individuals that's how everyone pretty much starts,
9	most of those individuals in the Hispanic community
10	operate that way, and in many cases they live where
11	they have their offices.
12	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Jorge.
13	MR. PEREZ: Uh-huh.
14	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Leader
15	Butler.
16	MR. PEREZ: Thank you, Leader Butler.
17	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Are we no
18	further questions. Okay. Well, I want to thank you,
19	Mr. Perez, for your testimony. We'll move on to the
20	next witness. Grace Chan McKibben from the Coalition
21	for a Better Chinese American Community. All right.
22	Grace?
23	MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: Yep. Thank you.
24	Thank you, Chair Hernandez, Chair Aquino, Vice-Chair
25	Tarver, and Vice-Chair Sims, and the rest of the

1 committee, for this opportunity to testify before you. 2 Thank you for listening to the community voices. 3 My name is Grace Chan McKibben. I'm the 4 executive director for Coalition for a Better Chinese 5 American Community, CBCAC for short, which is a policy 6 and advocacy organization based in Chicago's Chinatown, 7 and for those in the meeting who may be wondering if is 8 CBCAC is a legitimate community-based organization, you 9 don't have to look up our 990s. You can just ask Representative Mah who worked for CBCAC from 2010 to 10 11 2013. 12 CBCAC has led nonpartisan Civic 13 engagement and issue-based policy initiatives in 14 Chicago's Chinatown area since 1998, and we've worked 15 with many of the other advocacy organizations 16 represented here today over the years to ensure that 17 marginalized communities, particularly the immigrants with limited English proficiency, have opportunities to 18 19 access government services, to participate in voting, 20 and to participate in census. 21 The coalition currently operates as a 22 collective that includes 13 other organizations that 23 serve Chinese Americans in Chinatown and the surrounding neighborhoods of Bridgeport, McKinley Park, 24 25 Brighton Park, Pilsen, South Loop, and Douglas.

1	In the current redistricting process,
2	CBCAC is also part of the unity map project led by
3	UCCRO, the United Congress of Community and Religious
4	Organizations. The same map that you all just saw
5	Dilara Sayeed present, we were part of that effort as
6	well. And we are also an active member of the CHANGE
7	Illinois redistricting collective that's led by Jay
8	Young and Madeleine Doubek, so we are all friends here.
9	CBCAC has testified at both the state
10	senate and house redistricting committee hearings in
11	the past three months, as those of you that were at
12	that sparsely attended Easter Saturday morning
13	redistricting hearing on the east side may remember, I
14	was the one that was testifying for CBCAC that day.
15	The legislative map that was released by
16	the Senate and House Redistricting Committee on May
17	21st leaves many questions unanswered. Of most concern
18	is that the underlying demographic data were not
19	released with the map. As others have mentioned, this
20	leaves community-based organizations struggling right
21	now to match published census track data with the
22	proposed districts. So if you are a data nerd like me,
23	you would know that census tracks don't always coincide
24	exactly and boundaries to other areas, so where the
25	down boundaries do not exactly coincide, we're left to

1	guesswork.
2	My organization is interested in voting
3	rights and equity of access, and it's not possible
4	right now to ascertain whether the interests of
5	communities are protected as required by the Federal
6	Voting Rights Act and the Illinois Voting Rights Act of
7	2011, and as you all heard in previous testimonies by
8	CBCAC, we were instrumental in getting that particular
9	Voting Rights Act passed ten years ago.
10	Ten years ago CBCAC was also part of the
11	UCCRO unity map project, and we were very pleased at
12	that time that 95 percent of the Asian Americans living
13	in the greater Chinatown area were included in one
14	state house district, District 2.
15	Earlier this evening in Chair Aquino's
16	opening remarks he stated that Chinatown was kept whole
17	in one state representative district in the
18	newly-proposed map. While the shape of the legislative
19	district in Chinatown is indeed roughly the same shape
20	as the current legislative district with a little piece
21	cut out, we don't exactly know why, but we actually do
22	not have sufficient demographic data right now for the
23	district to confirm whether there are any changes that
24	would impact the Chinatown community or any other
25	communities. We were however very surprised that the

1	state house district number is now different.
2	Without more information and explanation
3	to our community by members of the redistricting
4	community, we simply do not know what exactly this
5	means, that Chinatown is now in the newly in the new
6	map, in State Representative District 24 instead of
7	State Rep District 2.
8	We know that all of those people who
9	voted for Representative Mah in 2016 to be the first
10	Asian American general assembly member would be as
11	surprised as we were. To be sure, because of the
12	pandemic, and delays in census enumeration, we are in
13	challenging times for redistricting. The Census
14	Bureau, as earlier stated, has announced that full
15	redistricting data would not be available until
16	September 2021; however, many policy organizations
17	around the country have called for delaying
18	redistricting until the full redistricting data are
19	available.
20	California and Oregon, as Madeleine has
21	stated, and Jay, have already given court approve to
22	relieve from constitutional and legislation deadlines,
23	and other states are in the process of seeking such
24	relief so that they can wait for full and accurate
25	information before redrawing that legislative maps, so

1	waiting is obviously one option.
2	If we decide not to wait a few more
3	months for census data, using American Community Survey
4	data estimates is another option, is one of the options
5	currently available to the legislators, as well as to
6	community organizations. The unity map that UCCRO
7	submitted so UCCRO submitted a state senate map on
8	May 7th, and then a state house map on May 14th, with
9	plenty of time for this committee to consider, so the
10	map unity map that was submitted for consideration
11	did use ACS data, and for the record, it's the
12	2015-to-2019 five-year estimate, but also took into
13	account input from African American, Latinx, Asian
14	American, and Muslim communities. CBCAC fully supports
15	the unity map for keeping communities together,
16	promoting the representation of communities of color
17	more fairly, and centering community voice in this
18	process.
19	It was mentioned earlier in this hearing
20	that the map released last Friday is a draft, that the
21	two the senate and house maps that were released
22	were drafts are drafts that are waiting for more
23	committee input. Here you are hearing community input
24	right now. Before this draft map is finalized, we
25	would like to discuss further how the various

1	community-drawn maps can be taken into account in the
2	remapping process, so you have received community-drawn
3	maps, and maybe some members have not been able to look
4	into those, and please do, so that you can see how you
5	can take those into account. And we also would like to
6	get more insights from this committee about the
7	underlying population and demographic data, like to get
8	the data that underlines those maps, and we believe
9	that we need a truly transparent process that takes
10	into account community voices and feedback in
11	meaningful ways, not just hearing us in committee
12	hearings and then keep doing what you were planning to
13	do anyway.
14	As we said as was said before me,
15	Illinois can be a beacon of justice and equity. Having
16	an accountable and transparent process for
17	redistricting that ensures that all of our voices are
18	heard as-needed, especially now. Please listen to us
19	today and work with us to ensure that the new map will
20	work for us all. Thank you for your time.
21	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Grace. I
22	will move to questions. Representative Spain?
23	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, Madam
24	Chair. And thank you Ms. Chan McKibben for your
25	testimony. I wanted to follow-up on something that you

1	said because it I wanted to understand it as well
2	from the opening remarks from the senate chairman, and
3	the comment was that Chinatown has been made whole
4	under the proposed draft maps, but your testimony, I
5	just wanted to bring some clarity to it, because I
6	think you articulated that you're still trying to
7	verify
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me,
9	Representative
10	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: or ascertain
11	that
12	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me,
13	Representative Spain, I'm going to put Representative
14	Tarver in the chair for a minute or two. You
15	please, continue.
16	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: No worries.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you.
18	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Tarver is in the
19	chair.
20	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Anyway, Ms. Chan
21	McKibben, the issue was I think you were saying you
22	were trying to validate that and ascertain if that's
23	correct, did I interpret your statements correctly?
24	MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: That is correct.
25	Because we have not had enough time since the map was

1	released, particularly that there is no underlying
2	demographic data, so what we are trying to do is to
3	take existing census track data and fit it inside the
4	shapes that were in the goal map to see if the numbers
5	are how the numbers match up.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. That
7	makes perfect sense. And it I just want to check
8	this, because we have questions about Chinatown. The
9	senate chairman mentioned that Danville has been
10	restored. I exchanged messages with the house
11	representative of Danville. He says actually the
12	opposite is true, that precincts have been removed from
13	Danville that was otherwise intact and whole before the
14	majority's proposed maps were introduced. Other
15	communities were mentioned being restored and united
16	together, like Carbondale and Galesburg, and that does
17	look to be true on the map, but there are other
18	communities like Kankakee, Peoria, Macomb, DeKalb, tiny
19	communities like the community of Good Hope, population
20	396 people, that have been bifurcated in the proposed
21	draft maps. So I appreciate that we're all looking to
22	follow-up and do some much needed fact-checking.
23	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I have my hand
24	up, but I can certainly defer if there are other
25	questions. I would say I'm not in Room 114, so Ryan if

someone else -- if there is someone who has a question, 1 2 just -- maybe just go ahead with your questions. REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, 3 4 Mr. Vice Chairman, I think we are good. Yeah, I think 5 we're good. 6 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. I see 7 Butler. Butler, did you have a question? 8 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: No, I did not, 9 I'm just telling you we're good. REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Okay. Thank you. 10 11 I appreciate that. 12 So just briefly on my end, I wanted to 13 take time to obviously acknowledge the constituent, so 14 thank you, Grace, for all that you do. And I wanted to say specifically thank you for being very clear in your 15 16 testimony that you engaged multiple groups in your process. I think that is something that we also 17 appreciate. And I was at that sparsely attended event 18 19 on Easter Sunday --20 MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: I know, you, me, and three other people. 21 2.2 REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: Yeah, so thank 23 you for coming out for that. Thank you to Jorge Perez 24 as well who is also a constituent. 25 MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: Yep.

1	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: And then lastly,
2	thank you, Dr. Sayeed. I really appreciate your
3	testimony and the consistency of your group throughout
4	these throughout the process. So thank you very
5	much.
6	And I don't have a script in front of me,
7	so I'm not sure who the next witness is.
8	SENATOR PLUMMER: This is Senator
9	Plummer. I have a question for the witness.
10	Ms. McKibben, thank you for appearing
11	before the committee today. Just to further clarify, I
12	heard you reference these maps, as I believe you were
13	saying you were hoping they were draft maps so that you
14	could have additional input on them. Could you provide
15	the committee a little bit more information as to what
16	data would be useful to you to make sure that the final
17	draft maps are more helpful and so that the communities
18	of interest can have additional input?
19	MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: So when the maps were
20	released by the state legislature ten years ago, they
21	were released with the demographic data that back up
22	those maps, so basically each house district, each
23	senate district, we need to see exactly how many people
24	from each demographic; ages, races, so on, are in each
25	of these those districts so that we can do our own

1	fact checking. As I said, you know, the unity map
2	proposed by UCCRO took into account all of these
3	different communities of interest, and we discussed all
4	of our needs, and moved blocks around on maps in order
5	to come to a proposed map, and this is the process that
6	is needed, and so we would welcome the data.
7	SENATOR PLUMMER: So I heard you say this
8	is the process that's needed, I believe, you know, the
9	last time we went through this ten years ago, a
10	Shapefile was released that had a tremendous amount of
11	useful data for folks that were looking at how these
12	maps may impact their communities of interest, you
13	know, that may want to try to replicate maps, so on and
14	so forth. Assuming that you were to receive similar
15	data, assuming that that was released to you and all of
16	the other witnesses and the all of the people of
17	Illinois, how much time would you need to be able to
18	kind of review the data, and look at the map, and come
19	back to the committee with some productive testimony?
20	MS. CHAN MCKIBBEN: It's difficult to
21	say, I think it's probably it probably would be at
22	least a couple of weeks because it you know, we want
23	to be sure that we are looking at everything carefully,
24	so it's not a matter of hours or a day or two.
25	SENATOR PLUMMER: So, I appreciate that,

1	I guess, thank you again for appearing, Ms. McKibben.
2	I've heard several other witnesses express similar
3	desires and similar comments in their testimony. I
4	guess I would go to Chair Aquino, or the house chair,
5	whomever, hearing that so many witnesses would like
6	this data, can we get a commitment that it will be
7	released? And if so, is there a date it will be
8	released so that they can plan accordingly?
9	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER: I'll say at the
10	risk of redundancy that I think Chair Hernandez was
11	very clear. I won't speak for you, Chair Aquino, but I
12	think, was very clear that we hear the concerns, Chair
13	Hernandez has made very clear that as soon as we're
14	able to provide that information, we will do so. I
15	think she's also made it abundantly clear, these are
16	draft maps. The purpose of this is to hear from the
17	community, as we're doing right now, and just so we
18	have clear record, for the tenth time, we will send
19	that information out as soon as we are able to make it
20	available.
21	SENATOR PLUMMER: So you clearly made it
22	available to some people so that these maps could be
23	drawn; why can't it be made available to the public?
24	REPRESENTATIVE TARVER sir, again, at the
25	risk of redundancy, I'm we have a clear record,

1	you've asked the question, there has been a response.
2	I'm not a witness. I've responded to your request,
3	Chair Hernandez has responded to this 17 times prior to
4	now, to Spain, and Butler, and others, and Barickman
5	have asked the same thing, so I don't know why you
6	anticipate at 7:34 p.m. you'll get a different answer
7	than what's been said for the last three and a half
8	hours, but that's the answer.
9	SENATOR PLUMMER: Perhaps the number of
10	times that the question has been asked goes against
11	your
12	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Representative back
13	in the chair.
14	SENATOR PLUMMER: Thank you.
15	Perhaps the number of times the question
16	has been asked goes against your comments as to clarity
17	and transparency. Thank you, Ms. McKibben, thank you
18	for being here, I apologize, the committee and the
19	folks in Springfield have not provided you or other
20	witnesses with data to make this a useful process.
21	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any further
22	questions? Okay. Being there being none, I
23	believe Chairman Aquino, on your end, no questions?
24	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: We have no questions at
25	this we have no further questions at this time,

1 thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right. Thank you. We'll move on. I'm going to ask if we'd like to 3 4 take a two-minute break? I do have three more who are 5 looking to testify. Do you want to maybe just take one-minute break to just maybe -- or do you want to 6 7 keep going? 8 (Inaudible.) 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep. Okay. All right. Sounds good. Then we'll keep moving on. 10 Next is Valerie Leonard from UCCRO and 11 12 the Illinois African Americans for Equitable 13 Redistricting. Valerie, please proceed. 14 MS. LEONARD: Okay. I am here. Is it 15 possible for me to share my screen? Do I have 16 permission? 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You may. 18 MS. LEONARD: Thank you. All right. I'm 19 not quite sure why I'm here, I didn't mean to share my email. Bear with me. 20 21 All right. I just wanted to I guess share kind of a review of where I started when I 22 23 started this process. My name is Valerie Leonard. I 2.4 am the founder of nonprofit Utopia, a lifelong North Lawndale resident, convener of Illinois African 25

1	Americans for Equitable Redistricting, and I promise
2	you that I won't be very long, but I am extremely
3	concerned about this draft map.
4	Here is North Lawndale, North Lawndale
5	community, also known legally as Community Area 29, and
6	that's what I refer to when I speak of North Lawndale.
7	It's a community of interests as well, overwhelmingly
8	African American, over 86 percent African American. We
9	have been in that community over 50 years as a cohesive
10	community, similar culture. Many of our parents are
11	migrants from places like Mississippi and Georgia and
12	Alabama. And we have a number of customs that, you
13	know, we have in common, and we'd like to stay
14	together. Here are the current boundaries for legis-
15	well, Representative District 9 and 10, and together
16	they make Senate District 5, and as you all remember I
17	was very concerned about the loss in population.
18	And, too I want to say, too,
19	historically Legislative District 9 has been African
20	American, and any time in years past, and I'm talking
21	about 30 years, probably 40, it's you know, whenever
22	we've lost population, there has been some
23	adjustment and when I say "we," I'm talking about
24	African Americans, there has been adjustments to
25	restore the historic majority African American
1	

1 district, both for Legislative Districts 9 and 10 and Senate District 5. All right. So I won't go through 2 3 this in detail. I think you've seen this enough, but 4 it does demonstrate that we have lost population 5 between 2010 and 2019. 6 However, even in spite of the fact that 7 we've lost population, we were able to demonstrate that 8 it's possible to restore that district as a 9 historically majority black district, and here is a 10 sample of some of the historically black districts and 11 we were able to restore them to majority black. 12 This is -- I guess, this is a map -- the 13 current map, I just wanted to show District 5, the 14 current District 5. This is Senate District 5, as it 15 relates to, you know, adjacent senate districts. This 16 is the current. We have Senate District 1 and Senate 17 District 11. All right. And then also Senate District 18 12. Okay. Bear with me, I'm having problems with this 19 thing advancing. 20 All right. We took a look at -- I'm 21 sorry about that, I didn't mean for that to happen. We 22 took a look at the current proposal, and I am deeply, 23 deeply concerned, and I'm not the only one, that the 24 shape of District 9 has changed. It's actually been 25 drawn to be more diverse. You know, we don't have the

1	data but anybody can tell you, you know, just by look
2	if you know anything about Chicago, and the
3	communities, and the streets, you know that this is not
4	as black as it used to be. And in fact it was drawn to
5	be even more diverse, which means a lower percentage of
6	blacks. And again, we don't have the data but, you
7	know, I know these boundaries pretty well. I am
8	concerned that North Lawndale has been split. This
9	looks like Cermak Road here, this area that we call the
10	triangle historically has always, always been in the
11	same district with us in terms of the legislative
12	district. They make up a significant black population.
13	They're extremely engaged in civic
14	affairs. Those are our neighbors, we pray together, we
15	go to school together, engage in many activities
16	together, but yet we're separated. We're separated.
17	There is, it looks like a new District 23, and just
18	based on what I know about the community this is going
19	to be primarily Latino, and a new District 24, and
20	again I'm looking at this and I'm assuming that's going
21	to be primarily Latino, and I believe that these will
22	be nested together in a new senate district. And it's
23	not necessary, I think. You don't need to have this
24	area, we need that. You know, we when I say "we,"
25	I'm talking about District 9 African American

1	community, but it looks like from the way this was
2	drawn there is I guess an attempt to connect the Berwyn
3	community with Little Village going through North
4	Lawndale. In years past, they were connected, but they
5	didn't take away this area that we call the triangle.
6	And what that does is it effectively
7	dilutes African American voting power. Why do I say
8	that? Because, one, this goes from an area that right
9	now it's about 46 percent black, but again it's
10	historically black, it can be redrawn to be majority
11	black, and we have the data to prove it. We have the
12	map to prove it; right, but yet whoever did this map
13	chose not to do it. I mean, you can choose 50 million
14	different ways to a draw a map, but this one actually
15	dilutes the voting power of blacks, especially the ones
16	in the triangle area. They go from an area that will
17	that's 46 percent black now, and historically has
18	been anywhere from 50 to 55 percent black, to now being
19	approximately I would say about 3 to 4 percent, and
20	they'll never ever get to the point where they will
21	have enough power to elect, what, an African American
22	in that community, and that's concerning. And here is
23	this is a screenshot of what we submitted and how it
24	was rendered on the ilga.gov site, so the whole map has
25	been split into 12 pieces, and this is the West Chicago

1 and western Cook County.

And at this point I just want to share 2 3 what we appreciate about the redistricting process and 4 what we don't appreciate. And I'm going to -- at this 5 point, when I say "we," I'm not necessarily speaking 6 for UCCRO, but I can say that I'm speaking for the 7 Illinois African Americans for Equitable Redistricting. 8 We don't -- or rather we do like the fact that we had 9 the opportunity to provide public input. You didn't 10 limit or time. You let us express yourselves, and 11 that's wonderful. We like the fact that the Senate 12 shared mapping proposals that you got from the public, you posted them on your website, and we're blessed to 13 14 have been one of, I believe, three groups -- and when I 15 say "we" I'm talking about UCCRO. We also appreciate 16 the fact that you're using ACS data, because that's the 17 most recent data, and we believe that, you know, those 18 data are sufficient to, you know, do a redistricting 19 process.

20 We don't believe you necessarily have to 21 wait until you get full census data, and again I'm not 22 speaking for UCCRO. We believe that there are 23 professionals that understand data, they understand the 24 various datasets, in fact, we have access to a couple 25 within our group who can just, you know, look at this

1	stuff and tell you immediately what's off. Right? And
2	we like the fact that you're maintaining the deadlines
3	set by the Illinois Constitution. And we like the fact
4	that the districts have reportedly been drawn to be
5	fair and within the guidelines of federal and state
6	civil rights laws, but we have no way of verifying
7	that; right, because we don't have the data.
8	We do know that we are very painstaking
9	in our own process to make sure that we adhere to all
10	of the civil rights laws at the federal level as well
11	as at the state level. We're very, very, very, very,
12	very concerned about the lack of transparency in the
13	way the maps were drawn. There were, obviously we've
14	been hearing this all night, no supporting data, so
15	that we can understand the demographic makeup of the
16	districts. I even did a FOIA request over the weekend
17	so that I could get those data so I could at least have
18	some educated way to talk to you tonight about what's
19	good and what's not so good about these maps. It just
20	so happens I'm intimately aware of my own boundaries,
21	but, you know, I can't look at the whole state and tell
22	you that, what's going on. But our FOIA request was
23	denied, and the House so far, to the best of my
24	knowledge, has refused to share the maps that the
25	public has shared. You know, we like the fact that the

1	Senate has shared those maps so we can look at other
2	people's perspectives, but we don't have that same
3	luxury on the House side. You know, I know we
4	submitted one, and I'm sure others have submitted, but
5	we've not been able to see their maps.
6	And I know, you know, just from our
7	example that, you know, North Lawndale black voting
8	power has been diluted, particularly for those folks in
9	the triangle. I mean it's bad enough for us who are in
10	the major part of North Lawndale, but if you look at
11	our district I'm going to go back and show you how
12	this is drawn. I mean, it's almost like the tale of
13	two cities, you got North Lawndale, which is one of the
14	poorest communities in the city, and probably one of
15	the poorest communities in the state with more than
16	half of the people living below poverty on the west
17	side; right, but they drew our district even further
18	north. I mean it already went to Old Town, but now it
19	goes up to Lincoln Park, you know.
20	We're we've got the best of two
21	worlds, like the tale of two cities, we've got the
22	poorest folks, combined with some of the richest folks
23	in the state, in the same district and is more diverse.
24	The likelihood over time as things change if these
25	boundaries are not changed, the likelihood of some poor

person -- poor black person from North Lawndale being 1 2 able to compete with somebody with many, many more resources in other parts of the district, that's new. 3 4 All right. 5 So, again, I think what's most concerning is you guys listened to me, at least you heard -- no, I 6 7 can't even say you heard me, I can't even say you 8 listened to me, I ranted and I raved every time I came 9 here, but, you know, this time I'm really, really 10 personally upset, because this is my community, my 11 community that you guys are diluting. You know, I feel 12 like people are just looking at North Lawndale and 13 trying to figure out what's best for them, rather than 14 what's best for the people. I've shared with you time and time again 15 16 how we're losing black population. I shared with you 17 time and time again our desire for you to recalibrate this district so that it returns to its historic 18 19 majority black status. We have shown you through the 20 data, we have shown you through the map, that it is 21 possible to recalibrate this to majority black 22 district, but looking at the way this district has been 23 drawn, without having the data, I can tell you it's 24 even more diverse now than it -- well, it will be more diverse than it is now. All right. Meaning less 25

1	black, it's not going to be a majority black district
2	if you leave those leave that proposal in place.
3	We're asking you to support the UCCRO
4	map. Why? Because it optimizes opportunities for
5	minorities to elect candidates of choice, not just
6	black people, not just Latino people, not just Asians,
7	not just Muslims, but everybody. This map has
8	optimized opportunities all over the state. It's the
9	most comprehensive proposal of any community group in
10	the state. It's backed up with data. It was drawn
11	within the parameters of the Voting Rights Act of 1964,
12	and the Illinois Voting Rights Act of 2011.
13	And right now if you look at our current
14	district, our current map has eight black senate
15	districts that are over 50 percent. The proposed state
16	map has six black districts. There are five Latino
17	districts and goes up to six. There is one Asian
18	senate district. And six Asian districts. And these
19	are influence districts over 15 percent. 16 black
20	house districts. 13 and 16 house black districts
21	currently, 16 black majority. And then 13 black
22	majority districts over 50 percent. And then 11 Latino
23	House Districts over 55 percent, compared to 13 Latino
24	House Districts over 55 percent, and 13 Asian. So you
25	can see that there is significant dilution of black

power going from the current map to what is proposed 1 2 based on what we've been able to reverse engineer. All right? 3 4 So are there any questions? I'm going to 5 stop right there, but needless to say, you might get the sense that I really don't like this map. 6 I prefer 7 that you use the UCCRO map as the basis. You know, 8 it's based -- it's grounded in data, it's grounded in 9 the Civil Rights Act, and it's verifiable. All right? I'm done. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Valerie, 12 for that presentation, lengthy presentation, detailed 13 presentation. I do see that there are hands for 14 questions. Let me see, where do we start? Representative Butler. Or Leader Butler. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Senator 17 (inaudible.) 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Senator Plummer. 19 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yes, thank you, Madam 20 Chair. And to the witness thank you for your very 21 thorough testimony. 22 If you want to share your screen again, I 23 would appreciate it, maybe the second or third to last 24 slide you presented had a graph that compared I believe 25 the existing map to the maps we saw come out late

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

Page 155

1 Friday night broke down. Here we go. Yeah, I'll wait 2 until you get there, towards the end of the 3 presentation. 4 MS. LEONARD: Okay. Bear with me. 5 SENATOR PLUMMER: No problem. MS. LEONARD: Okay. That's the current. 6 7 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yeah, let's go a couple 8 more. 9 MS. LEONARD: This one? SENATOR PLUMMER: A couple more. It --10 the slide by -- the side-by-side where it had the --11 MS. LEONARD: With the data? 12 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yes. Here we go, right 13 14 there. MS. LEONARD: That one? 15 16 SENATOR PLUMMER: This one. Yes. Yes. 17 Where did you get the information to generate the claims under the column that says "The proposed state 18 19 map has"? 20 MS. LEONARD: Uh-huh. I have access to 21 people who can reverse engineer stuff. You know, one 22 of the people on our team has worked with the 23 University of Illinois, and was a data person for the University of Illinois, very well versed in GIS, very 24 well versed in census data, and all of that good stuff. 25

1	He took a look at the maps, and these are just
2	estimates, you know, you never really know what's going
3	on until you get the actual data, but there are people
4	who know how to reverse engineer.
5	SENATOR PLUMMER: So and thank you for
6	that. So this expert that you were utilizing, what
7	data did he use to come up with this information?
8	MS. LEONARD: ACS. Did you hear me?
9	ACS.
10	SENATOR PLUMMER: Yes, yes, I heard you.
11	MS. LEONARD: Okay.
12	SENATOR PLUMMER: As has been discussed a
13	few times tonight, and as well as at some of the other
14	hearings, there is obviously various types of ACS data.
15	Do you know specifically what type of ACS data your
16	expert used to generate this information?
17	MS. LEONARD: You know what, I don't.
18	You know, I'm not the data geek, I just listen to
19	conversations. I ask general questions. He's the one
20	who gets in the weeds, you know, he has access to many,
21	many datasets and other things
22	SENATOR PLUMMER: Sure.
23	MS. LEONARD: and how to manipulate
24	them.
25	SENATOR PLUMMER: So I just heard you say

1 he has access to many, many datasets. So did he use any datasets in addition to the ACS dataset to generate 2 this information? 3 4 MS. LEONARD: To the best of my 5 knowledge, no. 6 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okav. Would your 7 expert be willing to communicate with us so that we can 8 learn more about how he generated this information? 9 MS. LEONARD: I can ask him. I think he 10 would be really -- he would be a really good person to 11 also look at the bias in maps. You know, and when I 12 say bias, I'm talking about the political bias and how it's really in our best interest to make sure that we 13 14 have the most diverse maps. And when you have a -- and 15 when I say diverse, I'm talking about ethnically and 16 racially diverse maps, when you have opportunities for 17 everybody, that tends to take away the political bias, 18 either Democrat or Republican, and you (inaudible) data 19 that actually proves that (inaudible). 20 SENATOR PLUMMER: It would be wonderful 21 to remove the politics from the process. I couldn't 22 agree more. Do you mind sharing the name of this 23 expert? I know that we would love to be able to 24 communicate with him. 25 MS. LEONARD: I need to ask him if it's

1 okay to give his name, you know, I don't just put people on blast like that. 2 3 SENATOR PLUMMER: Sure. Sure. 4 MS. LEONARD: Okay. 5 SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, I definitely --6 it definitely wouldn't be our side that's blasting 7 anyone tonight. I sure do appreciate your testimony. 8 The information that your expert could provide us would 9 be wonderful. We'd love to have it. We'd love to 10 communicate with him, and I appreciate your time. 11 MS. LEONARD: Okay. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do we have further 13 questions? Leader Bourne. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Thank you, Madam 15 Chair. Thank you, Ms. Leonard, for joining us again, good to see you. You mentioned -- it was exactly the 16 17 exact slide that Senator Plummer asked about, so I'd 18 like to ask a question of the chairwoman to see, are 19 the numbers reflected in her data the same numbers that 20 you have for majority-minority districts? 21 MS. LEONARD: Let me share that. Oh, 22 bear with me, slide --23 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Yeah, we can see 24 it, that's perfect. 25 MS. LEONARD: Okay. You don't need to

see it as a slide -- okay. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: But, Madam Chair, are these the numbers consistent with the numbers that 3 4 you have for the majority-minority districts? 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader Bourne, I don't have those numbers. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Thank you. Okay. 8 Ms. Leonard also mentioned political bias, and I was 9 looking at that North Lawndale district that she had showed on her screen --10 11 MS. LEONARD: You need me to show that again? 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Sure. And I 14 overlaid it with an incumbent map. So my question to 15 you, Madam Chairwoman, is: Are these maps drawn 16 intentionally to disproportionately favor Democrats or 17 democratic incumbents? Because it seems to be that her testimony is that she doesn't see that as a community 18 19 of interest, but it does seem to scoop up a democratic 20 incumbent, so my question is around the intention of 21 the maps being drawn that way. 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Once again I don't 23 have that information. I'm looking for us to compile. Once we compile and go through, we will come up with a 2.4 map, along with data, that would answer a lot of these 25

1 questions.

2REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Okay. Thank you.3But I just want to I mean, it was drawn for some4reason, so I just am wondering we have had a lot of5testimony tonight asking very pointed questions, not6just from lawmakers, but from these groups and from7community organizations who are giving you their input8and asking, I think, specific questions so they can9analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"10or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that11 we had one person testify that they left many of the12previous hearings with more questions than answers, and13I think that will be true tonight, and that's very14disappointing, because if we are going to leave with15more questions than answers, and never get the answers,16and then you're going to push through a map without17giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what18your stated intention is for these hearings.19MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was20it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that21REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me23that was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.25SENATOR PLUMMER: Yep.		1
4reason, so I just am wondering we have had a lot of5testimony tonight asking very pointed questions, not6just from lawmakers, but from these groups and from7community organizations who are giving you their input8and asking, I think, specific questions so they can9analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"10or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that11 we had one person testify that they left many of the12previous hearings with more questions than answers, and13I think that will be true tonight, and that's very14disappointing, because if we are going to leave with15more questions than answers, and never get the answers,16and then you're going to push through a map without17giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what18your stated intention is for these hearings.19MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was20it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that21REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me23that was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	2	REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Okay. Thank you.
testimony tonight asking very pointed questions, not just from lawmakers, but from these groups and from community organizations who are giving you their input and asking, I think, specific questions so they can analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes" or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that we had one person testify that they left many of the previous hearings with more questions than answers, and I think that will be true tonight, and that's very disappointing, because if we are going to leave with more questions than answers, and never get the answers, and then you're going to push through a map without giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what your stated intention is for these hearings. MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that Representative Butler? REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me that was me, Senator Plummer.	3	But I just want to I mean, it was drawn for some
<ul> <li>just from lawmakers, but from these groups and from</li> <li>community organizations who are giving you their input</li> <li>and asking, I think, specific questions so they can</li> <li>analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"</li> <li>or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that</li> <li> we had one person testify that they left many of the</li> <li>previous hearings with more questions than answers, and</li> <li>I think that will be true tonight, and that's very</li> <li>disappointing, because if we are going to leave with</li> <li>more questions than answers, and never get the answers,</li> <li>and then you're going to push through a map without</li> <li>giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what</li> <li>your stated intention is for these hearings.</li> <li>MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was</li> <li>it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that</li> <li>REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me</li> <li>that was me, Senator Plummer.</li> <li>MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.</li> </ul>	4	reason, so I just am wondering we have had a lot of
community organizations who are giving you their input and asking, I think, specific questions so they can analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes" or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that we had one person testify that they left many of the previous hearings with more questions than answers, and I think that will be true tonight, and that's very disappointing, because if we are going to leave with more questions than answers, and never get the answers, and then you're going to push through a map without giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what your stated intention is for these hearings. MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that Representative Butler? REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me that was me, Senator Plummer.	5	testimony tonight asking very pointed questions, not
and asking, I think, specific questions so they cananalyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that we had one person testify that they left many of theprevious hearings with more questions than answers, andI think that will be true tonight, and that's verydisappointing, because if we are going to leave withmore questions than answers, and never get the answers,and then you're going to push through a map withoutgiving us answers, that's the opposite of I think whatyour stated intention is for these hearings.MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who wasit who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is thatREPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was methat was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	6	just from lawmakers, but from these groups and from
<ul> <li>analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"</li> <li>or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that</li> <li> we had one person testify that they left many of the</li> <li>previous hearings with more questions than answers, and</li> <li>I think that will be true tonight, and that's very</li> <li>disappointing, because if we are going to leave with</li> <li>more questions than answers, and never get the answers,</li> <li>and then you're going to push through a map without</li> <li>giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what</li> <li>your stated intention is for these hearings.</li> <li>MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was</li> <li>it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that</li> <li>Representative Butler?</li> <li>REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me</li> <li>that was me, Senator Plummer.</li> <li>MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.</li> </ul>	7	community organizations who are giving you their input
10or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that11 we had one person testify that they left many of the12previous hearings with more questions than answers, and13I think that will be true tonight, and that's very14disappointing, because if we are going to leave with15more questions than answers, and never get the answers,16and then you're going to push through a map without17giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what18your stated intention is for these hearings.19MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was20it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that21REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me23that was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	8	and asking, I think, specific questions so they can
11 we had one person testify that they left many of the 12 previous hearings with more questions than answers, and 13 I think that will be true tonight, and that's very 14 disappointing, because if we are going to leave with 15 more questions than answers, and never get the answers, 16 and then you're going to push through a map without 17 giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what 18 your stated intention is for these hearings. 19 MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was 20 it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that 21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	9	analyze the maps, so I think that's a pretty easy "yes"
12previous hearings with more questions than answers, and13I think that will be true tonight, and that's very14disappointing, because if we are going to leave with15more questions than answers, and never get the answers,16and then you're going to push through a map without17giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what18your stated intention is for these hearings.19MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was20it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that21REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me23that was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	10	or "no" question. And I just can't help but think that
13I think that will be true tonight, and that's very14disappointing, because if we are going to leave with15more questions than answers, and never get the answers,16and then you're going to push through a map without17giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what18your stated intention is for these hearings.19MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was20it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that21REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me23that was me, Senator Plummer.24MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	11	we had one person testify that they left many of the
disappointing, because if we are going to leave with more questions than answers, and never get the answers, and then you're going to push through a map without giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what your stated intention is for these hearings. MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that Representative Butler? REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me that was me, Senator Plummer. MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	12	previous hearings with more questions than answers, and
more questions than answers, and never get the answers, and then you're going to push through a map without giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what your stated intention is for these hearings. MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that Representative Butler? REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me that was me, Senator Plummer. MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	13	I think that will be true tonight, and that's very
16 and then you're going to push through a map without 17 giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what 18 your stated intention is for these hearings. 19 MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was 20 it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that 21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	14	disappointing, because if we are going to leave with
<pre>17 giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what 18 your stated intention is for these hearings. 19 MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was 20 it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that 21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.</pre>	15	more questions than answers, and never get the answers,
<pre>18 your stated intention is for these hearings. 19 MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was 20 it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that 21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.</pre>	16	and then you're going to push through a map without
MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that Representative Butler? REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me that was me, Senator Plummer. MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	17	giving us answers, that's the opposite of I think what
20 it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that 21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	18	your stated intention is for these hearings.
21 Representative Butler? 22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	19	MS. LEONARD: A question for you, who was
22 REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me 23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	20	it who wanted to be introduced to my contact? Is that
23 that was me, Senator Plummer. 24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	21	Representative Butler?
24 MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.	22	REPRESENTATIVE PLUMMER: That was me
	23	that was me, Senator Plummer.
25 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yep.	24	MS. LEONARD: Senator okay.
	25	SENATOR PLUMMER: Yep.

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1	MS. LEONARD: Okay. I'll call your
2	office tomorrow.
3	SENATOR PLUMMER: That's great. My
4	information can be found at senatorjasonplummer.com.
5	MS. LEONARD: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
7	Ms. Leonard. I'm going to ask if the senate on the
8	senate if there are any further questions? Senator
9	Plummer?
10	SENATOR PLUMMER: Yes, thank you, Madam
11	Chair. Following up on Leader Bourne's question, I
12	heard your answer. I guess my question would be on the
13	senate side, clearly, you know, these maps just kind of
14	appeared out of nowhere. Nobody can answer how or why
15	or who or what, so I thought maybe we would try on the
16	senate side just to learn more about these, because I
17	think Ms. Leonard's presentation has been very
18	interesting and has raised a lot of questions, looking
19	at the district that Leader Bourne highlighted
20	MS. LEONARD: Do you want me to bring it
21	back up?
22	SENATOR PLUMMER: If you don't mind, that
23	would be wonderful, yes.
24	MS. LEONARD: Just a moment.
25	SENATOR PLUMMER: Thank you.

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1 MS. LEONARD: Okay. 2 SENATOR PLUMMER: So looking at this, Chairman Aquino, I mean, did -- were these maps drawn 3 4 to disproportionately favor Democrats or at least 5 Democrat incumbents? I mean, is that why these districts look like this and have been changed like 6 7 this? 8 CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Senator Plummer, I'm 9 going to defer to my colleague, Leader Hunter, who 10 wants to respond. 11 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. SENATOR HUNTER: Hi, Valerie, how are 12 13 you? 14 MS. LEONARD: I'm good. And you? I -is that Senator Hunter? I can't --15 16 SENATOR HUNTER: It's I. Yes, it is me. 17 It is me. 18 MS. LEONARD: I recognize your voice. 19 SENATOR HUNTER: Okay. Thank you so much 20 for hanging in there with us. You've been very 21 diligent and consistent in attending all of the 22 hearings and presenting your data, so we really 23 appreciate you. 24 But let me ask you a question. The map 25 that you've drawn, isn't it quite similar to what

Van Pelt's district exists now? What is the 1 2 difference? Isn't it about the same? 3 MS. LEONARD: What's the difference? 4 It's very similar, but it goes further north, up into 5 Lincoln Park, so we're going further north here, and I believe the current district stops somewhere down here, 6 7 and it takes off the black population here. So --8 (Inaudible.) 9 MS. LEONARD: So those are the main differences I can see without, you know, overlaying one 10 over the other. 11 12 SENATOR HUNTER: Yeah. Yeah, because I 13 notice that -- you know, I know -- I'm very familiar 14 with the boundaries that you've drawn, and I'm also 15 very familiar with Senator Van Pelt's district, and I 16 kept watching the boundaries, and they really look quite similar actually. 17 18 MS. LEONARD: Yeah, they do. 19 SENATOR HUNTER: Yeah, so --20 MS. LEONARD: But it's just amaze- -- I'm 21 sorry. I'm sorry. But it's amazing what a difference 22 a few precincts make. 23 SENATOR HUNTER: Oh, it does. 24 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. And what makes -what concerns me most is this area here of North 25

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

Page 164

Lawndale being cut off, this area --1 2 SENATOR HUNTER: And what area -- what --3 MS. LEONARD: This triangle here -- okay. 4 You see this diagonal line here? This is Ogden, this 5 is city limits, and this is Cermak, just --6 SENATOR HUNTER: Cermak, okay. 7 MS. LEONARD: Cermak Road. 8 SENATOR HUNTER: All right. Well --9 MS. LEONARD: (Inaudible.) 10 SENATOR HUNTER: -- you know what, thank 11 you. Okay. Well, I tell you what, thank you so much 12 for your concerns, and we will definitely take it into 13 -- we'll take the concerns back. 14 MS. LEONARD: All right. Thank you. 15 SENATOR HUNTER: Okay. Thank you, I 16 really appreciate you, Valerie. 17 SENATOR PLUMMER: Leader Hunter, this is Senator Plummer. I think Chairman Aquino said that you 18 19 would answer my question, so -- and I think you guys 20 just hit on this a little bit more talking about how 21 some of these seem to look like they were drawn to 22 favor Democratic incumbents, so I guess the question that I had --23 24 SENATOR HUNTER: No, I never -- I never 25 -- I never stated that at all, Senator Plummer --

```
1
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: Right.
 2
                    SENATOR HUNTER: -- where did you get
     that from?
 3
 4
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: Just the description of
 5
     the districts and how they seem to --
 6
                    SENATOR HUNTER: Only because -- only
 7
    because I know the west side, and I know the City of
8
     Chicago, and that is exactly what I was referring to.
 9
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: Right. So I quess --
10
                    SENATOR HUNTER: I never mentioned
11
     anything about any Democrats, or political affiliation,
12
     or anything like that, Senator Plummer.
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, yeah, you
13
14
    mentioned Senator Van Pelt, and other folks who happen
15
    to be Democrats, so I just made the assumption, my
16
    apologies.
17
                    So my question then -- my question then
18
    Leader --
19
                    SENATOR HUNTER: It's just like we're all
20
    black, too; right?
21
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: So my question --
2.2
                    MS. LEONARD: This is the stuff that
23
    happens at 8:00.
24
                    SENATOR PLUMMER: So my question, Leader
25
    Hunter, is: Was this map drawn, to your knowledge, in
```

1 a way to disproportionately favor Democrats or democrat 2 incumbents? 3 SENATOR HUNTER: Not to my knowledge, no, 4 not at all, Senator Plummer. 5 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. Thank you. 6 Thank you, Leader Hunter. 7 SENATOR HUNTER: It looks like the current district to me, that's why --8 9 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. SENATOR HUNTER: That's exactly why I 10 11 made a comment, Valerie. 12 MS. LEONARD: Yeah, I can see why. You 13 know, when I saw it at first, you know, before the --14 you know, before we got the street underlay, I was 15 like, oh, they kept us intact for the most part; right, 16 but, you know, there -- the devil is in the details, I started looking at the streets and we're further north. 17 18 SENATOR HUNTER: And I did the same, I 19 looked at the streets and it looked quite similar to 20 me, that's why I was -- I had to make a comment. 21 MS. LEONARD: Yes. 2.2 SENATOR HUNTER: That's all. That's all. 23 MS. LEONARD: But I don't think you have 2.4 -- I think the current one might stop around here. You don't have that long tail. 25

1	SENATOR HUNTER: Yeah. Okay.
2	MS. LEONARD: So it's more diverse. It's
3	even more diverse than it is post losing population.
4	SENATOR HUNTER: Okay. Thank you very
5	much. Okay.
6	MS. LEONARD: All right. Any more
7	questions?
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Chair Aquino, is
9	that all we have on your end?
10	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: That's all from us,
11	thank you. And, thank you, Ms. Leonard again for your
12	testimony and your continuous advocacy here. I would
13	say that this is the purpose of the hearing is to get
14	that sort of finite, either from from folks that are
15	rooted in their community that know the area well and
16	so it's we will certainly take back that note of
17	that triangle area of your concern, so thank you so
18	much for your testimony this evening.
19	MS. LEONARD: Yes. And I want to make it
20	clear, I don't think anybody was being diabolical, but,
21	you know, like I said, the devil is in the detail.
22	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Madam Chair, we have no
23	further questions at this time, we can move on to the
24	next witness.
25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank

1 you, Chair Aquino. So thank you again -- once again, Ms. Leonard, we're going to go to our next, and we have 2 3 Robin Hood who is with UCCRO. Robin, are you there? 4 REVEREND HOOD: Yes, I am. Can you hear 5 me? 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Please proceed. 7 Yes. 8 REVEREND HOOD: Okay. My name is 9 Reverend Robin Hood. First of all I want to thank you 10 all for allowing us to be part of this conversation, 11 because the map put us all in a state of shock, so I 12 want to thank you all for inviting us. 13 My name is Reverend Robin Hood, and I'm 14 the pastor of Redeemed Outreach Ministries on the west 15 side, but I am also an organizer with United Congress of Community and Religious Organizations which has been 16 17 mentioned quite a few times by some of my colleagues. 18 The United Congress of Community and Religious 19 Organization is a grassroots led multi-ethnic and 20 multi-faith human rights alliance mobilizing people, 21 policy, and ideas, to drive societal transformation and 22 forge unity for equitable advancement of marginalized 23 community. That's why many of our friends, as part of this map, works with us. The thing that stumped me the 24 25 most is not having enough information where we can

adequately service our people and show them how this 1 map is a great for them or could be harmful to many. 2 3 So I kind of want to say a little bit 4 about what this map does for us. We can't evaluate 5 what we can't see, so it's hard to judge this map 6 because it doesn't have enough information. And just 7 like my colleague, Valerie Leonard, said to request a 8 FOIA and not get it was kind of confusing, because the 9 basis of our map, which we know is a unity map, we 10 submitted our map in hopes that our map would have 11 consideration because we're not only in Chicago, we're 12 all over the State of Illinois, and we're not only 13 African American, Latino, and Asian, but we're also 14 Christians, Muslim, and Catholics also. So we want to 15 be able to evaluate this map so that we can at least 16 give our communities the information so they'll know 17 what this map will represent. 18 So we're standing firm together with the 19 other 25 groups that have joined us for the unity map. 20 We sent the unity map, and we heard from many 21 testimonies. Just like Valerie Leonard, I'm, too, down 22 from North Lawndale, and the area that was talked about 23 much between Cermak and Ogden, we will be losing a 24 little -- you know, quite a few people because of that 25 map. But however, if the map is done with fairness and

1	true intent and believe me, just to help the other
2	senators that's concerned whether it was a Democrat or
3	a Republican graph, that it's not going to change
4	nothing when you talk about voting, but what it will
5	change is the resources for those people that are in
6	the marginalized communities that are served. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Hood.
9	I will move to questions now. Is there any questions
10	from members of the House? Seeing none. Chair Aquino,
11	any questions on your end?
12	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: We have no questions at
13	this time, but we thank Reverend Hood for his
14	testimony.
15	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. We will
16	go to our next witness and that's Ami Gandhi from the
17	Chicago Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights.
18	Ms. Gandhi.
19	MS. GANDHI: Thank you. Thanks for the
20	opportunity to share testimony. It's been a long
21	evening I know for all of us. My name is Ami Gandhi,
22	and I'm a senior counsel at Chicago Lawyers Committee
23	for Civil Rights, a nonprofit/nonpartisan organization
24	that's been working for the last 50 years to advance
25	racial equity and economic opportunity for all.

1 Our organization has a long history of 2 representing black community organizations in 3 redistricting litigation under the Federal Voting 4 Rights Act and under the Constitution. We regularly 5 partner with National Lawyers Committee for Civil 6 Rights under law on civil rights cases. Even aside 7 from litigation, we're proud to work with black voters, 8 and other voters of color, every day in working 9 collaboratively together with our government leaders to 10 try to strengthen voting rights and our ability to elect the candidates of our choice. Despite numerous 11 12 barriers to access to this redistricting process this 13 time around, community members have been eager to give 14 input during this cycle and have expressed -- we've 15 heard here tonight that this input is not being 16 meaningfully considered by lawmakers. As a voting 17 rights attorney, I am deeply concerned with -- about the flaws with the 2021 redistricting process. 18 We 19 heard a lot in the earlier part of the hearing about 20 the expert's credentials. I have a lot of credentials, 21 MALDEF attorneys have a lot of credentials, as do the 22 other nonpartisan civic organizations who are 23 represented here tonight. And with regard to that 24 expert's remarks we heard earlier, all of the 25 credentials of the map proponents expert do not make up

1	for a fundamentally flawed argument. Saying that
2	racially polarized voting is a vestige of the past is
3	not only unconvincing, it's dangerous. Unfortunately
4	we're not past racism. All of us who work with voters
5	of color in Illinois, we know that. And we're not past
6	needing legal protections for voters of color.
7	And I'm shocked and very concerned to be
8	hearing such a statement in Illinois in the year 2021.
9	Civil rights laws protecting voters of color are a
10	deeply important part of our history and remain an
11	important part of addressing systemic racism to this
12	day. Federal civil rights protections were
13	historically enacted to stop the suppression of black
14	voters, and they're necessary tools to address
15	disenfranchisement of Latino, Asian, and other
16	communities of color as well. There are serious
17	unresolved questions and concerns about what data was
18	used in the proposed maps, how data was used, the
19	reliability of that data, and what estimation
20	techniques were used. This means that civil rights
21	attorneys cannot confirm yet that there is compliance
22	with the Federal Voting Rights Act.
23	I thought 2011 redistricting was
24	challenging when we advocates had a unity map effort
25	back then through the leadership of United Congress,

1	and struggled to be heard, but this is worse. We have
2	not we as members of the public, and as advocates,
3	have not even been provided a simple spreadsheet or
4	chart, like the Illinois Senate and House published in
5	2011, saying basically this is what the racial
6	demographics are of the districts that have been
7	proposed, so that we can have some substantive
8	conversations, so that we can see how communities of
9	color are protected, or were supposedly protected, by
10	the proposed lines.
11	So again, we don't know if communities of
12	color will be able to elect the candidates of their
13	choice in accordance with the law. We don't know if
14	specific input about places like North Lawndale that
15	we've heard about, Chinatown, Little Village, the
16	southwest suburbs, southwest suburban Cook County, for
17	example, we don't know if that input, that specific
18	input, has been incorporated into the maps. So we
19	don't know if communities of color will be able to
20	elect the candidates of their choice in accordance with
21	the law. If we don't have information about
22	majority-minority districts, we don't know if
23	communities of color will be able to elect the
24	candidates of their choice in accordance with the law.
25	I know I sound like a bit of a broken

1	
1	record, but I also know that Illinois is definitely
2	capable of doing better to protect the voting rights of
3	people of color. And Illinois absolutely should do
4	better. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
6	Ms. Gandhi. I'm going to go to questions. Any
7	questions from members of the house? Representative
8	Spain?
9	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, Madam
10	Chairwoman. And Ms. Gandhi, thank you for your
11	testimony. I have been looking for to hearing you and
12	never knowing the order that we'll proceed through
13	witnesses, I'm glad that you stuck with us. We
14	certainly hear your frustration and your request for
15	further information and I hope that we'll get it.
16	A question that we've asked a lot of
17	witnesses, assume tomorrow morning you receive all of
18	the data that you need, how much time do you need to
19	make meaningful use of it?
20	MS. GANDHI: I would have to check with
21	folks who have expertise on our end, which is including
22	people beyond my level of expertise, for the
23	demographic analysis and other pieces of this that are
24	essential, so I don't have a concrete answer for you
25	right now, but as Grace Chan McKibben said earlier

today, we're talking about weeks, we're not talking 1 2 about hours, we're not talking about days, in terms of what's just humanly possible for Civil Rights Experts 3 4 and others who are interested to be able to make some 5 meaningful sense out of such data. 6 And it's important that that data be 7 shared in a way that's accessible, if we weren't -- if 8 we have any chance at engaging people in it, or any 9 chance at reassuring people's concerns. If there is some reality out there that actually voters of color 10 11 are protected through the proposed map, then please 12 share it with us. And putting that kind of information 13 in a text-heavy resolution is not going to be 14 accessible to most people who are looking to use the information to better understand the proposal. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: I agree, that's 17 one of my big fears in the process is the next steps. We've members of the majority party and the 18 19 redistricting committee say that this is only a draft 20 and that more information will be provided, if that 21 information comes in the form of hundreds of pages of 22 legislative texts dropped at the very end of a legislative session, I worry that any of us will be 23 able to make meaningful use of it. 2.4 25 So I know that you would have an interest

1	in data that would reflect the number of majority
2	African American, majority Latinx, majority Asian house
3	districts, I assume, is there we want to be helpful
4	advocates, I hope, to try and get the data that's
5	needed. Are there other specific types of data that we
6	need to be looking at? We had a discussion earlier
7	this evening about voting voters and voting-age
8	population, but I'm just curious what other data needs
9	to be provided that we would request to be included
10	when we when and if we receive it?
11	MS. GANDHI: Yeah, one of my impressions
12	of this concerning hearing this evening is having a lot
13	of awe and respect for people who know their
14	communities the best. We've heard from one voice after
15	another, from advocates who have been studying their
16	communities, who know their neighbors, and who have
17	made specific requests for data for quite some time
18	now, so I really leave it to them. They've very
19	effectively outlined what data they're seeking. We
20	share the interest in that data as nonpartisan civil
21	rights advocates. As Dr. Dilara shared earlier, we're
22	also not here to be used by one party or another.
23	We're here to stand up for the rights of voters.
24	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you, I
25	appreciate that and greatly appreciate your testimony

1	tonight. I think what we're hoping to see is and
2	it's been said many times by the majority tonight, that
3	this is simply a draft, and that we are earnestly
4	receiving feedback tonight that will be incorporated.
5	We all thought that the nearly 40 hearings we
6	participated in earlier were to accomplish that same
7	objective, and so now we're hearing that we have a
8	draft, and that further input is being received
9	earnestly tonight, and that that is the logical next
10	step in this process.
11	But I would just suggest, and as we've
12	heard from so many advocates, we need the data to be
13	able to look at this draft and make observations and
14	divine outcomes from it, and so it's not fair enough,
15	Madam Chair, to just say, we have a draft, we're taking
16	your input, and then we'll proceed with the next step.
17	We really need to provide the data that goes with this
18	draft so that witnesses can weigh in with their
19	findings, and then we could make further adjustments
20	and provide additional data based on those adjustments.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
23	Representative. Are there any further questions from
24	members of the House? There being none, I defer to the
25	Senate. Chair Aquino?

1	CHAIRMAN AQUINO: We have no questions at
2	this time. Thank you, Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We're up to
4	our last. I will say that we did have Mr. Richard
5	Greenfield and Mr. Anthony Perino and Mr. Rod Wilson,
6	but they all are no longer in available. So next we
7	have Mr. Eddie Guillen. Eddie are you is he there?
8	Okay. Mr. Guillen, you can proceed.
9	MR. GUILLEN: Good evening. Thank you so
10	much, Madam Chair Hernandez, and, Chair Aquino, and
11	everybody part of the committee, I know it's been a
12	long night, but again, thank you so much for hearing
13	everybody, and all of the advocates and organizations
14	that are trying to make a difference and impact.
15	So a little about me, my name is Eddie
16	Guillen. I've been a lifelong southwest part of the
17	community of West Lawn, been there for 14 years, before
18	that it was Bryant Park. But through my work with
19	Envision Community Services, with other nonprofits that
20	work closely with the community, there is so many local
21	needs that definitely need to be addressed. I'm also a
22	local business owner in the community of West Lawn, and
23	so I also believe that the current district on
24	Chicago's southwest side reflects how Midway Airport as
25	a hub for our region. For example, the neighborhoods

1	of West Lawn, Clearing, West Elsdon, Garfield Ridge,
2	Gage Park, and Archer Park, are spokes of this hub.
3	These neighborhoods are likely linked by their
4	proximity to Midway, which has a major impact shaping
5	the local economy, development, housing,
6	transportation, and more. Homeowners in the Midway
7	community share common interests, one of them which is
8	soundproofing. These common interests and concerns are
9	best addressed when representation of these communities
10	is considered as much as possible. So again, the
11	current districts also empowers that these diverse
12	communities happened to have led to candidates of their
13	choosing and we hope that this will continue to be a
14	case under the new boundaries.
15	The hub of Midway Airport and the
16	diversity of these neighborhoods which links to them as
17	a community of interest, something that is well
18	reflected by current districts. We ask that you
19	continue to empower these neighborhoods, to have our
20	voices heard, and elect candidates of our choosing.
21	Again, thank you so much everybody for tuning in this
22	evening. I really appreciate you hearing my concerns
23	and voice. Hopefully we'll get through this together.
24	Thank you so much for your time.
25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

```
Mr. Guillen. Any questions from members of the House?
 1
 2
    With no questions, I defer to the Senate. Chair
    Aquino?
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: We have no questions at
 5
     this time. We just want to thank Mr. Guillen and all
     of those that testified this evening. We have no
 6
 7
     questions at this time.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
 9
     So that is the end of the list of witnesses.
                                                   I want to
     once again thank everyone, all of the folks that
10
11
     testified today, very interesting information, some
12
     that is really -- I feel strongly that we're going to
    be looking at very closely. And so that will conclude
13
14
     our -- so that will conclude --
15
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: Madam Chair, if you --
16
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: -- our committee
17
     today. And if you -- have any last words,
18
     Chairman Aquino?
19
                    CHAIRMAN AQUINO: No, with no further
    business coming before the Senate Redistricting
20
     Committee, the Senate Redistricting Committee has
21
22
     adjourned. Thank you.
23
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So on our
2.4
     end, we're probably going to take a five, ten-minute
    recess and we'll come back to continue with another
25
```

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

Page 181

1 committee session. 2 (End of audio recording of Joint 3 Committee Redistricting, 5-25-21.) 4 (Beginning of audio recording of 5 Redistricting, Subject Matter: Illinois Communities & 6 Proposed Boundaries, 5-25-21.) 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So the House 8 Redistricting Committee will reconvene. 9 I do have a letter from Leader Durkin 10 replacing permanent member Representative Severin with 11 temporary member Leader Demmer. 12 Being that there is no witnesses -- oh, I'm sorry, Leader Demmer, you have some words? A 13 14 statement of words to say? 15 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Yeah, thank you, 16 Madam Chair, and thank you to the Joint Committee over 17 the last couple of hours and the testimony that we 18 heard. I notice that tonight it's, you know, past 19 8:45, almost 9:00 on a Tuesday evening. We have no 20 members of the public. We have no witnesses to hear 21 from in this house hearing. I certainly hope we'll 22 have an opportunity as a House Redistricting Committee 23 to hear from members of the public in the future. Tonight we do not have that opportunity. But over the 24 25 last couple of hours, I listened as the Joint Committee

1	heard witness after witness after witness make certain					
2	requests, and I thought it was appropriate that as a					
3	House Redistricting Committee this evening, we get a					
4	little bit further clarification on those requests, and					
5	what we might expect from those. So nearly every					
6	witness suggested that using American Community Survey					
7	data was not an appropriate dataset to draw district					
8	maps with, and I believe, Madam Chair, that you've					
9	indicated, the House Democratic caucuses, indicated					
10	that you have drawn these legislative maps using ACS					
11	data; is that correct?					
12	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's one of the					
13	sources that we're using, yes.					
14	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Could you					
15	identify the other sources that are being used?					
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All I can tell you					
17	is ACS was one of the sources and what the community					
18	and the interest groups have been contributing other					
19	information, that was all taken into consideration.					
20	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: So community					
21	input is different than a data source. Could you					
22	identify other data sources that have been used other					
23	than the American Community Survey?					
24	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, I don't have					
25	that with me.					

1	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Have you used,						
2	for example, voter registration data?						
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader Demmer, I						
4	don't have that information with me.						
5	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Who might have						
6	that information?						
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I would suggest that						
8	the purpose behind these hearings is to obviously						
9	listen to further testimony and to see what further						
10	information we should be looking at and consider, as we						
11	can see there is information very interesting						
12	information that we do have to look through. With						
13	that, that will help us get to the point of coming back						
14	with a final map hopefully and along with the data that						
15	was used, that information.						
16	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: But what makes						
17	this hearing unique is that unlike the previous						
18	hearings that have been held in which a general						
19	feedback has been solicited, these hearings are						
20	designed to solicit feedback on a specific map proposal						
21	that has been offered by the House Democratic Caucus.						
22	So I'm asking in creating that specific map proposal,						
23	distinct from the earlier hearings gathering general						
24	feedback, what specific data sources were used in						
25	creating the map that has been offered by the House						

Democratic Caucus? 1 2 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't have that 3 information. 4 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: And I'll renew my 5 question, who does have that information? 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We -- I think we 7 will find out in a few days. After we've compiled and 8 gone through the information, we will have that 9 eventually. REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: But you don't 10 11 need to compile future information to understand who 12 drew a map that was offered several days ago. Who drew 13 the map that was offered several days ago? 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Once again, it's a draft based on ACS and community interest group 15 16 information. Today was about taking a look and listening to further information that needs to be 17 possibly taken into consideration so that we can come 18 19 up with a final map and -- along with the various information data that we used. 20 21 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: So understanding 22 that it's a draft map, would you be willing to provide 23 draft data used to craft the draft map? 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'll repeat myself again that what we have today is a draft of what was 25

1 concluded based on community interest information along with ACS data and --2 3 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Were any other 4 data sources used besides the American Community Survey 5 to draw this draft map which relies on a draft dataset? 6 Were any datasets besides the American Community Survey 7 data used? 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All I know is that 9 ACS was a source, data source that was used, and that 10 we -- they compiled all of the information from the 11 interest groups and was combed through and some of the 12 information from there was used to come up with this 13 draft. 14 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: But again, 15 testimony at hearings is not a data source, so in 16 addition to American Community Survey data, were any 17 other data sources used in the creation of this map? 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Say that again. 19 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Testimony that's 20 offered in a committee meeting is not a data source, so 21 were any --22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, but it's --23 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Were any data 24 sources, besides American Community Survey data, used 25 in the creation of this draft map?

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: At the moment I'm 2 not aware of any further data, but I do -- I want to point out that a lot of that information that was given 3 4 from community interest groups is extremely valuable in 5 making the -- in creating the draft map. 6 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Was the testimony 7 from countless interest groups that American Community 8 Survey data is not appropriate for the purposes of 9 redistricting, was that testimony incorporated in the draft? 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There was some -- I 12 believe there was some groups that were not for it and 13 there was some that were. 14 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Could you cite 15 the groups that were in favor of using American 16 Community Survey data for map drawing? 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, I can't. 18 REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: I could cite to 19 you at least 55 groups who were opposed to using 20 American Community Survey data for map drawing. 21 So let me ask again, in addition to voter 22 -- in addition to American Community Survey data, it's 23 been suggested that voter registration data was used in the creation of these draft maps. Do you know -- are 2.4 25 you aware of any other sources, including voter data,

1	that was used in the creation of this map?					
2	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, I'm not. I					
3	don't know.					
4	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Who would know					
5	what data sources were used in the creation of these					
6	maps?					
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Once again, I think					
8	it's information that will be available as soon as we					
9	get through all of the additional testimony that we					
10	went through today. That will come be forthcoming.					
11	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: Madam Chair, I					
12	understand that there could be future revisions,					
13	including your future revisions to data sources;					
14	however, a map has been drawn and offered by the House					
15	Democratic Caucus for public inspection, for public					
16	input, which we have none of here tonight at 9:00 on a					
17	Tuesday evening. Some data sources were used in the					
18	creation of those maps. Was a data was voter data					
19	used in the creation of those maps, was Esri data or					
20	other third-party proprietary data used in the drawing					
21	of those draft maps?					
22	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader Demmer, all I					
23	know for the moment is that ACS was one of the sources					
24	and community interest group information to draw up					
25	this map.					

1	REPRESENTATIVE DEMMER: I appreciate						
2	that, Madam Chair, and so let me conclude my remarks by						
3	saying, what we heard this evening from witness after						
4	witness after witness is that they are unable to offer						
5	input on the maps that have been offered because they						
6	do not have the underlying data by which those maps						
7	were drawn. By depriving public groups, by depriving						
8	the voters of Illinois of the data that drew the maps						
9	that they're now asked to comment on, we've deprived						
10	ourselves of the opportunity to hear their honest and						
11	genuine input into what these maps represent. Perhaps						
12	there are groups that support these maps. We know for						
13	sure there are groups that oppose the data sources that						
14	you've identified, but the fact is we don't know						
15	whether voter data was used, whether third party						
16	proprietary bought and paid for data that's not						
17	accessible to the public was used.						
18	We cannot ask members of the public we						
19	cannot conduct public hearings on maps without						
20	disclosing to them the information necessary to judge						
21	those maps. We have an obligation to make an honest						
22	representation to the people that we serve, and to give						
23	them the opportunity to weigh in, to make suggestions,						
24	to offer support or opposition to legislative proposals						
25	that are pending before the House and the Senate. This						

1	is that very opportunity here this evening, yet because						
2	not only have we not disclosed the datasets, we've not						
3	even verbally disclosed whether any data sources, other						
4	than the American Community Survey data, have been						
5	used, which is certainly from insinuation suggests that						
6	there have been.						
7	We owe it to the people of Illinois to						
8	tell them how these maps have been drawn by politicians						
9	behind closed doors to pick the districts that they'll						
10	represent over the next ten years. We owe it to them						
11	to be honest about how this process has proceeded.						
12	Thus far we have not. Thank you, Madam Chair.						
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Leader Butler?						
14	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Madam						
15	Chair, I have a question about the upcoming schedule.						
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.						
17	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So we have a						
18	Joint Committee hearing tomorrow at 4:00.						
19	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct.						
20	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: A Senate						
21	Redistricting hearing at 6:00. And I'm just wondering,						
22	the last redistricting hearing we had before today was						
23	April 24th maybe, if I'm looking correctly.						
24	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You're about right.						
25	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So April 24th to						

1	last Friday, the 21st of May, almost four weeks based					
2	off that input at hearings, to draw a PDF that came out					
3	on Friday night. So you've been telling us that you're					
4	taking what is here tonight and you're going to produce					
5	something else that would be a new map, I assume, other					
6	than something that's out there. Can you tell me when					
7	we should expect a brand new map to come out, since it					
8	took a month to produce the one at least a month to					
9	produce the one that we saw on Friday?					
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I see we have					
11	a draft. It's something to be working off of. It's					
12	just we need to hear from you know, the input from					
13	after seeing the draft, we need to hear from					
14	community interest groups and other to see what our					
15	you know, based on that what may be possible a					
16	change or considerations we have to take, and the hope					
17	is, you know, we do have a deadline of June 30th, so					
18	we've got to get it done.					
19	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. I think it					
20	was loud and clear on what we heard from the advocates					
21	and the witnesses this evening by and large, except for					
22	a paid advocate for the House Democrats, as well as I					
23	think one other witness that used construction data to					
24	figure out the new trends in census.					
25	I have a question about the judicial maps					

1	that were unveiled earlier today. In the news release					
2	that you sent out earlier today, it said the public has					
3	the opportunity to provide input on this proposed map					
4	at four scheduled redistricting hearings. So do we					
5	anticipate a discussion of not just the legislative					
6	maps but the judicial map as well?					
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's at some					
8	point, yes.					
9	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: At some point.					
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very in the near					
11	future I would say.					
12	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: When? Are we					
13	so is it the is it the is the majority planning					
14	to go past May 31st to discuss the judicial map?					
15	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anyone is you					
16	know, it's open for anyone who wants to come and talk					
17	about the map, the					
18	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Well, they just					
19	you just rolled out a new map today to the people of					
20	Illinois with a judicial map that has been in effect					
21	since basically my entire life, and you're giving them					
22	no notice to come and testify, so I'm wondering what					
23	the plan is for the judicial maps.					
24	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I would say					
25	that we welcome any remarks, especially now that we					

1 have these committees opened. 2 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And so 3 finally there is one other map that has a lot of 4 discussion and that's the redrawing of the 5 congressional districts now that we've been reapportioned to 17 congressional districts. When can 6 7 we expect the majority to roll out a congressional map? 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know. 9 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I've heard that before. 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If I -- once I know, 11 12 I'll give you a heads-up, Representative. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And I would 14 appreciate that. So any clarity you can provide on the 15 process would be helpful. Thank you, Madam Chair. 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Of course. 17 Representative Spain? 18 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you so much, 19 Madam Chairwoman. Just following up on that discussion 20 about the remapping of the State Supreme Court, looking 21 at the same thing, that the public has the opportunity 22 to provide input on this proposed map at four scheduled 23 redistricting hearings between the House and Senate, 24 are we in one of those hearings now, and did we just conclude one of those hearings? Or will there be 25

additional hearings with a subject matter assigned to 1 2 the Supreme Court? 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I -- not that I know 4 of yet. It's possible. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So the four hearings that were referenced in the press release this 6 7 afternoon from Democrats, we are -- we've concluded one 8 of those hearings, and we are sitting in the second of 9 those hearings, am I reading that correctly? CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Did we receive any 12 testimony regarding the Supreme Court map during the 13 first hearing? 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not yet. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Well, not yet, I 16 mean, we can't go back in time, the answer is no; 17 correct? 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, we didn't 19 receive any, but there is still opportunity. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Right; but in the 21 first hearing we did not receive testimony regarding 22 the Supreme Court map? 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: And as we have no witnesses for this committee, we also will not be 25

1	receiving testimony on the proposed Supreme Court map						
2	that was presented this afternoon; correct?						
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Correct. But let me						
4	point out that the first committee, the Senate and						
5	House committee, we went four hours. We never imagined						
6	that we were going to go four hours. And what happened						
7	is some of the witnesses that were going to jump on at						
8	the 6:00, ended up jumping on at the first one, so that						
9	prolonged the first one to perhaps I mean, it						
10	we're essentially at the time frame we expected we						
11	would end.						
12	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Madam Chair, with						
13	something this important, that only comes about every						
14	ten years, or in the case of the Supreme Court every 50						
15	years, I certainly would have expectation that the						
16	hearings would be lengthy because the subject matter is						
17	so important.						
18	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Absolutely.						
19	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So wouldn't it be						
20	in the interest of our state and our constituents to						
21	dedicate specific time to a discussion of the Supreme						
22	Court proposed draft remap?						
23	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I understand, but						
24	let me just also point out that one of the there is						
25	a real opportunity, because we are in a remote manner						

1	of conducting our business, that it has allowed and
2	given opportunity for the public to participate in that
3	way. If you recall ten years ago you had to be in
4	person, so this you know, we can't forget that if
5	anything about Zoom or remote, it has allowed for
6	engagement, further engagement, more engagement to
7	occur. So I would say we are, like you know,
8	although it's a small window, we have the technology
9	available to really engage folks.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Well, Madam Chair,
11	I looked forward to the new opportunities of virtual
12	testimony that would become available as a I guess a
13	side effect of the pandemic. And we received a
14	remarkable number of hearings. We conducted a
15	remarkable number of hearings, and witnesses provided
16	testimony, but as we heard tonight, resoundingly those
17	witnesses have returned and said, boy, we didn't feel
18	like you listened to us at all, and we hope we didn't
19	waste our time in those nearly 40 hearings that were
20	conducted throughout the state. So the ability to
21	convene these discussions virtually is a great thing,
22	but we actually have to have an interest in using the
23	testimony that's presented, and what we heard from
24	everyone tonight is that has not happened.
25	So I ask going forward, I think we need

1	to have a discussion about the Supreme Court. When we						
2	have subject matter hearings, we announce a topic for						
3	those discussions. It's disingenuous to present a new						
4	Supreme Court map on the afternoon of these hearings,						
5	hours before the first one is set to convene, and of						
6	course we didn't receive testimony, because we didn't						
7	give the public adequate notice and adequate						
8	transparency and adequate access to be a part of what						
9	their government should look like in the future.						
10	So with that very sincere frustration, I						
11	would like to understand, we heard it again and again						
12	tonight that we're only looking at a draft map, and						
13	that the hearings that we conducted over many weeks,						
14	perhaps they weren't the relevant hearings, but the						
15	hearing tonight matters a lot, that the input we						
16	receive tonight will be used to make changes to the						
17	maps, and that after those changes, then data can be						
18	shared. So can you commit that we will provide the						
19	public with additional hearings after the next map is						
20	released so that they can review the data that you've						
21	promised and they can provide testimony to inform our						
22	opinion on those round two maps?						
23	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're going to have						
24	another hearing, we're going to hear further testimony,						
25	and eventually, yes, we will come up with a finalized						

1 map along with data -- the data that was used. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: And this --3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There will be a hearing after that. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: That's my question, will the redistricting committee be convened 6 7 to receive witness testimony on the next map proposal? 8 And this map will be really important because this one 9 you said will actually come with data that advocacy groups can use to better inform their testimony? 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Uh-huh. 11 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: So the 12 13 Redistricting Committee will meet again after another 14 map is released? 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Correct. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. No further questions. And no testimonies. The Chair will call 18 19 recess. 20 Thank you all. See you tomorrow. 21 (End of audio recording of Redistricting, 22 Subject Matter: Illinois Communities & Proposed Boundaries, 5-25-21.) 23 24 25

## AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDING

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER					
2						
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS ) ) SS					
4	COUNTY OF CLINTON )					
5	I, CAROL A. BECKMANN, within and for the					
6	State of Illinois, do hereby certify that the tape					
7	transcription in the foregoing tape was transcribed to					
8	the best of my ability and therefore reduced to					
9	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither					
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the					
11	parties to the action in which this tape was taken, and					
12	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any					
13	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto,					
14	nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome					
15	of the action.					
16						
17	Carol A. Beckmann, CSR					
18	Notary Public in and for					
19	the State of Illinois					
20						
21						
22	My Commission expires January 21, 2025.					
23						
24						
25						

A	52:10 97:5	182:17 184:15	adjourned	advocating
ability 43:2	101:11	185:2,9	180:22	48:4 119:6
45:14 89:2	accommodated	187:23	adjusted 97:17	affairs 93:20
91:6 122:23	7:6 94:25	act 15:19 17:21	adjustment	147:14
171:10 195:20	95:25	17:25 60:24	145:23	affect 52:2
198:8	accomplish	89:13,13 90:5	adjustments	92:14
able 24:22	177:6	133:6,6,9	145:24 177:19	affiliation 73:9
26:13 28:9	account 25:23	153:11,12 154:9	177:20	165:11
29:2 54:4	27:14 123:1	171:4 172:22	administrators	afforded 15:17
29.2 54.4 57:4 61:6 80:1	135:13 136:1,5	acting 85:6	31:15	60:22 61:2
	136:10 141:2	action 47:25	adopt 109:10	African 23:1
84:21 85:18,18	accountable	198:11,15	adopted 108:2	24:14 27:17
92:4,12 93:7	92:7 136:16	actions 88:12	adopting 49:9	35:5 105:12,12
102:19 114:22	accuracy 89:5	active 102:14	advance 6:14	106:24 107:22
121:24 127:4,6	accurate 43:13	132:6	170:24	107:22 108:11
136:3 141:17	43:24 44:4	actively 16:13	advancement	108:19 135:13
142:14,19	48:25 56:6	activities 147:15	168:22	144:12,25
146:7,11 151:5	87:24 134:24	Acts 50:18,22	advancing	145:8,8,19,24
152:2 154:2	accurately 91:14	64:1	80:12 85:8	145:25 147:25
157:23 169:15	achieve 24:22	actual 57:2	146:19	148:7,21 149:7
173:12,19,23	26:13 125:17	60:25 67:8	advantage	169:13 176:2
175:4,24	Achievement	83:18 112:6	80:17	afternoon 9:20
177:13	22:13	156:3		12:2 51:6 75:6
absence 19:4			advice 63:24 64:4	87:4 127:22
absolutely	acknowledge 139:13	add 115:3 addition 157:2	advise 43:15	193:7 194:2
63:19 84:2				195.7 194.2 196:4
86:6 107:4	ACS 31:5,23	185:16 186:21	advised 29:22	
115:10 174:3	32:5 33:7,9	186:22	advisor 21:6	age 24:16,20
194:18	34:10,15,25	additional 10:23	advocacy 10:2	27:7 28:16,23
abundantly	34:25 35:6,11	44:11,12 82:5	13:14,17,21,22	29:1,5 31:20
142:15	38:3,5,7,17	140:14,18	56:24 59:2	35:2 50:10
academic 21:15	39:1,6 42:6,7	177:20 187:9	77:2 87:13	54:6 73:7
22:1	48:14,16,22	193:1 196:19	98:16 100:7	agency 128:15
Academy 23:5	49:5 53:23	address 103:18	118:22 123:21	129:16
accept 90:14	54:2,9,14,19	172:14	131:6,15 167:12	agenda 8:18
acceptable	54:22 55:4,6	address- 102:11	197:9	80:11
88:18,18,21	55:15,18 56:14	addressed	advocate 48:1	ages 78:22
91:3	57:1,24 59:13	45:12 178:21	106:4 108:23	140:24
access 131:19	59:15,22	179:9	190:22	ago 21:7,9
133:3 149:24	60:18 61:22	addressing	advocated	24:24 29:6
155:20	65:18 74:14,18	172:11	13:25	39:22,24
156:20 157:1	79:4,9,14,22	adequate 13:9	advocates 14:15	51:15,17 92:11
171:12 196:8	82:5,7 83:25	43:19 196:7,7	73:25 77:6,12	124:23 133:9
accessible 88:1	84:2,4 109:16	196:8	85:2 118:18	133:10 140:20
125:10,11 175:7	115:7 117:5	adequately	172:24 173:2	141:9 184:12,13
175:14 188:17	135:11 149:16	90:15 169:1	176:4,15,21	195:3
accommodate	156:8,9,14,15	adhere 150:9	177:12 178:13	agree 120:14
	157:2 182:10	adjacent 146:15	190:20	125:12 157:22

175.10	124.40 125.2	40.5 41.10 10	enneinte es 11. F	02.17 00.12
175:16	134:10 135:3	40:5 41:18,19	appointees 11:5	93:17 96:12
Agudath 93:15	135:13,14	42:6 46:12	appreciate	102:3,6,9
93:20	145:8,8,20,25	59:21 60:17	9:25 12:24	103:10 104:6,7
<b>ahead</b> 35:24	147:25 148:7	60:25 64:20	13:3 39:16	111:7,8,24,25
104:11 139:2	148:21 169:13	68:25 81:20	43:7 64:20	113:11 117:15,18
Airport 178:24	176:2 182:6	82:6 92:17	71:20,24 73:18	117:20,23
179:15	182:23 185:4	143:6,8	86:11 98:16	118:6,10,15
<b>Alabama</b> 145:12	185:6,16,24	159:25 161:12	100:7,23 115:2	121:8 124:3,9
Alaris 1:19	186:7,15,20	161:14 164:19	116:18 117:9	124:10,19
alarmed 32:24	186:22 189:4	174:24 193:16	118:3 121:1	126:6,7,12,18
84:20	Americans 23:1	answered 14:24	126:2 138:21	130:24 142:4
aligned 77:25	24:14,14	14:24 16:15	139:11,18 140:2	142:11 143:23
Allan 20:22	28:24 35:5	answers 16:1,21	141:25 149:3,4	143:24 162:3
21:2	78:17 107:22	61:7 62:9	149:15 154:23	162:8 164:18
alliance 69:12	107:22 108:9	76:25 83:5	158:7,10	167:8,10,22
168:20	108:9,11 131:23	92:13 112:7	162:23 164:16	168:1 170:10,12
allies 104:14	133:12 144:12	160:12,15,15,17	176:25,25	177:25 178:1
105:20 106:7	145:1,24 149:7	Anthony 178:5	179:22 188:1	178:10 180:3,4
107:20	<b>Ami</b> 170:16,21	anticipate 39:12	192:14	180:15,18,19
allow 64:5 77:13	amount 141:10	143:6 191:5	approach 128:8	<b>Aquino's</b> 9:1,2
91:23	analyses 41:5	anybody 71:9	appropriate	11:15 133:15
allowed 195:1,5	analysis 23:18	147:1 167:20	87:18 182:2,7	<b>Aquino-</b> 104:5
allowing 47:21	31:20 40:24	<b>anyway</b> 136:13	186:8	Archer 179:2
90:6 168:10	91:24 120:2	137:20	appropriately	architectural
alternative	130:2 174:23	apartment	45:1	128:21
30:19 87:19	analyze 31:17	130:5	approval 49:16	architecture
alternatives	53:20,24	apologies	approve 105:15	125:1
97:6	59:20 119:21	42:23 165:16	109:10 134:21	area 23:7,16
altogether 17:2	120:14 121:22	apologize 39:4	approximate	25:24 96:25
amaze- 163:20	160:9	122:19 126:10	86:4	97:7 125:4
amazing 163:21	analyzed 6:17	143:18	approximately	131:14 133:13
ambassadors	7:14 50:3	apparently	32:1 148:19	145:5 147:9
76:9,20	56:25	67:12	approximating	147:24 148:5
America 22:17	and/or 63:6	appeal 80:24	79:4	148:8,16,16
23:14 33:24	Angeles 22:11	appearance	<b>April</b> 6:2 55:18	163:25 164:1,2
American 21:13	30:22,23,25	53:18	78:4 112:12	167:15,17
21:19 22:2,4,9	31:3,9,13	appeared 12:4	189:23,25	169:22
23:9 27:18	annexed 96:9	161:14	Aquino 4:12 5:11	areas 22:18
29:9,21 31:15	annexing 97:7	appearing	5:12,18 8:17	25:16 68:1
32:18 48:10	announce	140:10 142:1	9:9,17,19 11:11	97:13 132:24
49:2 78:10,10	196:2	apple 79:1	14:10,11 19:6,17	argues 77:20
78:13 80:13	announced	application	20:10,15,21,23	argument 79:11
82:21 87:9,18	51:15 53:22	23:7,16	21:1 42:12,13	172:1
88:17 91:4	134:14	applications	42:20,23	arms 40:3
105:12 106:24		22:22	42.20,23 47:21 74:24	
105:12 106:24	anomaly 34:13 34:14			<b>army</b> 76:7 <b>arrives</b> 49:23
130:21 131:5	answer 38:12	<b>applied</b> 24:5 90:17	75:1,5,8 86:22 86:24 93:10,11	article 82:12
130.21 131.3		50.17	00.24 55.10,11	

				_
articles 21:25	160:5,8	august 21:11	backgrounds	129:5 154:7
23:3,20	183:22	49:24	108:10	169:9
articulated	assembly 10:23	author 21:23	backroom 16:7	beacon 80:8
137:6	24:9,11 40:1	authored 21:24	backs 65:6	136:15
as-needed	72:6 87:6	<b>avail</b> 84:21	69:4	<b>bear</b> 144:20
136:18	90:20 91:12	available 6:8,19	bad 2:9 78:2	146:18 155:4
ascertain 133:4	92:6 93:24	6:23 25:19	84:4 151:9	158:22
137:10,22	134:10	29:23 30:13	Barack 26:4,6	beauty 25:22
<b>Asian</b> 24:14	assess 73:4	30:15 31:7	barely 51:15	Beckmann 1:18
28:24 29:1,4	assessment	57:13,24	bargain 15:5	198:5,17
49:2 66:21	35:3 73:10	88:15 91:22	Barickman 4:21	beg 11:17
67:9 71:13	assessments	91:23 93:3	4:22 14:12,17	began 90:6
80:12 105:14	31:17	119:19 120:1	19:6,14 20:3	beginning 2:1
106:21,25	assigned 193:1	123:9,20	20:14 46:6,7,9	76:13 102:12
107:24,24,25	Assignment 17:1	134:15,19	46:19,22	181:4
133:12 134:10	associated 78:9	135:5 142:20	53:15,16 54:8	behalf 94:11
135:13 153:17	120:17	142:22,23	54:16 55:1,10	125:25
153:18,24	assume 29:21	178:6 187:8	55:16,22 56:2	believe 42:7
169:13 172:15	92:18 174:17	195:9,12	57:15 58:8,13	43:24 55:20
176:2	176:3 190:5	average 56:3	58:16,20,24	62:10 74:15
Asians 23:1	assumed 67:15	Award 22:9,13	59:6,11,18	77:18,22 79:21
35:5 108:11	assuming 70:3	aware 71:5 72:5	60:13 61:9,21	92:198:5
153:6	141:14,15	150:20 186:2	62:1,10,15	99:13,13 101:11
aside 49:16,19	147:20	186:25	83:8,9 86:18	108:1 111:6
52:21 72:16	assumption	awe 176:13	93:17 100:3	112:4 115:12
171:6	165:15	Awesome	101:3,4,19	117:13 122:22
asked 7:9 12:5	astonished	100:20	102:2,23	123:10 125:8
15:2 20:3	95:2		103:20 143:4	128:6 136:8
38:23 46:13	attempt 148:2	B	barrier 119:25	140:12 141:8
50:24 59:20	attempts 101:12	back 8:14 14:19	barriers 171:12	143:23 147:21
69:9,10,16	attend 14:21	30:22 41:16	base 84:14 86:6	149:14,17,20
70:23 72:18	attended 6:14	41:20 42:3	87:20 91:10	149:22 154:24
72:22,22 73:5	76:23 113:21	49:15 52:16	base- 129:17	163:6 170:1
82:3,4 92:5	113:23 127:23	60:15 62:5	based 34:8	178:23 182:8
95:3 107:5,5	132:12 139:18	67:21 76:15	65:3 88:24	186:12
114:23 143:1,5	attending 51:11	80:22 82:24	89:3 91:4	believed 79:17
143:10,16	162:21	83:2 85:5,9	102:18 128:2	believes 44:15
158:17 174:16	attention 8:9	93:1 124:10	128:10,24	<b>benefit</b> 48:17
188:9	98:3	140:21 141:19	131:6 147:18	67:3
asking 39:5	attorney 29:20	143:12 151:11	154:2,8	Bennett 5:7,8
45:17 61:11	171:17 198:13	161:21 164:13	177:20 184:15	Berra 21:4
71:2 88:6 89:4	attorneys 29:14	167:16 172:25	185:1 190:1,15	Berwyn 148:2
93:2 94:21	29:23 35:15	180:25 183:13	basic 16:15	Beseeching
99:15 102:3	43:16 171:21	193:16	basically 140:22	110:7
105:23,23	172:21	backed 153:10	173:5 191:21	best 14:22
109:13 111:16	audio 1:9,18 2:1	background	basing 129:17	29:23 31:6
114:19 153:3	181:2,4 197:21	104:24	basis 87:19	57:13 73:16

75:25 82:14	68:19 69:4,5	Bostrom 5:13	brought 45:13	192:2,9,13
106:4 113:25	69:12,21,21	bottom 11:18	brown 66:5,20	button 80:23
119:13 128:7	70:25 71:12	bought 188:16	Bryant 178:18	buy 85:12
150:23 151:20	80:11 120:7	boundaries 8:3	Buffalo 48:13	
152:13,14	146:9,10,11	8:4 9:23	build 90:25	С
157:4,13	147:4,12 148:9	50:12 57:23	109:25 112:22	California 31:8
176:14 179:9	148:10,11,17,18	59:15,25 60:1		49:17 76:17
			building 11:20	134:20
198:8	151:7 152:1,16	60:2,10	17:12 106:14	call 3:3 14:25
better 17:22	152:19,21	132:24,25	130:4	147:9 148:5
18:17 20:25	153:1,1,6,14,16	145:14 147:7	built 48:6 76:7	161:1 197:18
35:2 72:23	153:19,20,21	150:20 151:25	110:2,2	
83:14,23 84:7	153:21,25	163:14,16	burdened 18:14	called 86:9
102:18 103:25	163:7 165:20	179:14 181:6	<b>bureau</b> 64:16	134:17
105:9,10	171:2,7 172:13	197:23	66:15 87:22	calls 68:18
130:21 131:4	<b>blacks</b> 28:18	boundary 60:9	90:6 134:14	campaign 64:14
174:2,4 175:15	147:6 148:15	96:2	buried 104:18	campaigns
197:10	<b>blast</b> 158:2	Bourne 2:3,4	Burke 2:5,13	64:23,24
betterment	blasting 158:6	3:14,15 158:13	3:16,17	65:2 66:9
120:25	blend 39:2	158:14,23	business 104:21	68:4,13 69:23
beyond 55:4	78:22	159:2,5,7,13	129:19,20,24	candidate 18:11
63:22 82:5	blessed 149:13	160:2 161:19	178:22 180:20	25:7,14,21,25
174:22	block 57:25	Bourne's 161:11	195:1	26:2 27:18,19
bias 157:11,12,12	58:2 60:4,4,6	boxes 44:17	businesses	27:22 28:19
157:17 159:8	60:8	boy 195:17	128:17 129:10	28:20 31:12
biased 57:7	blocks 57:24	Brace 79:20	130:6	candidates
bifurcated	57:25 60:3	81:16 82:2,6	Butler 3:11,12,13	25:4,6,18
138:20	95:13 96:4,8	82:10	8:12 11:12,13,19	27:12,17,23
big 18:18 30:24	97:7 141:4	branch 63:11,12	14:9 36:5,6,15	28:9,22 29:2
129:2 175:17	blog 12:4	63:14,17	36:18,19,25	43:12 89:9,18
bigger 18:12	Bloomington	brand 190:7	37:3,10,14	91:7 119:2
<b>bill</b> 13:10,12 18:5	10:16	break 144:4,6	46:23,25 47:2	153:5 171:11
-	board 62:6	Breitman 22:8	47:5,8 74:6,7	173:12,20,24
18:6 19:1,4 44:11				179:12,20,24
	124:17	Bridgeport	74:17,22 93:17	capable 174:2
bipartisan 17:8	body 21:7,9,11	131:24	98:13,14 99:3	capita 107:3
bit 21:4 34:8	35:16 76:2	brief 100:6	99:6,11,15,21	108:17
43:8 54:23	88:9 98:7	briefly 22:20	113:12,17,18	
66:3 112:5	110:23	91:21 139:12	114:9 127:18,19	capital 106:20
140:15 164:20	book 22:3,6,7,9	Brighton 131:25	128:5 129:7,11	capture 78:16
169:3 173:25	22:10,11,24	bring 24:8	129:22 130:12	Carbondale
182:4	23:2,11,13,19	60:20 98:2	130:15,16	10:15 138:16
black 25:21	23:24	114:16 137:5	139:3,7,7,8	care 9:5,15
26:5,13,18	books 21:24	161:20	143:4 154:15	52:2,7 114:12
27:5,22 28:3	22:5,11,23	brink 49:15 95:7	154:15,16	carefully 141:23
28:8,21 48:20	23:10	broad 10:21	160:21 189:13	Carol 1:18 198:5
64:11,22 65:6	<b>boom</b> 129:3	broke 155:1	189:14,17,20	198:17
65:7 66:5,20	<b>border</b> 97:6	<b>broken</b> 16:1	189:25 190:19	carries 122:7
67:9 68:2,5	borders 96:3	173:25	191:9,12,18	<b>carve</b> 59:8
	I	I	I	1

carved 101:2276:19 78:8,1142:11,13,199:19 11:10,10138:9 139case 7:12 30:2178:21 80:1843:6 44:1011:16 14:8,11,18143:12,21,332:19 43:2487:21,22 89:646:10,2319:6,17 20:9143:24 14447:11 85:1990:6,7 91:3,1047:20,21 53:520:10,15,20144:9,17 19179:14 194:1492:2 115:653:17,21 54:820:23 21:1154:18 158cases 26:5116:23,23,2454:18 58:10,1335:20 36:4,12159:5,22 433:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,22	23 4:2 54:11
32:19 43:2487:21,22 89:646:10,2319:6,17 20:9143:24 1447:11 85:1990:6,7 91:3,1047:20,21 53:520:10,15,20144:9,17 19179:14 194:1492:2 115:653:17,21 54:820:23 21:1154:18 158cases 26:5116:23,23,2454:18 58:10,1335:20 36:4,12159:5,22 433:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	4:2 54:11
47:11 85:1990:6,7 91:3,1047:20,21 53:520:10,15,20144:9,17 19179:14 194:1492:2 115:653:17,21 54:820:23 21:1154:18 158cases 26:5116:23,23,2454:18 58:10,1335:20 36:4,12159:5,22 433:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	54:11
179:14 194:1492:2 115:653:17,21 54:820:23 21:1154:18 158cases 26:5116:23,23,2454:18 58:10,1335:20 36:4,12159:5,2233:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	
cases 26:5116:23,23,2454:18 58:10,1335:20 36:4,12159:5,2233:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	8:12
33:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	
33:6 41:2116:24 117:158:17 59:1237:21 41:14162:3,8 16130:10 171:6120:4,6,860:14 62:1642:2,10,13,20167:8,10,2	61:6
130:10 171:6 120:4,6,8 60:14 62:16 42:2,10,13,20 167:8,10,2	
<b>Castro</b> 5:5,6   129:23 131:20   62:22,22   42:21,23 43:4   167:25 16	
108:25 111:9 132:21,23 63:6 72:3 44:20 45:2,5 170:8,12,1	
111:10,11 112:8 134:12,13 74:8 75:1,4,8 46:1,7,18,21 174:5 177:	
113:5,14 135:3 138:3 81:7,17 82:4 46:25 47:4,7 178:1,3 179	
Catholics 108:8         190:24         86:20,22,24         53:3,7,10,13         180:18,19,           100:14         57:5         01:20         54:12         21         191:7         192:7	
169:14         centered 53:1         87:5 91:20         54:13,21 55:5         181:7 182:7	
caucus 40:2         120:3         93:1,10,11,16,17         55:13,20         56:1         182:24         182:24	
41:10,11,12,13 centering 98:15,19 100:1 56:8 59:16 183:7 184:	2,6
46:15,15 80:11 135:17 102:3 104:2,4 60:11 61:4,16 184:14,24	_
183:21 184:1 CEOs 104:21 104:5 111:6,8 61:24 62:3,13 185:8,18,2	2
187:15         Cermak 147:9         113:15 117:18         62:17,25 71:21         186:1,11,17	
caucuses 42:5         164:5,6,7         118:8,9 121:8,9         71:25 74:4,23         187:2,7,22	
46:14 182:9 169:23 121:10,15 74:24 75:1,4 189:13,16, <sup>-</sup>	19
cause 17:25 certain 44:17 122:12,18 81:2,19,23 189:24 19	0:10
75:5,13 78:4 68:12 182:1 123:8 124:3,10 82:23 83:7 191:7,10,15	,24
85:3,4 91:5 certainly 13:7,8 124:11,18,19 86:21,24 87:1 192:8,11,16	; ;
causes 88:18 18:1 25:3 126:6 127:3 91:16 93:4,9,11 193:3,10,14	4,18
<b>CBCAC</b> 131:5,8 34:18,20 38:1 130:24,24 93:13 96:11 193:23 194	4:3
131:10,12 132:2 43:18 44:5 133:15 136:24 98:11 99:23 194:18,23	
132:9,14 133:8 73:15 80:1 137:14,19 100:2 101:3 196:23 19	7:3
133:10 135:14 102:11,15 142:4,4,10,11 102:9 103:10 197:11,15,1	7
celebrated 103:24 115:2 142:12 143:3 104:3,7,9,12 chairs 18:22	2,24
90:5 118:5 138:24 143:13 154:20 111:5,8,11,25 75:7	
Cell 70:2 167:16 174:14 158:15 159:2 113:11,11,16 chairwoma	า
census 15:8 181:21 189:5 161:11 167:8,22 116:4,9,13 104:13 118	
29:24 30:2,4 194:15 168:1 170:10 117:3,7,9,15,16 158:18 159	
30:8,12,15 <b>CERTIFICATE</b> 177:15,25 117:18,19,20 174:10 192	
31:5,22,23 198:1 178:2,10,10 117:22,23 challenged	
32:6,21 33:10 <b>certified</b> 128:14 180:2,15 118:6,9,15 90:11	
34:9,15,24 129:15 181:16 182:8 121:5,8,12 challenges	
35:4,6 48:18 certify 198:6 187:11 188:2 122:15 123:4 90:21	
49:10,13,17,22 cetera 107:10 189:12,15 123:10,25 challenging	1
49:23 52:22 chair 4:12 5:16 192:15 194:12 124:3,5,9,12 134:13 172	
55:17 56:4,14 5:17 8:15 11:14 195:10 197:18 126:3,7,18,19 <b>chamber</b> 9:	-
56:19 57:2,24 12:1 14:7,10,11 chairman 2:16 126:23,25 Champaign	
50:19 57.2,24         12:114.7,10,11         Chainman 2:10         12:0.23,25         Chainpaign           60:2 64:15         14:18 18:22         3:1,5,8 4:7,12         127:17 130:14         49:4	
75:17,24 76:8 40:9 41:9 9:7,10,14,17,17 137:2,8,12 101:15	

		04 00 75 40		
Chan 130:20,23	children's 90:16	31:22 75:19	3:25 4:4,6,14	collection 48:17
131:3 136:24	chime 122:19	76:4	4:15,17,19,21	48:23
137:20,24	Chinatown 10:11	city 10:16 27:10	4:23,25 5:3,5	collective 75:24
139:20,25	131:6,14,23	95:23 96:3,4	5:7,9,11	131:22 132:7
140:19 141:20	133:13,16,19	96:8,17 97:9	Clinton 26:4	color 49:8 61:10
174:25	133:24 134:5	106:20 151:14	198:4	69:20 135:16
chance 41:25	137:3 138:8	164:5 165:7	<b>clock</b> 122:3,11	171:8 172:5,6
51:2,5 61:17	173:15	<b>civic</b> 104:11	122:22	172:9,16 173:9
100:9 175:8,9	Chinese 105:14	105:19 113:24	<b>close</b> 34:24	173:12,19,23
change 9:2	130:21 131:4	118:21 131:12	43:17 44:2	174:3 175:10
47:16,24,24	131:23	147:13 171:22	56:13 57:1	Columbia 79:11
48:2 63:5	<b>choice</b> 16:23	<b>civil</b> 41:1,4	closed 14:5 16:4	<b>column</b> 155:18
64:4 70:2	17:9 25:4,8,14	48:24 78:6	189:9	combed 185:11
74:9 132:6	25:18 28:20	87:11 150:6,10	<b>closely</b> 178:20	combined
151:24 170:3,5	31:12 89:9,18	154:9 170:17	180:13	75:20 151:22
190:16	91:7 109:9	170:23 171:5,6	closing 80:6	come 4:13 8:14
changed	119:2 153:5	172:9,12,20	CMS 129:16	15:9 16:2 44:4
146:24 151:25	171:11 173:13	175:3 176:20	coalition 28:2	78:20 91:11
162:6	173:20,24	<b>claims</b> 50:17	48:3 67:19	92:2,3 105:4
changes 7:17	<b>choices</b> 16:16	155:18	68:13 73:3	109:16,17,18,21
8:3 52:2 98:6	<b>choose</b> 6:10	clarification	104:11 105:19	115:6 141:5,18
123:7,19	16:22 148:13	182:4	113:23,24	154:25 156:7
133:23 196:16	choosing 17:23	clarify 42:3	130:20 131:4	159:24 180:25
196:17	179:13,20	46:11 98:21	131:21	184:18 185:12
characterizati	<b>chose</b> 16:13	117:24 140:11	coalitions 29:3	187:10 190:7
101:19	148:13	clarity 137:5	coauthored	191:16,22
<b>chart</b> 173:4	chosen 17:17	143:16 192:14	21:23 22:7	196:25 197:9
chat 121:25	88:3	<b>class</b> 63:9	codes 109:19	<b>comes</b> 13:7,8
check 44:17	Christians	104:24 108:6	cohesion 25:9	175:21 194:13
138:7 174:20	169:14	Clause 60:23	cohesive 25:4	comfort 6:6
checked 44:17	<b>chunk</b> 96:16	<b>clean</b> 71:19	97:14 119:3	coming 13:3
123:21	churches	<b>clear</b> 17:17,21	145:9	84:7 85:9
checking 141:1	106:10 109:20	59:23 62:23	coincide 132:23	89:6 112:17,24
Chicago 12:18	Circle 22:7	84:1 87:16	132:25	139:23 180:20
25:24 27:10	circulated 78:5	96:6 104:15	collaboration	183:13
90:12 96:3	circumstances	122:20 123:2	101:14	comment 7:11
106:11 124:21	12:25 80:22	123:6 139:15	collaboratively	29:8 58:6,11
126:16,16	<b>cite</b> 186:14,18	142:11,12,13,15	171:9	137:3 166:11
129:4 147:2	cities 48:13	142:18,25	colleague 162:9	166:20 188:9
148:25 165:8	110:21 151:13	167:20 190:20	169:7	commentary
169:11 170:17	151:21	Clearing 179:1	colleagues	63:6 103:15
170:22	<b>citizen</b> 24:16,20	clearly 106:4	9:25 67:17	commented
Chicago's 10:11	27:7 28:16,23	129:2 142:21	68:21 69:12	91:21
131:6,14	29:1,5 31:20	161:13	168:17	comments 7:4
178:24	106:13	<b>clerk</b> 2:3,5,13	collected 7:13	75:19 142:3
children 105:1	citizens 16:11	3:2,4,7,9,11,14	collecting	143:16
110:4	citizenship	3:16,18,20,23	44:24	commission 14:1
	I ·	l	l	l

17:3,8 52:5	common 75:5	197:22	152:11 153:9	completely
72:16 198:21	75:13 78:4	communities'	159:18 160:7	95:18,20
commit 196:18	85:2,3 145:13	105:6,9	167:15 168:16	complex 77:10
commitment	179:7,8	community 6:13	168:18,23	115:20
142:6	commonly 7:25	8:1 10:1,12,25	171:2,13 178:17	compliance
committed 18:7	communicate	15:12 19:18,22	178:19,20,22	50:22,25
49:22	83:19 157:7,24	19:24 29:10,21	179:7,17 182:6	172:21
committee 1:3	158:10	31:15 48:9,10	182:17,20,23	comply 10:20
2:2 4:13 9:22	communities	48:22,23	184:15 185:1,4	122:12
15:8 17:1,11	7:19 10:7 11:7	50:3 51:21	185:6,16,24	composition
19:5 47:21	15:4 16:18	54:23 55:7,15	186:4,7,16,20	119:18
49:7,25 51:7	48:19,20 49:1	61:12,17 68:2	186:22 187:24	comprehensive
51:14 53:21	49:3,8 50:8	73:24 77:6	189:4 190:14	153:9
54:18 58:10,13	50:15 52:3	78:14,18 82:6	community's	compress
58:16 60:20	61:6 64:11,22	82:21 85:18	105:8 125:20	117:25
75:9 76:14	66:5,22,24	85:20,24	community-b	comprise 7:19
88:2,13,19	67:9,10,10,25	87:13,18 88:17	131:8 132:20	compromising
89:4 112:3,4	68:6,12 69:21	91:5 92:15	community-dr	97:17
112:20,20	73:7 74:14,19	93:22 94:12	136:1,2	concept 93:23
113:25 115:4	74:19 76:8.21	94:16,18,21	compact 94:16	concern 32:4
118:17 120:16	77:13 78:10,11	95:16,18,22	95:24	34:22 97:15
126:8 131:1	80:4 84:15,15	96:18,18,19		132:17 167:17
	84:16 85:14		companies 128:11	
132:10,16		97:9,18,24,25		concerned
135:9,23	85:23 86:7,17	98:7,17,22	compare 25:25 89:2	45:17 145:3,17 146:23 147:8
136:6,11 140:11 140:15 141:19	89:9,17 91:1,7 91:13 94:2,2,6	101:21 102:13		
		103:9 104:20	compared	150:12 170:2 171:17 172:7
143:18 170:17 170:22 171:5	94:6 96:13 104:23 105:4	104:22,24	24:21 33:9 34:12 56:19	
		105:11,12,13,13	153:23 154:24	concerning
175:19 178:11	105:14,17	105:13,14	· · · ·	120:6 148:22
180:16,21,21	108:6,14,18,23	106:18 108:12	comparison	152:5 176:12
181:1,3,8,16,22	109:1,18,20,23	108:16 109:17	57:3	concerns 8:2
181:25 182:3	110:22 111:15	110:24,24	compassionate	15:11 45:11
185:20 189:18	111:21 112:4	112:17 115:17,21	109:8	79:25 85:6
193:25 194:4	115:23 116:19	119:1 120:23	compelled	89:21,24
194:5 197:6,13	119:3,11,14	121:2 124:7,17	97:23	97:16 98:20
committee's	120:7,25 129:1	124:21 126:1	compete 152:2	108:2 123:23
98:3	131:17 133:5	127:7 128:18	competing	142:12 163:25
committee@h	133:25 135:14	128:23 130:9	77:16	164:12,13
116:10	135:15,16	130:21 131:2,5	competition	172:17 175:9
committees 6:1	138:15,18,19	132:3 133:24	25:6	179:8,22
10:4 17:10	140:17 141:3,12	134:3,4 135:3	compile 159:23	<b>concert</b> 89:10
50:17,24	147:3 151:14,15	135:6,17,23	159:24 184:11	conclude
76:23 78:6	169:16 170:6	136:10 138:19	compiled 184:7	180:13,14
87:15 94:13	172:16 173:8,11	142:17 145:5,5	185:10	188:2 192:25
98:19 114:1	173:19,23	145:7,9,10	complete 49:10	concluded 7:16
116:15 119:9	176:14,16	147:18 148:1,3	completed	70:19 94:22
192:1	179:9,12 181:5	148:22 152:10	77:19	185:1 193:7
	1	1	1	1

conclusion	119:22 190:16	160:20	conversations	countless 17:3
66:20 71:18	considered 1:13	contacted 9:11	67:16 156:19	186:7
concrete 174:24	7:14 102:5	contain 87:24	173:8	country 87:17
<b>conduct</b> 17:10	120:23 171:16	contained 10:12		90:22 134:17
			convey 94:15	
30:1 188:19	179:10	10:14	conveyed 29:14	counts 55:17
conducted	consist 57:25	containing 96:4	79:8	58:4,4 120:7
38:25 72:18	58:2	contentious	Cook 107:14,16	county 30:21,23
120:2 195:14	consistency	104:16 115:20	107:17 129:15	30:25 31:2,3
195:20 196:13	140:3	contest 28:20	149:1 173:16	31:13 32:15,22
conducting	consistent	contiguous	<b>copies</b> 100:17	33:8,18,19,22
119:10 195:1	87:16 125:22	94:17 95:23	corner 6:4	33:23,24 34:2
conference	128:25 159:3	97:13	cornerstone	34:8,11 57:5,5
24:17 30:10	162:21	continuation	88:22,25	58:21,21 59:7
confidence	consolidated	19:22	corporate	107:14,18,18
38:12	10:18 94:21	continue 8:5	104:21	129:2,15 149:1
confident 62:8	95:4	9:18 11:14 19:17	Corporation	173:16 198:4
confirm 114:2	constituent	20:18 57:16	127:13	couple 11:23
133:23 172:21	139:13,24	75:2 127:5	correct 40:13	16:11 37:15
confusing 169:8	constituents	137:15 179:13	46:18,19 51:14	56:10 63:2
Congress 90:4	194:20	179:19 180:25	56:1 58:15	74:10,12 95:16
132:3 168:15	constituents'	continued 121:3	62:24,25	111:13 120:2
168:18 172:25	18:8	122:24,25	81:12,22 84:10	124:22 130:7
congressional	Constitution	continues 17:15	98:24 99:13	141:22 149:24
12:7 51:24	11:3 15:18,18	continuing	99:20 114:7	155:7,10 181:17
192:5,6,7	17:21 30:7	122:19	121:18,19	181:25
connect 2:6	60:23 72:4,7	continuous	129:25 130:1	<b>course</b> 10:19
95:24 148:2	72:8 77:18	167:12	137:23,24	21:21 23:19
connected	150:3 171:4	contract 40:5	182:11 189:19	29:16 30:13
148:4	constitutional	41:15 81:9	193:10,17,23	31:4 34:15
consequences	17:6 52:21	contracted 42:4	194:2,3 197:15	35:4 47:13
122:7	61:2 63:8,10	contracting	corrected 55:6	51:10,19 57:17
Conservative	76:18 134:22	128:20	correctly 82:8	192:16 196:6
22:4	<b>Constr-</b> 129:9	contrast 24:17	101:25 137:23	court 12:4,9,11
consider 135:9	constructed	contrasting	189:23 193:9	21:11 24:25
183:10	38:7	26:14	corruption 18:15	25:11 29:7
considerable	construction	contributing	<b>council</b> 31:2,13	36:9,10 49:15
27:16	81:15 125:2	182:18	counsel 87:9	51:18,22,24
consideration	128:10,16,19	control 77:24	170:22 198:10	89:25 90:14
13:7 45:7	128:23 129:8	77:24	198:13	134:21 192:20
54:24 55:9	129:10,24	<b>convene</b> 195:21	<b>count</b> 49:1	193:2,12,22
94:11 96:22	190:23	196:5	52:18	194:1,14,22
116:16 121:4	construction-r	<b>convened</b> 197:6	counted 48:19	196:1,4
123:13 135:10	129:10	convener	counterprodu	courtesy 51:9
169:11 182:19	consultant	144:25	45:23	courts 52:21
184:18	40:12 73:21	conversation	counties 33:16	80:24
considerations	81:21 115:7	68:23 82:10	34:22,24 49:4	<b>cover</b> 6:9
7:1 38:5	contact 70:1	168:10	58:22 59:3,9	covering 6:3
	I	I	I	I

craft 184:23	customs 145:12	74:14,19 76:19	176:1,4,5,8,17	deal 30:24 74:2
create 17:2	cut 95:21 96:9	78:2,11,19,21	176:19,20	dealing 34:4,19
28:12,13,14	96:18 97:11	78:22 79:18	177:12,17,20	59:7,8
29:11 38:15	133:21 164:1	79:21,23,23	182:7,11,21,22	decade 24:24
50:9 110:10	cutting 95:12	79:24,25 80:1	183:2,14,24	29:6 34:5
121:22	<b>CVAP</b> 35:4	81:15,20 82:3	184:20,23	35:12,13 50:15
created 31:10	cycle 171:14	82:5,7,8,20,21	185:2,4,7,9,15	108:4
39:3 54:5	cycles 90:24	83:4,16,25	185:16,17,20	decennial 30:7
72:13 73:6		84:5,11 87:19	185:23,24	30:11,15 32:21
107:19 109:11	D	87:21,23	186:2,8,16,20	33:10 57:2
creating 183:22	<b>D.C</b> 21:13	88:15,17,20	186:22,23,25	76:18 78:11,20
183:25 186:5	Dailey 27:19	88:22,24	187:5,13,17,18	87:21 91:3,10
creation 29:12	<b>Dakota</b> 79:10	89:2,3,6 91:3	187:18,19,20	129:23
185:17,25	dangerous	91:10,21,22	188:6,8,13,15	decide 135:2
186:24 187:1,5	172:3	92:2,8,20	188:16 189:3,4	decided 50:1
187:18,19	Danville 10:13	93:2 109:14	190:23 196:17	60:8
credentials	138:9,11,13	109:24,24,25	196:20 197:1,1	decision 83:3,4
171:20,20,21	data 15:12 16:20	110:11 112:13,13	197:9	decisions 50:15
171:25	20:6,8 25:19	115:6 116:25	dataset 62:16	52:16 78:2
Cristina 108:25	29:10,10,22	119:20 120:1,4	83:25 84:7	89:3 101:14
criteria 107:25	29:23,24	120:17,21	157:2 182:7	declared 88:9
critical 59:24	30:8,12,13,15	121:18,21	185:5	decline 91:6
110:14	30:17,19 31:5,7	122:9,13 123:7	datasets 149:24	dedicate 194:21
<b>Critics</b> 22:7	31:16,18 32:21	123:20 125:19	156:21 157:1,2	dedicated
crossover 27:16	33:2,7 38:3,5	128:1,2 129:8	185:6 189:2	10:24 75:15
<b>CSR</b> 1:18 198:17	38:7,15,17 39:1	129:23,24	date 16:20	88:10
culture 145:10	39:1,3,6 42:6	132:18,21,22	55:16,17,18	deep 29:25
Cunningham	42:7 44:18,18	133:22 134:15	56:3,4 77:19	deeper 97:8
5:3,4 113:13	45:15 48:7,14	134:18 135:3,4	77:21 78:1	deeply 146:22
curiosity 63:12	49:10,13,17,21	135:11 136:7,8	112:9 142:7	146:23 171:17
curious 176:8	49:23 50:10	138:2,3 140:16	dating 108:11	172:10
current 8:2	50:21 52:23	140:21 141:6,11	daughter's 63:9	defeats 25:13
28:7 97:19	53:19,23,24	141:15,18 142:6	Dave 4:2	defend 72:7
120:13 132:1	54:2,9,9,11,17	143:20 147:1,6	day 84:10	defendant's
133:20 145:14	54:19,20,21	148:11 149:16	132:14 141:24	90:14
146:13,14,16	55:2,3,4,6,11	149:17,18,21	171:8 172:12	defendants 41:3
146:22 153:13	55:14,15,17	149:23 150:7	days 16:11 51:15	defense 21:11
153:14 154:1	56:2,6 57:2,13	150:14,17	123:18 175:2	32:18 38:17
155:6 163:6	57:14,17,18	152:20,23	184:7,12,13	87:10 87:10
166:8,24	58:10,23 59:5	153:10 154:8	de 35:9	defer 14:12 56:8
178:23 179:11	59:13,13,15,22	155:12,23,25	deadline 11:2,4	138:24 162:9
179:18	59:23,24	156:3,7,14,15	17:6,7 77:17	177:24 180:2
currently 95:8	60:5,6,16,18	156:18 157:18	190:17	define 77:14
131:21 135:5	60:25 61:22	158:19 159:25	deadlines 49:16	defining 76:21
153:21	61:23 65:18	162:22 172:17	49:19 52:22	definitely 66:21
curriculum	66:23 72:18	172:18,19	76:18 134:22	158:5,6 164:12
36:21	72:23 73:14	172.18,19	150:2	174:1178:21
50.21	, 2.20 , 0.11	174.10 175.5,0	130.2	1/4.11/0.21

definitive 57:20	60:3	details 16:14	differently 112:5	141:3 156:12
deflection	demographer	50:6 88:14	difficult 12:24	discussion 11:9
15:25	92:17	166:16	75:24 80:21	12:10,12 52:13
<b>déjà</b> 21:4	demographers	determination	97:4 141:20	83:16 88:16
<b>DeKalb</b> 138:18	122:1	43:11	difficulty 111:23	176:6 191:5
<b>del</b> 90:11	demographic	determine	<b>Dilara</b> 104:10,11	192:4,19
delayed 30:14	50:9 88:14	50:14 61:1 86:1	111:12 112:8	194:21 196:1
30:16	119:18 120:17	89:8 119:22	116:4 132:5	discussions
delaying 134:17	132:18 133:22	determines	176:21	195:21 196:3
delays 134:12	136:7 138:2	63:10	diligent 162:21	disenfranchise
delivered 38:17	140:21,24	develop 85:18	diligently 126:14	68:4 69:24
97:21	150:15 174:23	development	dilute 90:13	disenfranchis
demanding	demographics	124:7,18,22	diluted 151:8	15:13 49:1
110:8	7:19 117:1 119:7	126:1 127:7,8	dilutes 148:7,15	disenfranchis
Demmer 181:11	173:6	127:13 179:5	diluting 152:11	69:4 172:15
181:13,15	demonstrate	deviation 32:11	dilution 153:25	disenfranchisi
182:14,20	50:21 146:4,7	33:1,11,14,15,16	diminished	49:8
183:1,3,5,16	denied 150:23	56:12,20,22	15:13	disinformation
184:4,10,21	Department	57:6,9	diminution 25:1	68:12
185:3,14,19,23	30:20 41:4	deviations	Dina 115:11	disingenuous
186:6,14,18	deprived 188:9	32:16 33:5	direct 18:23,24	196:3
187:4,11,22	depriving 188:7	88:18	20:7 30:18	disproportion
188:1	188:7	<b>devil</b> 166:16	directed 19:11,15	159:16 162:4
democracy	describe 22:20	167:21	20:8 46:16	166:1
94:4	described 23:11	diabolical	direction 57:7	disservice 11:7
democrat	describes 82:13	167:20	198:9	dissuade 68:15
157:18 162:5	description	diagonal 164:4	directions 35:8	dissuaded
166:1 170:2	165:4	difference 26:8	directly 7:5	73:25
democratic 16:3	descriptive	32:9 58:3	22:19 70:23	distant 12:16
17:15 41:12,12	7:20	108:5,6,7	111:24 112:2	distinct 183:23
46:15,15 114:6	deserve 17:20	163:2,3,21	director 47:24	distinguished
159:17,19	18:4 91:14	178:14	70:2 75:13	21:12 35:16
164:22 182:9	deserves 52:23	differences	93:19 118:21	44:15 76:9
183:21 184:1	52:25	35:6 57:17,22	131:4	district 10:13,14
187:15	designed 10:20	163:10	disappointed	10:17 16:17
Democrats 6:21	15:20 69:23	different 15:6,6	88:2 119:19	28:25 30:23
15:25 16:6,13	183:20	18:16 33:12	disappointing	31:10,20 32:12
17:4,18 18:7	desire 152:17	35:8 56:21	73:20 160:14	32:20 43:17
41:3 53:24	desires 142:3	64:20 72:13	disclosed 189:2	48:15 50:11
60:1,7 63:7	despite 18:8	95:20 96:17	189:3	51:18 54:7
65:18 73:21	98:1 171:11	96:18,22		
81:11,18 102:6	detail 44:11,12	90.18,22 102:24 103:6	disclosing 188:20	79:10,12 85:1 86:3,5 88:8
103:4 115:25	45:11 146:3	102.24 103.8		
	45:11 146:3 167:21	•	discuss 9:22	94:17,22 95:9
159:16 162:4		108:10,23	10:9 75:10	95:18,20,24
165:11,15 166:1	detailed 50:2	109:23 134:1	135:25 191:14	96:2,3,10,10
190:22 193:7 Democrats'	50:25 73:10 154:12	141:3 143:6 148:14 182:21	discussed 68:6 71:8,8 93:23	96:15,24 97:1 97:1,3 98:24
		170.14 102.21	71.0,0 55.25	57.1,5 50.24

99:17 107:15	153:22,23,24	74:8,15,21	drafting 39:11	drilled 35:2
107:17 109:2	158:20 159:4	132:8	drafts 109:12,13	<b>drive</b> 168:21
133:14,14,17,19	162:6 165:5	doubled 33:25	109:14 111:3	dropped 12:12
133:20,23	173:6,22 176:3	Douglas 131:25	113:1 135:22	34:7,7 175:22
134:1,6,7	179:11,18 189:9	downstate	135:22	Duckworth 27:2
140:22,23	192:5,6	106:11	draw 16:20,24	27:3
145:15,16,19	<b>diverse</b> 88:5	dozen 27:12	17:7 50:10	due 1:11 29:24
146:1,2,8,9,13	94:4 106:18	<b>Dr</b> 20:22,23,25	55:11 77:7	29:25 42:24
146:14,14,16,17	109:1,15	21:2 35:20	78:12 84:6,12	56:11 59:4
146:17,24	146:25 147:5	36:2,17,19,24	100:13 120:4	94:10
147:11,12,17,19	151:23 152:24	37:2,8,12,16	148:14 182:7	DuPage 107:18
147:22,25	152:25 157:14	37:22 38:1,8	185:5 187:24	129:3
151:11,17,23	157:15,16	38:18 39:7,10	190:2	Durkin 181:9
152:3,18,22	167:2,3 179:11	39:21 40:4,11	drawing 6:15	dwell 78:13
152:22 153:1	diversity 10:22	40:13,17,22	8:4 15:9 16:8	
153:14,18	94:3 120:11	42:17 43:1,9,14	20:6 28:11	E
159:9 161:19	179:16	44:1 46:2,12	32:9 38:12	eager 171:13
163:1,6,15	divided 94:23	46:16 47:9,13	39:13 62:6	earlier 36:21
166:8 178:23	95:6 98:22	56:9.10 58:6	75:10 76:19	53:21 56:4
182:7	dividing 95:9	58:11,15,18,21	88:19 90:3	73:5 75:7
districting	divine 177:14	59:1,9 88:16	186:16,20	82:22 83:12
32:20 110:6	Doctor 39:4	113:19 114:23	187:20	88:16 133:15
districts 6:22	43:7 57:23	117:23 140:2	drawings 18:5	134:14 135:19
6:23 7:20 12:4	doctorate 105:2	176:21	drawn 6:3 13:9	171:19,24
14:23 16:5,8	doctors 104:20	draconian	14:3,5 19:16	174:25 176:6
16:18 25:15	documented	101:24	21:11 43:12	176:21 177:6
28:9,12,13,14	106:6	draft 7:5 44:22	94:1 96:23	183:23 191:1,2
28:19 29:4	doing 15:10	45:147:3,3,4	99:16 102:6	early 27:14
31:17,18 32:10	49:10 82:14	47:6 62:24	125:23 142:23	earnest 39:5
35:3 43:11,15	116:1 128:9	69:25 70:3	146:25 147:4	74:1
44:13 49:9	136:12 142:17	102:11 110:1	148:2 150:4,13	earnestly 177:3
50:9 53:20	174:2	113:2 122:25	151:12 152:23	177:9
54:5 56:19	dollars 48:21	123:6,18	153:10 159:15	easier 11:21
57:19 59:7,8	doors 14:5 16:4	135:20,24	159:21 160:3	12:21 77:13
59:10 73:6,8,9	189:9	137:4 138:21	162:3,25	east 97:8
75:11 87:25	Doubek 47:16	140:13,17	163:14 164:21	107:24 132:13
88:14 90:1,3	47:18,20,23	142:16 145:3	165:25 182:10	Easter 127:21
90:13,15 95:10	53:4,18 54:1	175:19 177:3,8	187:14 188:7	132:12 139:19
99:1,2,7,8,12	63:14,19,23	177:13,15,18	189:8	<b>easy</b> 160:9
99:17 107:16	64:15,18,25	184:15,22,23	draws 57:23	Ecological
111:19,19 112:6	65:9,12,19,21	184:23,25	dream 125:18	22:24
112:14 119:18	66:13,17 67:2	185:5,5,13,25	drew 16:4 32:19	economic 7:25
132:22 140:25	67:14 68:8,11	186:5,10,24	60:7 94:13	124:21 127:7
146:1,10,15	68:23 69:7,11	187:21 190:11	100:16 151:17	170:25
150:4,16		190:13 194:22		economy 179:5
150:4,16	70:1,7,11,15,19	190:13 194:22	184:12,12 188:8	Eddie 178:7,7,15
153:19,20,20	71:5,10,23 72:3,9 73:2,15	drafted 16:12	drill 71:17	edges 101:24
133.13,20,20	/2.3,5/3.2,13			

editions 24:1	28:22,24 31:11	195:9	145:1 149:7	Evaluation
educate 48:1	72:5 86:13	engaged 77:11	168:22	23:22
educated 15:2	electeds 125:10	139:16 147:13	equity 51:22	<b>Evanston</b> 10:16
150:18	election 23:13	engagement	86:8,12,13	96:4,14,16
education 32:18	25:22 26:16	12:13,22	94:3 108:5	97:7
87:13	26:23 27:1,3	48:24 110:20	133:3 136:15	Evanston's
Educational	27:11,13,21	118:21 131:13	170:25	96:9
87:10	elections 24:3	195:6,6,6	equivalent	evasive 15:25
effect 69:20	27:10 48:2	engaging 175:8	48:12 56:3	evening 5:23
98:8 124:7,17	89:10,19 91:8	engineer 154:2	erased 56:17	47:22 75:7
125:25 127:7	electoral 31:19	155:21 156:4	erasing 48:11,12	87:6 104:12
191:20 195:13	element 83:14	engineering	especially 12:13	133:15 167:18
effective 91:2	84:10	125:1 128:21	48:19 94:4	170:21 176:7
effectively	Eleventh 1:19	English 131:18	118:1 136:18	176:12 178:9
43:12 90:13	Elsdon 179:1	enlist 76:21	148:15 191:25	179:22 180:6
95:12 148:6	email 7:13 70:2	enlisted 48:21	Esri 187:19	181:19 182:3
176:19	112:21 144:20	ensure 10:7	essential	187:17 188:3
effectiveness	emailing 8:6	15:21 45:11	174:24	189:1190:21
31:17	emails 113:9	48:19,25 91:1	essentially	event 85:9
effects 50:7	115:15	119:11 131:16	194:10	139:18
effectuate	Emanuel 27:25	136:19	established	events 90:21
100:14	28:1,5	ensures 94:3	5:13 30:22	eventually
efficiency 48:2	embattled	136:17	31:6	90:17 128:13
effort 48:18	23:14,19		estimate 32:5	184:9 196:25
52:8,9 73:16	emerge 34:14	ensuring 6:9 75:16 86:14	54:10,15	
76:4 94:10	empathetic	89:17 118:24	55:24,25	everybody 44:21 85:14
96:12 122:11	109:8	119:3	78:22 82:21	115:13,14,14,18
132:5 172:24	employed 76:21	entail 92:20	135:12	153:7 157:17
efforts 78:9	198:10,13	enter 31:6	estimated 54:19	178:11,13
118:3	employee	entire 25:23	120:9	179:21
egregiously	198:12	40:1 49:11	estimates 31:7	everyday
96:1		75:23 79:11	34:9 48:10	106:13
eight 111:21	empower 179:19	113:10 191:21	54:2 55:19	evidently 17:12
112:14 117:11,12		entrepreneurs	56:4 57:24	exacerbated
153:14	empowers 179:11	128:22	66:17 91:5	98:5
either 64:6	enabled 27:6	enumeration	135:4 156:2	exact 50:11
65:14 74:18	enables 25:24	134:12	estimating 79:9	95:5 158:17
81:10 157:18	enacted 172:13	environment	estimating 75.5	exactly 28:15
167:14	encourage	22:25	172:19	59:1 69:18
elaborate 95:8	80:12	Envision 178:19	et 107:10	70:5 101:12
elect 43:12 89:9	encouraged	equal 10:8 15:14	ethics 48:1	102:12 103:23
89:18 91:7	14:21 94:6,7	27:20 60:22	ethnic 16:17 61:3	102.12 103.23
119:1 148:21	ended 194:8	90:1	109:21 119:18	116:14 132:24
153:5 171:11	engage 12:21	equals 32:1	ethnically	132:25 133:21
173:12,20,23	48:1 51:12	equitable 53:1	157:15	134:4 140:23
179:20	52:10 80:21	80:15 116:21	evaluate 92:12	158:16 165:8
elected 22:16	94:7 147:15	119:5 144:12	169:4,15	166:10
	57.7177.13	113.3 177.12	103.4,10	100.10

exaggeration	expert's 171:20	147:4 149:8,11	90:5 133:5	121:22 177:19
95:6	171:24	149:16,24	150:5,10 171:3	fine 2:21 36:16
examined 38:4	expertise 174:21	150:2,3,25	172:12,22	36:18 71:10
example 14:4	174:22	188:14	feedback 10:23	finish 36:2
75:18 79:13	experts 22:15	fact-checking	45:6,18 50:4	finished 27:19
80:2 84:5,19	87:17 175:3	138:22	51:3 55:8	finite 167:14
129:16 130:5	expires 198:21	facts 41:5	62:5 74:1	firm 87:11 169:18
151:7 173:17	<b>explain</b> 95:7	faculty 21:17	101:8,10,16	firms 128:12
178:25 183:2	102:7	fail 16:24 17:6,10	119:25 120:19	130:3,3
excellent 78:14	explained 37:17	failure 51:12,16	120:22 136:10	first 7:21 8:13,18
exchanged	78:9	<b>fair</b> 10:8,9	177:4 183:19	9:20 10:11
138:10	explanation	93:23,25	183:20,24	23:11 25:19
excluded 56:18	33:20 134:2	118:25 123:22	feel 35:25	26:3 27:21
excoriated	explanations	150:5 177:14	97:23 100:22	30:22 31:12
73:23	50:6	<b>fairly</b> 135:17	120:10 152:11	36:8 39:19
<b>excuse</b> 10:6	explicable 57:9	fairness 105:23	180:12 195:17	41:20,23
41:2 78:7 80:4	explicit 30:14	169:25	feeling 45:19	53:15 69:16
98:23 137:8	explicitly 30:11	faith 83:1	82:17	76:13 81:9
137:12	express 142:2	fall 120:10	<b>fewer</b> 97:9	90:23 96:14
excuses 16:23	149:10	familiar 89:11	fight 115:22,24	100:4 111:12
executive	expressed	163:13,15	figure 82:15	112:21 114:4,19
47:24 70:1	171:14	families 95:8,11	85:23 152:13	134:9 166:13
75:13 131:4	extension 19:21	95:12,16,20,21	190:24	168:9 193:13
exemplar 24:11	extensive 6:1	96:5,5,6,8	file 87:23,24	193:21 194:4,8
exist 8:2	extent 86:3	97:11	89:6,7	194:9 196:5
existing 87:25	97:18	family 104:17,19	files 88:20	fit 90:17 138:3
138:3 154:25	extraordinary	108:8	91:21,22 92:8	five 22:5 28:24
exists 60:14	26:8	far 24:19 29:4	92:8,21 93:2	51:15 54:14
163:1	extreme 57:3	73:21 77:8	118:1,1	104:17 115:5
exit 27:2,12,24	extremely 7:6	92:23 115:4	fill 37:4	117:11 153:16
expands 29:5	97:10 145:2	150:23 189:12	filled 36:21	180:24
expect 34:14,16	147:13 186:4	fastest 34:1	final 7:20 103:19	five-year 32:2
34:20 35:9		fastest-growing	117:1 122:6	48:10 54:2,10
38:11 182:5	F	33:23,24	123:8,15	54:15 55:14
190:7 192:7	face 11:15 76:10	34:10 49:2	140:16 183:14	55:23,25
expectation	faced 90:21	66:22	184:19	56:14 59:22
194:15	facing 17:13	fault 29:25	finalist 22:5,10	66:17 78:22
expected 31:10	fact 25:7,12	favor 159:16	finalized 7:17	82:21 135:12
194:10	27:16 30:8,14	162:4 164:22	135:24 196:25	fix 18:1
experience	32:15 33:7,12	166:1 186:15	finally 16:12	flat-out 78:25
30:18 35:11	34:25 38:3	FDR 22:8	23:23 89:25	flawed 48:7
expert 21:10	42:24 44:2	fear 122:10	91:12 192:3	83:24 172:1
29:19 30:20	45:17 50:23	fears 175:17	financially	flaws 171:18
41:1,2 88:16	55:17 82:4	fed 77:16	198:14	flooded 76:8
156:6,16 157:7	88:20 102:4	federal 10:20	find 73:19 88:21	floor 130:6
157:23 158:8	103:1 124:24	30:1 50:18,22	97:5 184:7	Floyd's 76:6
171:25	141:1 146:6	64:1 89:12	findings 29:15	focus 69:20
	l	l		I

424-24420-22	fammela 110-22	£.11 C.24 24.4C	107.10.10	
124:21 128:23	forum's 118:23	full 6:21 21:16	187:12,13	GIS 155:24
FOIA 150:16,22	forward 7:15	49:16,22	191:11 196:9	<b>give</b> 15:20
169:8	8:9 11:8,22	52:22 134:14	futures 86:12	40:24 45:10
folks 79:16 85:11	13:3 42:1	134:18,24	G	61:17,18 62:4
86:3,4 103:11	45:13 73:18	149:21		62:5 67:16
141:11 143:19	74:2 121:3	fully 97:4 120:19	Gage 179:2	91:13 107:5,6
151:8,22,22	195:11,25	135:14	gains 90:19,25	108:13 110:8
165:14 167:14	found 24:18	Fund 32:18	Galesburg 10:15	116:6 119:12
174:21 180:10	32:25 35:4	47:25 87:10	138:16	120:19 158:1
195:9	57:5 96:20	fundamental	<b>Gandhi</b> 170:16	169:16 171:13
follow 37:24	161:4	16:21 49:14	170:18,19,21	188:22 192:12
76:16 80:19	foundational	fundamentally	174:6,10,20	196:7
follow-up 123:6	49:14	172:1	176:11	<b>given</b> 15:19
127:5 136:25	founder 144:24	funding 48:18	<b>Garcia</b> 28:1,4	16:12 17:4
138:22	Founding 23:14	fundraiser 65:3	Garfield 179:1	38:16 46:12
followed 38:10	four 22:18 56:5	fundraising	<b>Garza</b> 30:21	52:8 72:21
38:20 39:13	56:7 99:1,7,12	65:8 67:24	32:19	73:1,16 86:3
following 76:6	99:16 107:16	<b>funds</b> 68:10	gather 10:6,7	90:15 94:10
80:16 161:11	117:11 190:1	71:13	10:23 41:24	114:20 120:6
192:19	191:4 192:22	funny 63:8	gathered 10:10	134:21 186:3
footsteps 76:16	193:5 194:5,6	further 7:11,18	gathering 123:11	195:2
force 78:1	frame 194:10	8:4 14:9 30:4	183:23	giving 7:10
forcing 50:13	frankly 76:10	42:22 43:8	geek 156:18	29:16 51:2,5
forecast 128:10	79:3 84:12,22	44:24 47:11	general 10:22	101:16 106:3
Forecasting	free 100:22	61:19 69:24	15:24 24:9,10	109:5 116:19
24:4,5,6	frequently 84:6	72:186:20	25:22 26:16	123:3 160:7,17
foregoing 198:7	freshman 99:9	88:7 93:9	40:172:687:6	191:21
forge 168:22	Friday 13:5,18	94:23 95:4,5	90:20 91:12	glad 174:13
forget 54:22	19:13 48:6	97:8 99:23	92:6 93:24	glitches 34:17
195:4	49:25 65:19	103:1,1 104:4	134:10 156:19	global 22:14
form 6:16 7:20	65:24 79:19	113:15,16 118:6	183:18,23	76:3
13:12 31:23	88:4 103:13	122:16 124:2	generate 155:17	<b>Gloria</b> 31:11
53:25 175:21	112:23,25	127:9 130:18	156:16 157:2	go 8:20 14:14
formally 121:9	118:20 119:17	135:25 140:11	generated	19:2,2,4 35:23
format 6:24	135:20 155:1	143:21,25	157:8	37:17 41:5
87:23	190:1,3,9	151:17 158:12	generations	42:12 47:10
former 97:1	friendly 84:23	161:8 163:4,5	104:17,18	53:15 63:2
forms 84:17	friends 104:14	166:17 167:23	genuine 188:11	68:171:3 85:11
forth 54:7 141:14	109:3 132:8	174:15 177:8	geographic	85:12,16,16
forthcoming	168:23	177:19,23	10:21 59:14	87:2 93:1,14
187:10	front 13:21 71:12	180:19 182:4	60:5,10	96:22,25
fortunate 119:15	104:22 140:6	183:9,9 184:17	geopolitical	97:8 104:11
forum 118:12,14	fruit 79:3	186:2 195:6	22:15	126:22 127:3
118:18,22,22	frustration 39:4	196:24 197:17	<b>George</b> 76:6	128:18 139:2
119:8,15,19,25	60:14 174:14	198:12	Georgia 145:11	142:4 146:2
120:13 121:1	196:10	future 90:11,24	getting 45:6	142.4 146.2
120.13 121.1	fulfilled 50:20	181:23 184:11	133:8	151:11 155:1,7
		101.25 104.11		131.11 133.1,7

-				
155:13 159:24	24:8 32:8	granted 5:15	149:14 160:6	99:25 138:23
168:2 170:16	33:20 57:14	90:18	169:19 182:18	handful 21:16
174:6 191:14	67:24 73:22	granular 78:20	185:11 186:4,7	handled 36:13
193:16 194:6	75:6.7 79:6	graph 61:10	186:12,15,19	hands 42:14
goal 7:23 138:4	83:187:4,6	154:24 170:3	188:7,12,13	49:12 154:13
goes 12:14 19:2	100:6 104:12		190:14 197:10	
78:21 106:12	115:7 117:23	grass-root 10:1		hanging 162:20
143:10,16		grassroots	groups' 55:8,15	happen 33:21 51:23 57:10
,	127:3 128:6	93:21 168:19	Grove 48:14	
148:8 151:19	138:19 139:4,5	grateful 83:5	growing 34:2,12	76:22 105:3
153:17 163:4	139:9 144:10	great 3:12 14:20	34:17 49:3	122:10 146:21
177:17	150:19,19	21:3 74:2	growth 34:1,4	165:14
going 8:20	155:25 157:10	102:10 114:15	125:21 128:25	happened
18:16,17 19:9	158:16 162:14	117:2 122:7,7	129:13	33:21 96:15
19:10 20:17,21	178:9	161:3 169:2	guarantee 64:6	101:13 179:12
25:11,17,19	Google 6:23	195:21	64:7,10,13,22	194:6 195:24
30:4 35:23	13:11 18:5	greater 69:20	64:25 65:14	happening
41:14 42:10	44:12 112:13	133:13	guaranteed	68:14
44:6,7,16,18	Gordan-Booth	greatly 119:19	63:7 64:9	happens 94:20
45:6,24 46:2	109:3	176:25	guaranteeing	114:21 150:20
47:10 53:14	Gordon 2:25	Greenfield	50:19	165:23
56:8 60:21	Gordon-Booth	178:5	<b>guess</b> 18:20	<b>happy</b> 35:18,19
62:17,19 64:21	3:18,19	grinded 34:5	41:19 45:24	98:9
82:11 84:13	gotten 8:23	Griselda 87:3,8	54:11 65:16	hard 100:17
86:15 92:22	govern 41:21	groceries 85:12	83:13 100:14	104:15 169:5
93:1 98:12	84:13	ground 85:5	102:2 114:10	hard-fought
100:10 101:10	government	grounded	126:21 142:1,4	90:19
102:17 103:16	15:14,22 30:1	22:23 154:8,8	144:21 146:12	harmful 169:2
103:17 113:5	39:24 48:2	grounds 23:9	148:2 161:12	Harmon 112:1
116:5,6 117:13	63:9 66:23	group 11:4	164:22 165:9	Harvard 21:21
117:16 123:23	73:22 84:17	57:25 60:4,4	195:12	105:2
137:13 144:3,7	91:2 93:20	85:21 90:18	guesswork	hate 21:20
147:18,20	94:3 105:3	101:6 103:2	50:14 133:1	HB 80:12
148:3 149:4	128:15 129:16	140:3 149:25	guidelines	Head 104:25
150:22 151:11	131:19 171:9	153:9 184:15	150:5	heading 128:9
153:1 154:1,4	196:9	187:24	Guillen 178:7,8	heads-up
156:2 160:14	governor 13:25	groups 6:13 8:1	178:9,16 180:1	192:12
160:16 161:7	14:1,2,3,6 17:5	10:1 13:14,17,21	180:5	healthcare
162:9 163:5	18:10,13 98:20	13:22 15:15	guys 152:6,11	104:19
168:2 170:3	<b>Grace</b> 130:20	23:1 41:4 51:7	164:19	hear 7:23,24
174:6 175:13	130:22 131:3	51:21 54:24		19:25 36:17
180:12,24	136:21 139:14	56:24 57:4	Н	68:13 80:15
190:4 194:6,7	174:25	58:2,2 60:6,9	HACIA 128:9	82:8 87:5
195:25 196:23	grade 63:9	60:25 61:3,17	half 12:10 41:23	103:8,11,17
196:24	graduate	77:9 88:5	143:7 151:16	105:24 106:1
gold 89:5	104:25 126:15	89:11,22 102:4	halt 34:5 76:15	118:17 142:12
good 2:8 5:23	grandchildren	113:20 115:5	hand 32:16 43:3	142:16 156:8
9:20 15:10	110:4	123:22 139:16	53:6,8,9 79:1	168:4 174:14
5.20 15.10	HU. <del>T</del>	123.22 133.10		100.4 1/4.14
L				

	1	1	1	
181:20,23	196:15,24	48:21 61:18	126:25 127:17	<b>Hindu</b> 108:14
188:10 190:12	197:4	68:22 69:18	127:20 130:14	hired 37:8
190:13 196:24	hearings 6:2,3	83:13,22	130:17,24	46:12
heard 7:2 8:21	6:4,6,14 7:3,9	110:10 160:10	136:21 137:8	Hispanic 27:22
11:24 41:18	7:12,13,16,22	170:1 183:13	137:12 142:10	28:1,5,8,20,21
52:17 73:20	9:22 10:5,10	helpful 7:7	142:13 143:3	31:11,12 90:7
74:13,18 83:1	12:15,19 13:3,6	63:24 140:17	143:12,21	125:20 128:12
83:12 105:6,18	14:19,22 15:1	176:3 192:15	144:2,9,17	128:17 129:1
106:1,5 120:3	15:24 16:3	Hernandez 2:16	154:11,18	130:9
133:7 136:18	19:21 36:9,10	3:1,5,7,8 4:7	158:12 159:5	Hispanic-own
140:12 141:7	50:4,24 51:1,5	5:16,17 8:20	159:22 161:6	129:12
142:2 152:6,7	51:9,11,20,25	9:7,10,14,17	167:8,25	Hispanics 23:1
156:10,25	52:6,11 62:11	11:10,16 14:8	168:6 170:8,15	24:15 28:18,21
161:12 169:20	72:17 74:12,13	20:16,20	174:5 177:22	35:5 125:1,4,5
171:15,19,24	76:24 77:4,4	35:20 36:4,12	178:3,10	125:5,16
173:1,15 176:14	81:11 82:3	41:14 42:2,10	179:25 180:8	historian 29:19
177:12 179:20	83:13 87:14	42:21 43:4	180:16,23	Historians 23:2
181:18 182:1	94:8 97:22	44:20 45:2,5	181:7 182:12,16	historic 48:17
188:3 190:20	98:17 102:25	46:1,7,18,21	182:24 183:3	145:25 152:18
192:9 195:16	103:21 105:21	46:25 47:4,7	183:7 184:2,6	historical 22:23
195:23 196:11	105:25,25	47:9,15,19,20	184:14,24	23:3,8,11,17
hearing 1:3 2:2	106:7,16 113:21	53:3,7,10,13	185:8,18,22	120:6
6:8 8:10,23	114:19 115:12	54:13,21 55:5	186:1,11,17	historically 49:1
11:24 12:3,17	119:10,24	55:13,20 56:1	187:2,7,22	69:21 145:19
12:18 14:2,15	124:22 132:10	56:8 59:16	189:13,16,19	146:9,10
18:25 19:5	136:12 156:14	60:11 61:4,16	189:24 190:10	147:10 148:10
36:11 38:24	160:12,18	61:24 62:3,13	191:7,10,15,24	148:17 172:13
51:15 60:24	162:22 177:5	62:17,25 71:21	192:8,11,16	history 21:12
62:12 67:22	183:8,18,19,23	71:25 74:4,23	193:3,10,14,18	22:11 23:6.6
73:19 76:14	185:15 188:19	75:4,8 81:2,19	193:23 194:3	30:3 48:4
88:9 102:18	190:2 191:4	81:23 82:23	194:18,23	80:13 88:3
103:3,8,8,17	192:23,24,25	83:7 86:21	196:23 197:3	89:22 90:22
103:23 104:14	193:1,6,8,9	87:1 91:16	197:11,15,17	171:1 172:10
105:8,11,15	194:16 195:14	93:4,9,13	Hi 162:12	hit 80:23
110:9,10	195:15,19	98:11 99:23	hide 88:22	164:20
113:23 115:4	196:2,4,13,14	100:2 101:3	higher 26:11,11	Hoffman 3:20
115:25 127:22	196:19	104:3,9 111:5	26:21 80:4	3:21
132:13 135:19	heart 95:11	111:25 113:12	highest 21:15	hold 9:22
135:23 136:11	heartfelt 98:18	113:16,19 116:4	22:2 51:22	58:20 89:8
142:5 150:14	Hegewisch	116:9,13 117:3	highlight 88:7	holding 52:5
167:13 171:19	127:13	117:7,9,16,19	highlighted	Homeowners
172:8 174:11	held 6:3,7,8 8:1	117:22 118:9,15	96:14 161:19	179:6
176:12 177:7	10:5 16:3 51:1	121:5,12	highly 49:5	homes 6:6
178:12 179:22	52:11 76:14,23	122:15 123:4	64:7 66:4	honest 44:8
181:21 183:17	89:25 125:9	122.15 123.4	67:22 71:16	115:23 188:10
189:18,21,22	183:18	123.10,25		188:21 189:11
193:13,21	help 39:7,14,15	124.5,12,18	hijack 76:4 Hillary 26:4	Honestly 84:4
133.13,21	11 <b>CIP</b> 33.7,14,13	120.3,13,13,23	1 iiiidi y 20.4	

107:8	97:1 98:24	164:15,17,24	66:19 70:2	impact 60:2
honor 9:24	99:1,2 104:5		72:6,7 75:13	83:20 133:24
	· ·	165:2,6,10,19		
22:3,16	106:13 111:18	165:25 166:3	77:15,22 78:5	141:12 178:14
honorable 75:8	112:3,20	166:6,7,10,18	79:6,8 80:7,15	179:4
98:7	113:10 114:7	166:22 167:1,4	89:13 90:2,7	importance
honors 22:1	118:16 119:9	hybrid 6:7 8:24	90:22 93:15	94:15
Hood 168:3,4,8	120:15 122:17	hypothetical	93:20 94:5	important 7:7
168:9,13 170:8	126:5 130:4	20:5	104:10,19	8:9 29:9
170:13	132:10,16	I	105:19 106:18	57:22 59:19
hope 19:25	133:14 134:1	I Idea 12:20	106:18,20,22	74:1 80:10
39:5 41:25	135:8,21	idea 12:20	107:2,7 108:7	82:2 86:8
46:8 73:24	138:10 140:22	85:22	108:13,17 110:3	97:10 104:16
98:3 103:24	142:4 150:23	ideal 116:23	110:5,18	172:10,11 175:6
111:2 112:7	151:3 153:20	Ideally 117:1	116:20 119:11	194:13,17
122:12 138:19	153:20,23,24	ideas 168:21	125:2,18,22	197:8
174:15 176:4	170:10 173:4	identified	129:2 132:7	impossible
179:13 181:21	174:7 176:2	188:14	133:6 136:15	119:21 120:21
190:16 195:18	177:24 180:1	identify 90:6	141:17 144:12	impressions
hopeful 44:16	181:7,21,22	107:12,13,23	144:25 149:7	176:11
hopefully 102:17	182:3,9 183:21	107:24 108:19	150:3 153:12	impressive
179:23 183:14	183:25 187:14	111:14 182:15	155:23,24	80:10
hopes 169:10	188:25 190:22	182:22	169:12 172:5,8	improve 120:24
hoping 102:19	192:23 194:5	ignored 52:15	173:4 174:1,3	improvement
140:13 177:1	households	102:8 103:4	181:5 188:8	34:20
hour 12:10,18	95:14 96:7	ignoring 88:5	189:7 191:20	improvements
41:23 97:4	housekeeping	<b>ilga.gov</b> 6:20	197:22 198:3	95:3
hours 51:17	8:16 36:8	51:4 148:24	198:6,19	inaccurate 15:11
52:11,13,15	housing 179:5	ilhousedems	Illinois' 119:7	83:24
77:3 88:8	hub 178:25	6:20,24	Illinoisans 17:20	inadequate
115:3 141:24	179:2,15	Illinois 6:4	18:9 48:11	60:19
143:8 175:2	human 83:14	10:22,24 11:3	75:16 77:7	inadvertent
181:17,25	84:9 168:20	14:24 15:5,18	78:17 104:17	98:4
194:5,6 196:5	humanizing	18:2,14 24:9,11	104:18 107:12	inaudible 2:15
house 3:3 5:20	100:8	24:22 25:2,11	110:6	3:4 14:13 31:21
5:25 6:22	humanly 175:3	26:1,7,9,14,19	illustrate 25:17	40:17 58:12
9:21 10:13,14	humbled 21:25	26:20,25	ilsenateredist	58:25 64:18
13:15 21:6	hundred 95:12	29:25 30:7	6:25	70:6 120:7,21
23:25 24:13	95:16	32:1,4,7 33:2	imagine 54:1	125:13 144:8
28:7,17,24	hundreds 21:17	33:3,7,8,12,23	92:21	154:17 157:18
37:9 39:18,19	21:24 48:21	34:2,5,11 35:11	imagined 194:5	157:19 163:8
40:1,3,5,7	175:21	39:25 41:22	imams 106:11	164:9
41:10,12 42:4	Hunter 5:1,2	47:16,24,25	immediately	incarnation
46:14,14 48:15	108:22 162:9	48:3 49:11	90:8 150:1	124:24
79:12 81:18	162:12,15,16	50:5 51:18	immigrant	include 1:12
87:14 94:12	162:12,15,10	52:10,19,23	105:12 108:19	50:21 66:20
95:9,10,17	163:19,23	52:25 56:15	immigrants	79:12 110:16
96:3,10,24,25	164:2,6,8,10	63:5 64:5	107:23 131:17	116:25 119:22

included 67:15	individuals 10:2	initiatives 131:13	intend 49:20	24:3,4,6
79:25 85:17	58:1 68:1 71:1	injustice 98:4	intent 98:8	interpret 137:23
86:14 95:15	128:13 130:8,9	input 10:7 13:9	170:1	interracial 28:2
95:23 107:21	industries 125:6	13:24 14:5	intention 98:6	29:3
133:13 176:9	inference 13:19	15:4 19:18,23	122:21 159:20	interrupting
includes 118:24	22:24	19:24 41:24	160:18	2:15
119:2 131:22		52:15 55:8	intentional	interview 79:20
	inflammatory 67:23		122:11	79:22
including 17:4 23:10 32:17		61:6,9,12 82:6		
	influence 89:10	94:23 119:12	intentionally	intimately
72:12 90:10	89:20 91:8	120:1 135:13	159:16	150:20
174:21 186:25	112:4 153:19	135:23,23	interdependent	introduced
187:13	inform 196:21	140:14,18	97:14	138:14 160:20
income 105:1,1	197:10	149:9 160:7	Interdisciplina	investment
incomplete	informa-92:20	171:14,15	23:6	75:24 76:7
45:18	information 7:18	173:14,17,18	interest 7:25 8:1	invite 8:5 91:9
inconsequent	31:19 35:22	177:8,16	16:18 17:16,16	inviting 168:12
32:9	44:24 53:23	182:21 187:16	54:24 55:8,15	<b>involve</b> 51:13
incorporate	54:23 55:15	188:5,11 190:2	57:4 61:12,17	involved 39:24
95:14	57:12 59:17	190:12 191:3	77:14 83:1	81:11
incorporated	60:12 61:18,25	192:22 196:15	84:15 85:19	<b>Irish</b> 108:8
173:18 177:4	64:14 87:22	inquiries 70:1	85:20 86:7	irrelevance
186:9	92:4,21	inside 79:15	94:2 98:1	95:7
incorporates	100:22 116:3	138:3	101:21 103:9	Island 12:17
10:9	117:10 119:21	insiders 77:20	111:15,21 119:4	48:13
incorrect 65:17	122:24 123:11	insight 6:16 7:11	119:13 140:18	isolated 33:6
incredibly	123:12 127:10	insights 35:14	141:3,12 157:13	<b>Israel</b> 93:15,20
75:23	127:14 134:2	35:16 136:6	159:19 175:25	<b>issue</b> 29:9
incumbent 99:8	134:25 140:15	insinuation	176:20 179:17	49:14 60:2,3
99:12 159:14	142:14,19	189:5	182:18 184:15	67:13 83:15
159:20	155:17 156:7	inspection	185:1,11 186:4	83:20 137:21
incumbents	156:16 157:3,8	187:15	186:7 187:24	issue-based
99:16,20	158:8 159:23	instance 10:11	190:14 194:20	131:13
159:17 162:5	161:4 168:25	54:4	195:22	issued 32:6
164:22 166:2	169:6,16	instances 21:10	interested	50:16 65:24
independence	173:21 174:15	40:21 116:15	100:18 133:2	65:25
77:21	175:12,15,20	instituted 34:16	175:4 198:14	<b>issues</b> 15:6
independent	175:21 180:11	instruction	interesting 27:9	37:24 38:4
17:3 48:4 52:5	182:19 183:4,6	30:16	96:21 123:13	68:7 120:3
72:15 115:5	183:10,11,12,15	instrument	161:18 180:11	
India 106:21	184:3,5,8,11,16	78:14 79:6	183:11	J
indicate 66:18	184:17,20	instrumental	interests 85:24	January 198:21
indicated 182:9	185:1,10,12	133:8	93:22 98:1	<b>Jay</b> 75:5,12
182:9	186:3 187:8	insure 10:21	133:4 145:7	85:15 132:7
indigenous	187:24 188:20	intact 61:13	179:7,8	134:21
79:14	informed 89:2	138:13 166:15	interim 78:1	<b>Jehan</b> 2:20,25
individual 41:9	initial 51:11	integrating	internal 30:12	109:2
81:16 83:21	initials 7:12	108:7	International	Jewish 22:8,9
		/-		l

			_	
93:22 94:11	144:7,10	64:10 65:9	173:11,13,17,19	lastly 120:15
97:24 98:17	keeping 18:13	67:23 68:20	173:22,25	140:1
105:13 108:9	94:2,16 99:17	68:22 70:5	174:1 175:25	late 21:3 97:4
<b>Jews</b> 22:8	135:15	72:11 75:22	176:13,16	154:25
job 79:4,9	Kendall 33:19	77:12 79:19	178:11 181:18	Latino 30:22
103:25	33:21 34:8	80:9 82:16,23	185:8 186:24	49:3 89:9,17
jobs 113:25	49:4	83:15,16,20	187:3,4,23	90:10,12,13
joined 169:19	kept 10:12 15:4	85:3,19 86:8	188:12,14	91:1,6,13
joining 158:15	61:13 133:16	91:2 92:18	190:12,15,17	105:11 106:24
joint 1:3 2:1 9:21	163:16 166:15	93:1 96:20,21	191:16 192:8,11	107:22 108:19
181:2,16,25	key 89:15	98:7 101:12,15	193:3 195:4,7	118:12,14,21
189:18	108:24 112:14	102:13,17,22	knowing 120:8	119:1,3,5,7
Jones 4:25	112:14	103:6,12,13	174:12	120:7,12
Jorge 124:6,7	keynoted 24:5	108:22 109:3	knowledge	147:19,21
124:10,12,16	Keys 23:25	109:4,5,6,17	38:15 70:4	153:6,16,22
126:1,3 127:20	kids 85:11,16	109:22,24,24	101:6 150:24	153:23 169:13
130:12 139:23	<b>Kim</b> 81:16	112:16,24 113:2	157:5 165:25	172:15
Jose 118:12,12	Kimball 79:20	113:21,21,22	166:3	Latinos 87:12
118:20 121:5	kind 24:19	114:1 115:3,11	known 53:20	89:19,22 90:8
121:15	67:23 83:16	116:13 118:1	87:10,23	90:15
<b>Journal</b> 23:6,20	100:9 116:5	120:21 122:6	145:5	Latinx 67:10
23:21 24:4,4	141:18 144:22	126:8 127:3	knows 16:21	71:13 135:13
journals 23:4	161:13 169:3,8	128:14,20	38:21	176:2
23:20	175:12	129:19 132:23	30.21	Laughing 36:17
judge 169:5	kinds 116:15	133:21 134:4,8	L	Laura 108:24
188:20	Kirk 27:3	139:20 141:1,8	lack 88:7 150:12	law 10:21 23:21
judicial 63:11,14	knew 65:25	141:13,22	lacks 48:23	30:6,6 50:20
63:17 88:8	know 2:16 5:25	143:5 145:13	lags 24:19,20	87:11 171:6
190:25 191:6	11:23 13:23	145:21 146:15	laid 11:2	173:13,21,24
191:14,20,23	16:10 17:24	146:25 147:1,2	Lake 107:18	lawmakers 17:2
jump 194:7	18:6,20 21:8	147:3,7,7,18	124:7,17	49:19 50:12
jumping 194:8	25:15 27:11	147:24 149:17	125:25 127:6	51:12,17,21
June 11:2 77:17	31:21 32:4,12	149:18,25	129:2	99:10 101:8,10
190:17	32:14 33:2	150:8,21,25	landmark 30:21	106:2 160:6
justice 30:20	34:3,16 35:10	151:3,3,6,6,7	large 58:1,2	171:16
41:4 78:7	36:8 38:19	151:19 152:9,11	64:12,14,23	Lawn 178:17,22
136:15	39:3,9,15 40:4	154:7 155:21	66:9 68:3	179:1
justification	40:10 41:6	156:2,2,4,15	69:23 79:4,5	Lawndale
90:17	44:5,14,15,16	156:17,18,20	106:23 118:2	144:25 145:4
50.17	45:16,19,22	157:11,23 158:1	190:21	145:4,6 147:8
K	47:7,14 50:8	161:13 163:10	larger 32:15	148:4 151:7,10
Kane 49:4	53:9,12,21	163:13,13	79:15	151:13 152:1,12
129:3	54:3,22 55:13	164:10 165:7,7	largest 49:3	159:9 164:1
Kankakee	56:5,23 57:3	166:13,13,14,16	106:19,21,22	169:22 173:14
138:18	57:6,15,21	167:15,21	106:24,25	laws 120:22
keep 18:10	60:7 61:18	169:9,16,24	107:2 108:15	150:6,10 172:9
45:24 136:12	62:5 63:23	170:21 172:5	108:16,25	lawyers 104:21
	02.0 00.20	17 0.21 17 2.0	, -	

170:17,22	160:11	Leonard 144:11	40:13,17,22	litigation 1:19
170.17,22	legal 15:20	144:14,18,23	40:13,17,22 42:17 43:1,9,14	24:25 44:6,7
lead 80:20	23:20 32:18		44:1 46:2,12	44:9 87:13
	63:24 64:4	155:4,6,9,12		
leader 5:20 11:11	· · ·	155:15,20	46:16 47:9,13	89:15 91:9
11:13,19 14:8	87:10 172:6	156:8,11,17,23	56:9,10 58:6	171:3,7
43:4 46:25	legally 145:5	157:4,9,25	58:11,15,18,21	little 24:12 31:24
73:22 80:8	legis- 145:14	158:4,11,15,21	59:1,9 88:16	32:24 34:8
93:18 113:17,18	legislation	158:25 159:8	lieu 78:11	52:9 57:6
126:12,13	16:25 18:25	159:11 160:19	life 2:10,12	66:3 67:24
127:18,19	19:4 47:6	160:24 161:1,5	106:14 191:21	96:8 112:5
130:14,16	80:10 108:24	161:7,20,24	lifelong 144:24	133:20 140:15
154:15 158:13	112:18 134:22	162:1,14,18	178:16	148:3 164:20
159:5 161:11,19	legislative 8:3	163:3,9,18,20	Lifetime 22:12	169:3,24
162:9 164:17	9:23 12:6 13:4	163:24 164:3,7	Lightfoot 27:18	173:15 178:15
165:18,24	32:10 50:1	164:9,14	likelihood	182:4
166:6 181:9,11	51:23 56:22	165:22 166:9	151:24,25	live 13:16 78:19
181:13 183:3	59:7,8,10	166:12,21,23	limit 149:10	85:20 86:17
187:22 189:13	63:11 72:15	167:2,6,11,19	limited 131:18	95:11,21 96:8
leaders 6:13	88:4 90:1,8	168:2 169:7,21	limits 164:5	109:18,23
31:15 49:17	93:24,25	Leonard's 161:17	Lincoln 151:19	125:4 130:10
93:17,24	110:23 118:19	let's 67:21 71:3	163:5	lived 129:24
105:20 109:21	119:17 120:5,13	92:10 103:24	Lincolnwood	lives 85:15
109:22 114:6	120:20 132:15	109:25 110:22	97:12	96:24 110:15
171:9	133:18,20	110:24 111:3	line 24:15 86:2	living 23:2
leadership 76:2	134:25 145:19	117:10 155:7	95:9,13 164:4	78:18 99:8
78:5 105:17	146:1 147:11	letter 78:5	lines 6:2 63:2	106:14 133:12
113:12 118:24	175:22,23	94:19,21 98:18	86:16 97:16	151:16
125:10 172:25	182:10 188:24	181:9	104:22 173:10	local 6:13 39:24
leading 22:15	191:5	letting 113:25	linked 179:3	57:19 58:5
27:17 94:18	legislator 99:12	level 28:8,14	links 179:16	61:13 129:15
leanings 77:25	100:18 108:14	32:15 45:10	lip 15:19 17:4	129:16 178:20
learn 65:17	112:19	57:19,25 58:5	list 35:24 47:16	178:22 179:5
127:6 157:8	legislators 16:4	59:21 61:13	51:4 62:7 77:1	located 95:22
161:16	17:11 18:15	78:20 91:24	113:10 180:9	96:24 124:20
learned 66:2	30:10 72:5	108:18 130:2	listed 22:14	locked 52:16
learning 72:4	89:21 94:14	150:10,11	listen 11:5 13:13	logical 177:9
leave 5:14,14	99:8 104:13	174:22	13:14 19:7,12	long 21:8 31:22
153:2,2 160:14	109:8 110:21	levels 28:22	102:20 104:1	62:7 72:12
176:18	110:22 119:4	80:5	136:18 156:18	89:22 92:11
leaves 132:17	120:16 135:5	Lichtman 20:22	183:9	92:24 117:14
132:20	legislature	20:23,25 21:2	listened 94:24	145:2 166:25
led 131:12 132:2	140:20	21:3 35:20	152:6,8 181:25	170:20 171:1
132:7 168:19	Legislatures	36:2,17,19,24	195:18	178:12
179:12	24:18	37:2,8,12,16	listening 131:2	long-awaited
ledge 80:23	legitimate 131:8	37:22 38:1,8	184:17	50:1
left 50:5 76:24	lengthy 154:12	38:18 39:7,10	literally 114:18	longer 25:13
123:18 132:25	194:16	39:21 40:4,11	Literary 22:16	47:10 112:21
123.10 132.23	1.10	55.21 +0.4,11		77.10 112.21

470.6	1 121.25	62.46.24.72.2	20:42 20:0	C2-24-C2-7
178:6	Loop 131:25	62:16,21 72:3	28:12 30:9	62:24 63:7
look 8:9 11:8	Los 22:10 30:21	74:7 75:1 81:6	72:20 73:23	73:10 78:7
13:19,19 25:20	30:23,25 31:3	81:17 82:19	114:12,14,19,24	81:16,18 91:4
26:3 41:15	31:8,13	83:10 86:20	128:16 145:25	98:21 100:13
44:5,22	losing 152:16	86:24 87:5	146:9,11 148:10	100:17 101:7,8
58:22 59:2,5	167:3 169:23	91:19 93:1,11,16	152:19,21	101:22 102:4
73:18 74:2	loss 145:17	98:14 100:1	153:1,21,22	102:21 103:2,7
85:23 92:5,14	lost 17:25 83:18	104:2 111:8	175:18 176:1,2	103:12,13,22
105:10 116:23	145:22 146:4	113:15 117:15	176:2 177:2	107:1,9,15,17
121:3 123:15	146:7	117:18 118:7	191:13 192:7	107:20,21,25
129:18 131:9	lot 13:23 35:10	121:8,9,14	majority's	107:25 108:1,2
136:3 138:17	35:21 36:20	122:12,18	138:14	111:15,17,19,23
141:18 146:20	38:3 54:3	123:8 124:3,11	majority-mino	119:6 120:24
146:22 147:1	57:12 59:9	127:3 136:23	91:14 158:20	123:8,9,16,18
149:25 150:21	63:23 66:24	154:19 158:14	159:4 173:22	
	74:11 76:13		· · · · ·	132:2,4,15,19
151:1,10 153:13		159:2,15	majority/mino	133:11,18 134:6
156:1 157:11	83:196:21	161:10 167:22	50:8 54:5	135:6,7,8,10
162:6 163:16	125:12 159:25	174:9 177:15	73:6	135:10,15,20
164:21 177:13	160:4 161:18	178:2,10	<b>makeup</b> 16:17	135:24 136:19
183:12 184:16	171:19,20,21	180:15 181:16	150:15	137:25 138:4
196:9	174:16 176:12	182:8 187:11	<b>making</b> 10:25	138:17 141:1,5
looked 33:7	186:3 192:3	188:2 189:12	11:4 72:12	141:18 145:3
34:21,23	196:15	189:14 192:15	84:15 101:17	146:12,13
58:22 65:12	lots 69:13	192:19 194:12	106:14 186:5	148:12,12,14
166:19,19	loud 190:20	195:10	MALDEF 32:17	148:24 152:20
195:11	Louis 1:20	Madeleine	87:3,11,11 91:9	153:4,7,14,16
looking 8:21	love 157:23	47:16,23 63:4	171:21	154:1,6,7,25
36:22 44:23	158:9,9	63:13 70:1	MALDEF's	155:19 159:14
45:9 61:14	lovely 39:20	132:8 134:20	87:15	159:25 160:16
62:15 67:10	low 105:1,1	Madigan 40:20	managed 77:10	162:24 165:25
77:1 82:12	lower 28:14,22	magnitude 33:5	112:5	168:11,24
93:5 100:15	147:5	33:11	manipulate	169:2,4,5,9,9
124:21 138:21	Loyola 126:15	Mah 3:23,24	156:23	169:10,10,15,17
141:11,23 144:5	126:16	109:2 131:10	manner 194:25	169:19,20,25
147:20 152:12	luxury 151:3	134:9	map 6:23 7:10	169:25 171:25
152:22 159:9		main 163:9	9:23 10:8,9	172:24 175:11
159:23 161:18	М	Maine 49:19	11:2,4 12:3	183:14,20,22
162:2 166:17	Macomb 138:18		13:5,9,11,11,17	183:25 184:12
	Madam 4:12	maintaining		
174:11 175:14 176:6 180:13	8:15 11:13 12:1	150:2	15:9 16:24 17:8 18:8 19:7	184:13,19,22 184:23 185:5
	14:7,11,18 36:6	major 57:9		
183:10 189:23	37:20 40:9	151:10 179:4	19:13,23 20:2	185:17,25
192:20 196:12		majority 10:15	38:9 39:6	186:5,16,20
looks 51:22	41:8 42:13,19	11:25 12:8	43:16,18,21,24	187:1,14,25
85:2 110:6,6	43:5 44:10	13:13,23 17:15	44:3,12,16	190:5,7 191:3
110:23 147:9	46:9,23 53:5	18:7 19:15	45:10,16 47:4	191:6,14,17,19
147:17 148:1	53:16 54:8,18	26:10,13,21	50:25 53:25	191:20 192:3,7
166:7	59:12 60:14	27:22 28:4,10	60:7 61:20	192:22 193:12
1	I	I	I I	

193:22 194:1	117:25 118:2,19	45:6 78:17	50:4 52:9	126:5,8 134:3
196:4,12,19	119:17,21 120:1	79:16 125:12	136:11 174:19	136:3 170:10
197:1,7,8,14	120:5,10,13,18	141:24 181:5	175:5,24	171:13 173:2
map-drawing	120:20	193:1 194:16	meaningfully	174:7 175:18
49:12	122:25 123:7	196:2 197:22	51:12 171:16	177:24 180:1
mapmakers	125:23 127:25	matters 33:3	meaningless	181:20,23
78:7 84:12	128:6 134:25	48:16 74:2	52:12,13,15	188:18
mapmaking	135:21 136:1,3	80:20 196:15	<b>means</b> 56:18	membership
84:18 94:7	136:8 137:4	Mattie 108:22	79:6 89:19	75:21
119:5	138:14,21	Maxwell 82:13	134:5 147:5	memo 32:16,21
mapping 16:6	140:12,13,17,19	mayoral 27:10	172:20	56:25
117:1 119:4	140:22 141:4	27:21	<b>meant</b> 48:7	mention 16:24
149:12	141:12,13	MBA 126:16	55:6	17:6,10 51:19
maps 6:18,21	142:16,22	McCain 26:17	measure 45:1	67:12 128:16
12:7,7,11 14:3,4	150:13,19,24	McClure 4:19	45:15	mentioned
14:6 16:13,14	151:1,5 154:25	4:20	measurement	37:25 39:17
16:20 18:5,12	156:1 157:11,14	McConchie 4:17	45:14	51:1 67:7 76:5
19:10,16,19	157:16 159:15	4:18 42:18,24	media 1:11	81:15,16 82:22
20:6 21:11	159:21 160:9	43:2,4,5,10,22	127:15	84:19 96:12
38:5,12,16	161:13 162:3	44:10,25 45:4	<b>median</b> 33:14	120:20 125:6
48:6,12 49:21	172:18 173:18	45:9 91:18,19	meet 39:19,20	127:24 132:19
49:23 50:2,2	182:8,10	92:9,25 93:8	197:13	135:19 138:9
50:7,9,10,17	186:24 187:6	93:18	meeting 41:21	138:15 158:16
50:19 51:18,24	187:18,19,21	McKibben	64:1 131:7	159:8 165:10
52:1,2 59:20	188:5,6,8,11,12	130:20,23	185:20	165:14 168:17
60:3 63:25	188:19,21	131:3 136:24	meets 107:25	message 13:1
65:22 72:19	189:8 190:25	137:21,24	member 22:16	messages
76:19 77:8,10	191:6,23	139:20,25	39:18 82:4	138:10
77:19 78:3,12	196:17,22	140:10,19	97:2 124:17	messengers
84:6,11,12	March 14:20	141:20 142:1	132:6 134:10	76:8
88:3,6,8,19	76:15	143:17 174:25	181:10,11	met 7:8 17:7
92:3,5,14	Marco-Paredes	<b>McKinley</b> 131:24	member's 98:12	105:7 115:11
93:23,25	118:20	<b>mean</b> 33:15	members 15:12	method 24:2
94:9,13 95:1	marginalized	38:9 40:5,8	15:19 21:17	methodological
96:23 99:5	89:23 131:17	45:13,21 46:14	24:13 35:17	22:21
102:4,5	168:22 170:6	46:17 55:7	47:21 48:9	methodology
103:25 105:16	Mark 82:12	65:3 66:5	50:1,3 51:8	22:23 23:5
105:16 106:1	Marquis 22:12	72:20 79:2	60:21 74:25	56:24
107:8,11,19	Marquis' 22:13	89:14 101:14	75:9,14,18	methods 22:25
109:10,25	<b>married</b> 108:9	103:4 144:19	77:6 78:7 81:4	23:8,17
110:1,5,11,11,12	massive 27:11	146:21 148:13	85:21 86:10	Metro-East
110:16 111:2,4	match 132:21	151:9,12,18	86:23 87:6	10:17
111:12,18 112:15	138:5	160:3 162:3,5	90:20 91:18	Mexican 32:18
112:16,22,23	mathematical	193:16 194:9	92:6 93:10,24	87:9
112:24 113:3	22:25	Meaning	97:9 102:13	Michigan 49:18
114:3,23 115:10	matter 40:23	152:25	104:5,6,22	52:4 76:17
115:17 116:2	40:25 41:6	meaningful	118:16 121:6	mid 49:23
	l	-	l	I

г

middle 115:22         66:18         70:8,17,21 71:2         75:12 87:8         47:14 54:3           Midway 178:24         misses 48:14         127:25         93:19 118:20         60:6 67:17           midwest 87:9         145:11         move 95:11:22         124:16 126:1         10:38:106:6           midgents 145:11         Missesippi         93:12 17:16         158:1168:8,13         10:32:136:22         10:37:136:32           Mike 40:20         misunderstood         118:7,11 121:6         170:21 178:15         123:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         124:16 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:7           Mike 40:20         mix-use 130:4         167:23 170:9         24:20 26:5,8         157:25 158:25           mind 81:2,17         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,9,22         177:11 174:18 176:6           10:122         mobilizing         Movement 22:4         106:32,55         177:12,17           minimal 32:8         model 44:12         moved 95:13         23:42 4:17         174:81 118:11           minimus 32:5         110:25         168:10         multi-416:16         national 22:6,8         138:22 14:6,8           Ministrise         modeling         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needde8:25         138:2					
Midway 178:24         misses 48:14         127:25         93:19 118:20         60:6 67:17           179:4,6,15         Mississippi         move 9:5 11:22         124:16 126:1         92:13 98:7           midwest 87:9         145:11         Missouri 1:20         62:19 66:24         144:23 157:22         108:1109:5.6           Miguel 90:11         mistake 115:13         98:12 117:16         170:21 178:15         123:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         124:1,6 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:17           188:71 121:6         177:21 78:13         moved 95:13         26:15 19.22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15 19.22         159:11 174:18         176:23 24           100:21 178:15         moxing 65:16         nation 57:25         190:11 174:18         176:5         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moved 95:13         26:15 10.22         159:11 174:18         176:5           100:21 178:19         110:18,19.20         144:10         nations 75:25         190:12,13           151:9         110:18,19.20         144:10         nations 75:25         190:21,13           151:9         110:18,19.20         168:20         30:10 78:6         needling 172:6	middle 115:22	66:18	70:8.17.21 71:2	75:12 87:8	47:14 54:3
179:4,6,15         Mississippi         move 9:5 11:22         124:16 126:1         92:13 98:7           midwest 87:9         145:11         42:1,15 62:17         127:12 131:3         103:8 106.6           migrants 145:11         missunderstood         misr,11 121:6         158:1 168:8,13         109:24 121:21           Mike 40:20         misunderstood         118:7,11 121:6         170:21 178:15         123:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         124:16 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:17           148:13         Mitt 26:23         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         147:23,24           million s48:20         mix-use 130:4         167:23 170:9         24:20 26:5.8         157:25 158:25           mind 81:21.7         mkse 71:13         move 95:13         26:15.19.22         177:12.17           fi68:20         mover 71:5         notion's 75:25         190:12.13         178:21 184:11           minimus 35:9         110:25         168:19         nation-wide         195:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6.8         138:22 141:6.8           Ministrise         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20					
midwest 87:9         145:11         42:1,15 62:17         127:12 131:3         103:8 106:6           migrants 145:11         Missouri 1:20         62:19 66:24         144:23 157:22         108:11 09:5.6           Mike 40:20         misunderstood         18:7,11 121:6         170:21 178:15         123:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         124:1,6 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:17           148:13         Mitt 26:23         167:23 170:9         24:20 265:8         157:25 158:25           midl 812,17         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,19.22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 172         model 24:12         moved 95:13         26:15,19.22         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moving 65:16         nations 75:25         190:12,13           110:12,5         110:25         168:19         national 22:6.8         138:22 141:6.8           Ministries         modeling         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:31 11         176:55           163:15         modeling         multipe 12:19         85:4 87:11	-		move 9:5 11:22		
migrants 145:11         Missouri 1:20         62:19 66:24         144:23 157:22         108:1 109:5,6           Miguel 90:11         mistake 115:13         98:12 117:16         158:1 168:8,13         109:24 121:21           Mike 40:20         misunderstood         18:7,11 121:6         158:1 168:8,13         109:24 121:21           148:3         Mitt 26:23         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         140:23 141:7           milion 48:20         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,19,22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         177:18 176:5           100:21 157:22         mobile 66:25         144:10         nation-wide         195:25           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         moving 65:16         nation-wide         195:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         nation-wide         195:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministrise         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needless 154:5           155:17 32:0         Molina 31:11         multiple 12:19					
Miguel 90:11         mistake 115:13         98:12 117:16         158:1 168:8,13         109:24 121:21           Mike 40:20         misunderstood         118:7,11 121:6         170:21 178:15         132:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         147:23,24           millions 48:20         mix-use 130:4         167:23 170:9         24:20 26:5,8         157:25 158:25           mind 81:217         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,19,22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         mobile 26:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           110:22         168:20         moves 7:15         107:1 108:15         199:12,13           51:9         110:25         168:19         nations 75:25         199:12,13           110:25         168:19         national 22:68         138:22 141:68           Ministries         modeling         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           minorities 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           168:14         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17					
Mike 40:20         misunderstood         118:7,11 121:6         170:21 178:15         123:23 136:9           million 31:25         84:3         124:1,6 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:17           148:13         Mitt 26:23         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         147:23,24           millions 48:20         mix-use 130:4         167:23 170:9         24:20 26:5,8         157:25 158:25           mind 8:12,17         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,19,22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         168:20         movers 7:15         107:11 08:15         178:21 184:11           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         needing 172:6           minoritis 25:3         moder 30:3         multipe 12:19         85:4 87:11         needs 53:2 98:1           57:11 61:2         model 13:21         multipe 12:19         85:4 87:11         needs 53:2 98:1           71:11 11:13,19         161:24 186:	•				
million 31:25         84:3         124:1,6 130:19         nation 22:4         140:23 141:17           148:13         Mitt 26:23         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         147:23,24           millions 48:20         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         24:20 26:5,8         157:25 158:25           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         mobilizing         Movement 22:4         106:23,25         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moving 65:16         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           51:9         110:18,19,20         144:10         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           51:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needels 8154:5           25:5,7 32:20         Molina 31:11         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needles 154:5           25:5,7 32:20         Molina 31:11         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needless 154:5           153:5         80:13 12:10         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needless 154:5           154:14 21:10,13         187:23         129:15         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           121:14 24:10,13         161:24 186:1         municipa	•				
148:13         Mitt 26:23         136:22 144:3         23:10 24:12         147:23,24           millons 48:20         mix-use 130:4         167:23 170:9         24:20 26:58         157:25 158:25           sona 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         mobile 66:25         141:4         106:23,25         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moves 7:15         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           minus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           minoritise         modeling         168:10         national 22:68         138:22 141:68           Ministries         modeling         168:20         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           minoritise 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14.18         needes 154:5           25:5,7 32:20         Molina 31:11         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           81:21 111:1131         161:24 186:1         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:					
millions 48:20 mind 8:12,17         mix-use 130:4 mixes 71:13 mobile 66:25         167:23 170:9 moved 95:13         24:20 26:5,8 26:15,19,22         157:25 158:25           50:18 64:2 mobile 66:25         moved 95:13         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22 161:22         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           101:21 157:22         mobile 66:25         moves 7:15         107:1108:15         178:21 184:11           minimal 32:8         model 24:12         moving 65:16         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           minimiscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         antional 22:6.8         138:22 141:6,8           minoritise 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-oter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needing 172:6           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           st12 11:11,13,19         161:24 186:1         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           st14 31:13,19         161:24 186:1         municipalitis         nationally 24:18         141:4			,		
mind 8:12,17         mixes 71:13         moved 95:13         26:15,19,22         159:11 174:18           50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         mobilizing         Movement 22:4         106:23,25         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moves 7:15         107:1 108:15         178:21 184:11           minimal 32:8         model 24:12         moving 65:16         nation-wide         195:25           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needled 48:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needles 53:2 98:1           57:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:10         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 0:6         178:21 184:17           26:12 82:3         Monroe 49:4         108:24         nationwide         96:17           124:14 24:10,15         187:23         105:13,19					
50:18 64:2         mobile 66:25         141:4         31:25 34:11         174:18 176:6           100:21 157:22         168:20         moves 7:15         106:23,25         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moves 7:15         107:1 108:15         178:21 184:11           minimal 32:8         model 24:12         moving 65:16         nation*75:25         190:12,13           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:68         138:22 141:68           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           minoritise 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8;14,18         needlss 154:5           77:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           81/2 11:11,13         money 65:2.6         murder 76:6         26:2;12.24         neighborhoods           25:9,14,18,25         69:3         Murphy 4:23,24         nationwide         96:17     <					
100:21 157:22         mobilizing         Movement 22:4         106:23,25         177:12,17           161:22         168:20         moves 7:15         n07:1 108:15         178:21 184:11           minimal 32:8         model 24:12         moving 65:16         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           51:9         110:18,19,20         144:10         nation's 75:25         195:25           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needless 154:5           25:5,7 32:20         Molina 31:1         multiple 12:19         85:4 87:11         needs 53:2 98:1           71:11 61:2         momert 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 12! 10         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           12:14 24:10,15         187:23         129:15         nature 101:25         83:21 90:12           26:1 28:23         Monroe 49:4         108:24         nature 101:25         <					
161:22         168:20         moves 7:15         107:1 108:15         178:21 184:11           minimal 32:8         model 24:12         nation's 75:25         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           51:9         110:18,19,20         144:10         nation-wide         195:25           minisus 35:9         110:25         168:19         nation-wide         195:25           minisule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         166:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needlag 172:6           minoritis 25:3         modern 30:3         multiple 12:19         85:4 87:11         needs 53:2 98:1           57:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:10         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           121:4 24:10,15         187:23         129:15         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           25:9,14,18,25         69:3         Murphy 4:23,24         nature 101:25         83:21 90:12           26:1 28:23         Monroe 49:4         108:14         necesarily         neighbor					
minimal 32:8         model 24:12         moving 65:16         nation's 75:25         190:12,13           51:9         110:18,19,20         144:10         nation-wide         195:25           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needless 154:5           minorities 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needless 154:5           57:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:10         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           81:21 11:11,319         161:24 186:1         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhoods           25:9,14,18,25         69:3         Murphy 4:23,24         nature 101:25         83:21 90:12           26:1 28:23         Monroe 49:4         105:13,19         <		•			
51:9         110:18,19,20         144:10         nation-wide         195:25           minimus 35:9         110:21,23,25         multi-ethnic         79:9         needed 48:25           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           minorities 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         neededs 53:2 98:1           57:71 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:10         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           8:12 11:11,319         161:24 186:1         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           12:14 24:10,15         187:23         129:15         nationwide         96:17           24:18,19 25:7         money 65:2,6         murder 76:6         26:2:12,24         neighborhoods           35:1 43:12,19         month 16:2         Muslim 104:10         near 34:11         97:12 119:14           74:14 89:22         124:23 190:8         105:13,19         85:20					
minimus 35:9 miniscule 35:7         110:21,23,25 110:25         multi-ethnic 168:19         79:9 national 22:6,8         needed 48:25           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         168:20         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           minorities 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needless 154:5           57:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:0         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           81:21 11:11,13,19         161:24 186:1         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           12:14 24:10.15         187:23         129:15         nationwide         96:17           24:18,19 25:7         money 65:2,6         murder 76:6         26:2,12,24         neighborhoods           25:9,14,18,25         69:3         Murphy 4:23,24         nature 101:25         83:21 90:12           26:1 2.82.3         Monroe 49:4         105:13,19         neerd 32:20         95:9,19,25           90:3         190:8					
miniscule 35:7         110:25         168:19         national 22:6,8         138:22 141:6,8           Ministries         modeling         multi-faith         23:4 24:17         176:5           168:14         128:10         188:20         30:10 78:6         needing 172:6           minorities 25:3         modern 30:3         multi-voter 96:7         80:8,14,18         needes 154:5           57:11 61:2         moment 55:14         94:8 139:16         171:5         105:6 122:9           153:5         80:13 121:10         municipalities         nationally 24:18         141:4 176:8           minority 5:20         123:3 126:11         128:14         26:17 30:6         178:21 184:17           81:21 11:11,13,19         161:24 186:1         municipality         78:8 90:24         neighborhood           24:18,19 25:7         money 65:2,6         murder 76:6         26:2,12,24         neighborhoods           25:9,14,18,25         69:3         Murphy 4:23,24         nature 101:25         83:21 90:12           26:1 28:23         Monroe 49:4         108:24         neady 177:5         neighborhoods           35:1 43:12,19         124:23 190:8         107:12,23         112:12 191:10         171:24 178:12           70:31         motht 16:2         Muslim 104:					
Ministries 168:14modeling 128:10multi-faith 168:2023:4 24:17176:5inorities 25:3 25:5,7 32:20modern 30:3 Molina 31:11 moment 55:14multi-voter 96:7 94:8 139:1680:8,14,18 85:4 87:11needless 154:5 needless 154:557:11 61:2 153:5moment 55:14 80:13 121:1094:8 139:16 municipalities nationally 24:18141:4 176:8 171:5minority 5:20 123:3 126:11123:3 126:11 187:23128:14 128:1426:17 30:6 26:17 30:6178:21 184:17 178:21 184:178:12 11:11,13,19 161:24 186:1128:14 129:1526:17 30:6 nationally 24:18178:21 184:17 178:21 184:178:12 11:11,13,19 22:14 24:10,15187:23 187:23129:15 129:15nationwide neighborhood12:14 24:10,15 26:1 28:23 90:3106:24 186:1 month 16:2murder 76:6 Murphy 4:23,24 108:2426:2,12,24 naught 103:22 					
168:14128:10168:2030:10 78:6needing 172:6minorities 25:3modern 30:3multi-voter 96:780:8,14,18needless 154:525:5,7 32:20Molina 31:11multiple 12:1985:4 87:11needs 53:2 98:157:11 61:2moment 55:1494:8 139:16171:5105:6 122:9153:580:13 121:10municipalitiesnationally 24:18141:4 176:8minority 5:20123:3 126:11128:1426:17 30:6178:21 184:17812 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1municipality78:8 90:24neighborhood12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1235:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minute 37:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9moring 13:14153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2278:1891:11 119:10muted 124:13necessary <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
minorities 25:3 25:5,7 32:20 57:11 61:2modern 30:3 Molina 31:11 moment 55:14 153:5multi-voter 96:7 multiple 12:19 94:8 139:1680:8,14,18 85:4 87:11 needs 53:2 98:1 171:5153:580:13 121:10 moment 55:14 153:5moment 55:14 123:3 126:1194:8 139:16 171:5171:5 105:6 122:9minority 5:20123:3 126:11 123:3 126:11128:14 128:1426:17 30:6 nationally 24:18178:21 184:17 neighborhood81:2 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1 187:23municipalities 129:15nationwide nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7 26:1 28:23moroe 49:4 108:24murder 76:6 108:24murder 101:25 naught 103:22naitonwide 95:19,2590:3 90:3190:8 100:8105:13,19 108:9,1685:20 95:7 131:24 178:25131:24 178:25 131:24 178:2590:3 91:3 14:20months 11:23 12:5 17:1 51:6108:9,16 169:14near 34:11 182:5 195:19147:14 176:16 nearly 177:5 neighbors 85:17minute 317:14 14:2074:12 76:12 74:12 76:12Muslims 107:3 169:1438:10 66:23 16:21nether 198:9 147:14 176:16misinformation 64:13,23 66:23 132:11 135:3 misinterpreted 132:11 135:3moring 13:14 132:11 135:3116:22 125:14 149:5,20 132:12 174:17necessarily 149:5,20never 12:9 16:2 16:21misinformation 64:13,23 66:23 11:22132:12 174:17 106:12NAACP 69:13 172:14 188:20 106:12106:12 106:12necessarily 149:5,20never 12:9 16:2 16:114:20misinformation 64:13,23 66:23 11:22105:12<					
25:5,7 32:20 57:11 61:2 moment 55:14 153:5Molina 31:11 moment 55:14 80:13 121:10multiple 12:19 94:8 139:16 municipalities 128:1485:4 87:11 171:5needs 53:2 98:1 105:6 122:9 nationally 24:18153:5 80:13 121:10123:3 126:11 123:3 126:11128:14 128:1426:17 30:6 26:17 30:6178:21 184:17 neighborhood 96:1712:14 24:10,15 24:18,19 25:7 24:18,19 25:7 26:1 28:23 35:1 43:12,19 month 16:2187:23 money 65:2,6 morey 65:2,6murder 76:6 108:2426:2,12,24 nationwide 108:24neighborhoods nationwide 96:1726:1 28:23 90:3Monroe 49:4 190:8108:24 105:13,19naugh 103:22 85:20 95:795:19,25 131:24 178:2590:3 90:3190:8 107:12,23105:13,19 105:13,1985:20 95:7 85:10131:24 178:25 108:9,16 108:9,16minutes 12:3 41:2051:7,10 60:15 169:14169:14 182:5 195:19necessarily 147:14 176:16 113:24 135:14neither 198:9 147:14 176:16 169:14minutes 12:3 64:13,23 66:9 misinformation 132:11 135:3 13:21 135:3mute 12:24 132:12 174:17132:12 174:17 NAACP 69:13 172:14 188:20 172:14 188:20necessarily 171:14 188:20 172:14 188:20 172:14 188:20 172:14 188:20neck 100:10 106:12 114:20 106:12 169:14misteading 78:25109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19 2:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24		- · ·			-
57:11 61:2moment 55:1494:8 139:16171:5105:6 122:9153:580:13 121:10municipalitiesnationally 24:18141:4 176:8minority 5:20123:3 126:11128:1426:17 30:6178:21 184:178:12 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1municipality78:8 90:24neighborhood12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods26:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22misnformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessarilynetd 132:22misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:2064:13,23 66:9morning 13:14132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinformation132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinderpretedmosque 85:21NAACP 69:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:20122106:1269:13neck 100:10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
153:580:13 121:10municipalitiesnationally 24:18141:4 176:8minority 5:20123:3 126:11128:1426:17 30:6178:21 184:178:12 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1municipality78:8 90:24neighborhood12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1226:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:1469:13neck 100:10106:12 114:2068:3 69:23132:12 174:17NAACP 69:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:20112106:1269:13name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:2478:25109:19naïve 44:8name 2:17,18,1					
minority 5:20123:3 126:11128:1426:17 30:6178:21 184:178:12 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1municipality78:8 90:24neighborhood12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1226:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:151:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:14NAACP 69:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:20nisinterpretedmosque 85:21naive 44:8neck 100:10106:12 114:20nisleadingmosquesnaive 44:8124:1312:9 9:1 13:23160:15 164:2478:25109:19naive 44:8 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
8:12 11:11,13,19161:24 186:1municipality78:8 90:24neighborhood12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1226:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:1312:22 45:1141:19,19 48:764:13,23 66:9morning 13:1469:13necessary17:18 30:2112106:12106:1269:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:20112106:12naive 44:8naive 44:8148:20 156:278:25109:19naive 44:8148:20 156:2160:15 164:24 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>				_	
12:14 24:10,15187:23129:15nationwide96:1724:18,19 25:7money 65:2,6murder 76:626:2,12,24neighborhoods25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1226:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:1443:22 45:1141:19,19 48:768:3 69:23132:12 174:17NAACP 69:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:201:12106:1269:13naive 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19naive 44:8name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24	-				
24:18,19 25:7 25:9,14,18,25 35:1 43:12,19money 65:2,6 69:3murder 76:6 Murphy 4:23,24 108:2426:2,12,24 nature 101:25 naught 103:22 naught 103:22 95:19,25neighborhoods 83:21 90:1235:1 43:12,19 74:14 89:22 90:3month 16:2 190:8Muslim 104:10 105:13,19nature 101:25 naught 103:22 near 34:1197:12 119:14 97:12 119:14minus 32:23 minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6 112:5 17:1 51:6105:13,19 107:12,2385:20 95:7 112:12 191:10179:3,16,19 179:3,16,19minute 137:14 minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6 113:24 178:12108:9,16 169:14nearly 177:5 neighbors 85:17minute 137:14 minute 137:1451:7,10 60:15 169:14169:14 169:14necessarily neither 198:941:20 misinformation 64:13,23 66:9 64:13,23 66:9 132:12 174:17Muslims 107:3 132:11 135:3 morning 13:14 68:3 69:2330:11 84:8 132:12 174:17 mosque 85:21153:7 NAACP 69:13 69:13 69:13169:14 172:14 188:20 172:14 188:20 172:14 188:20 106:12necessarily 171:18 30:2misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19106:12 2:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					-
25:9,14,18,2569:3Murphy 4:23,24nature 101:2583:21 90:1226:1 28:23Monroe 49:4108:24naught 103:2295:19,2535:1 43:12,19month 16:2Muslim 104:10near 34:1197:12 119:1474:14 89:22124:23 190:8105:13,1985:20 95:7131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:1343:22 45:1141:19,19 48:764:13,23 66:9morning 13:1443:22 45:1141:19,19 48:768:3 69:23132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinterpretedmosques 85:21naïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19naïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19naïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:2					
26:1 28:23 35:1 43:12,19 74:14 89:22Monroe 49:4 month 16:2108:24 Muslim 104:10 105:13,19naught 103:22 near 34:1195:19,25 97:12 119:1474:14 89:22 90:3124:23 190:8 190:8105:13,19 105:13,1985:20 95:7 112:12 191:10131:24 178:25 179:3,16,19minus 32:23 minute 137:14 12:5 17:1 51:6months 11:23 12:5 17:1 51:6108:9,16 169:14nearly 177:5 182:5 195:19neighbors 85:17 147:14 176:16 neighbors 85:17minutes 12:3 41:2051:7,10 60:15 74:12 76:12169:14 Muslims 107:3necessarily 38:10 66:23 116:22 125:14neither 198:9 nether 198:9 nether 198:941:20 58:18 64:13,23 66:9 64:13,23 66:9 64:13,23 66:9 132:12 174:17mute 20:24 morning 13:14 132:12 174:17Muslims 107:3 132:12 174:1738:10 66:23 necessarynever 12:9 16:2 never 12:9 16:2misinterpreted 11:2mosque 85:21 106:12NAACP 69:13 69:13neck 100:10 need 2:6,16,18 148:20 156:290:17 94:20 106:12 114:20misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18 2:19 9:1 13:23148:20 156:2 160:15 164:24					•
35:1 43:12,19 74:14 89:22month 16:2 124:23 190:8Muslim 104:10 105:13,19near 34:11 85:20 95:797:12 119:14 131:24 178:2590:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23 minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19neighbors 85:17minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:1468:3 69:23132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinterpretedmosque 85:2169:13neck 100:10106:12 114:20neck 100:10106:12 114:20misleadingmosquesnaïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:2148:20 156:278:25109:19name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					
74:14 89:22 90:3124:23 190:8 190:8105:13,19 107:12,2385:20 95:7 112:12 191:10131:24 178:25 179:3,16,19minus 32:23 minute 137:14months 11:23 12:5 17:1 51:6108:9,16 113:24 135:14nearly 177:5 182:5 195:19neighbors 85:17 147:14 176:16minutes 12:3 41:2051:7,10 60:15 74:12 76:12169:14 Muslims 107:3necessarily 38:10 66:23neither 198:9 nerd 132:22 nested 147:22mischaracteri 58:1880:11 84:8 91:11 119:10153:7 mute 20:24116:22 125:14 149:5,20never 12:9 16:2 never 12:9 16:2misinformation 64:13,23 66:9 69:23132:12 174:17 mosque 85:21NAACP 69:13 69:13 69:13172:14 188:20 172:14 188:2090:17 94:20 106:12 114:20misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18 2:19 9:1 13:23148:20 156:2 160:15 164:24				0	
90:3190:8107:12,23112:12 191:10179:3,16,19minus 32:23months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:14M50:21 147:2376:10 87:1768:3 69:23132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinterpretedmosque 85:2169:13neck 100:10106:12 114:201:12106:12naïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					
minus 32:23 minute 137:14months 11:23108:9,16nearly 177:5neighbors 85:17minutes 12:3 41:2012:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:3 41:2051:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri 58:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation 64:13,23 66:9132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:2misinterpreted 1:12mosque 85:21NAACP 69:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:20nisleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:2					
minute 137:1412:5 17:1 51:6113:24 135:14182:5 195:19147:14 176:16minutes 12:351:7,10 60:15169:14necessarilyneither 198:941:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:14132:12 174:1743:22 45:1141:19,19 48:768:3 69:23132:12 174:17NAACP 69:1350:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinterpretedmosque 85:2169:13neck 100:10106:12 114:201:12106:1269:13neck 100:10106:12 114:20misleadingmosquesnaïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19naïve 44:82:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					
minutes 12:3 41:2051:7,10 60:15 74:12 76:12169:14 Muslims 107:3necessarily 38:10 66:23neither 198:9 nerd 132:22mischaracteri 58:1880:11 84:8 91:11 119:10153:7 mute 20:24169:21 38:10 66:23netter 198:9 nerd 132:22misinformation 64:13,23 66:9 68:3 69:2391:11 119:10 132:12 174:17 misinterpreted 132:12 174:17mute 20:24 muted 124:13necessarily 149:5,20never 12:9 16:2 never 12:9 16:2Muslims 107:3 140:12132:11 135:3 mute 20:24necessary 149:5,2017:18 30:2 never 12:9 16:2Makep 69:13 11:12132:12 174:17 mosque 85:21NAACP 69:13 69:1350:21 147:23 172:14 188:2090:17 94:20 106:12 114:20misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18 2:19 9:1 13:23148:20 156:2 160:15 164:24			· ·		-
41:2074:12 76:12Muslims 107:338:10 66:23nerd 132:22mischaracteri80:11 84:8153:7116:22 125:14nested 147:2258:1891:11 119:10mute 20:24149:5,20never 12:9 16:2misinformation132:11 135:3muted 124:1317:18 30:264:13,23 66:9morning 13:1443:22 45:1141:19,19 48:768:3 69:23132:12 174:17N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17misinterpretedmosque 85:2109:13172:14 188:2090:17 94:201:12106:1269:13neck 100:10106:12 114:20misleadingmosquesnaïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					
mischaracteri 58:1880:11 84:8 91:11 119:10153:7 mute 20:24116:22 125:14 149:5,20nested 147:22 never 12:9 16:2misinformation 64:13,23 66:9 68:3 69:23132:11 135:3 morning 13:141124:13149:5,20 necessarynever 12:9 16:2 never 12:9 16:2Misinterpreted 11:12mosque 85:21 106:12N132:12 174:17 NAACP 69:13172:14 188:20 172:14 188:2090:17 94:20 106:12 114:20misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18 2:19 9:1 13:23148:20 156:2 109:11 3:23					
58:18       91:11 119:10       mute 20:24       149:5,20       never 12:9 16:2         misinformation       132:11 135:3       mute 124:13       necessary       17:18 30:2         64:13,23 66:9       morning 13:14       132:12 174:17       43:22 45:11       41:19,19 48:7         68:3 69:23       132:12 174:17       NAACP 69:13       50:21 147:23       76:10 87:17         misinterpreted       mosque 85:21       06:12       69:13       172:14 188:20       90:17 94:20         naïve 44:8       naïve 44:8       need 2:6,16,18       148:20 156:2         78:25       109:19       name 2:17,18,19       2:19 9:1 13:23       160:15 164:24					
misinformation132:11 135:3 morning 13:14 132:12 174:17muted 124:13necessary17:18 30:2 43:22 45:1168:3 69:23132:12 174:17 misinterpreted 1:12132:12 174:17 mosque 85:21MAACP 69:13 69:1350:21 147:23 172:14 188:2076:10 87:17 90:17 94:20112106:1269:13 naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18 2:19 9:1 13:23148:20 156:2 160:15 164:24					
64:13,23 66:9 68:3 69:23morning 13:14 132:12 174:1743:22 45:1141:19,19 48:7 76:10 87:17misinterpreted 1:12106:12N50:21 147:2376:10 87:17 90:17 94:20misleading 78:25mosques 109:19naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:2 2:19 9:1 13:23				•	
68:3 69:23 misinterpreted 1:12132:12 174:17 mosque 85:21 106:12N50:21 147:23 172:14 188:20 090:17 94:2076:10 87:17 90:17 94:201:12 misleading 78:25109:19NAACP 69:13 69:13 naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,1950:21 147:23 172:14 188:20 0eck 100:1076:10 87:17 90:17 94:20 106:12 114:20				•	
misinterpreted         mosque 85:21         NAACP 69:13         172:14 188:20         90:17 94:20           1:12         106:12         69:13         172:14 188:20         90:17 94:20           misleading         mosques         naïve 44:8         need 2:6,16,18         148:20 156:2           78:25         109:19         name 2:17,18,19         2:19 9:1 13:23         160:15 164:24			N		
1:12106:1269:13neck 100:10106:12 114:20misleadingmosquesnaïve 44:8need 2:6,16,18148:20 156:278:25109:19name 2:17,18,192:19 9:1 13:23160:15 164:24					
misleading 78:25         mosques 109:19         naïve 44:8 name 2:17,18,19         need 2:6,16,18         148:20 156:2           160:15 164:24	•	•			
78:25         109:19         name 2:17,18,19         2:19 9:1 13:23         160:15 164:24					
	-				
	1113364 31.20			17.0 77.17	107.27,23

165:10 174:12	148:3 151:7,10	87:24 89:20	185:20 187:14	159:1,7 160:2
194:5	151:13,18 152:1	120:9 138:4,5	188:5	160:24 161:1,5
new 8:4 9:23	152:12 159:9	158:19,19	office 161:2	162:1,11,19
22:9 34:6,15	163:4,5,25	159:3,3,6	offices 17:13	164:3,6,11,15
43:21 49:9	166:17 169:22	<b>numerous</b> 10:10	114:7 130:11	166:5 167:1,4
50:7 51:17,23	173:14	23:3 51:7	official 29:17	167:5 168:8
99:8 103:9	northern 96:2	72:17 90:12	officially 37:18	178:3,8 180:8
130:7 134:5	97:6	94:14 123:21	officials 86:13	180:23 190:19
136:19 147:17	Northwest	171:11	oft-quoted	192:2 197:17
147:19,22	107:16	nurses 104:20	79:13	Oklahoma
152:3 179:14	Notary 198:18		oft-repeated	49:20 80:16
190:5,7,24	note 57:22 79:7	0	78:24	old 17:23 23:12
191:19 195:11	167:16	<b>Oak</b> 48:13 85:15	<b>Ogden</b> 164:4	48:7 56:5,7
196:3	noted 63:6,24	<b>oath</b> 72:6	169:23	151:18
newly 134:5	78:21	<b>Obama</b> 26:4,6	oh 2:12 42:20	<b>Omar</b> 14:10
newly-propos	notes 65:17	26:9,10,12,12	46:4 62:18	once 8:8 12:9
133:18	75:7	26:17,18,20	74:5 75:6	21:5 49:23
news 16:5 24:8	noteworthy	26:20,23,24	99:19 100:2	61:5 62:3,8
32:8 191:1	63:24	objection 5:14	117:17 158:21	75:10 77:2
nice 127:20	notice 14:25	objections 18:8	163:23 166:15	85:17,18
night 13:5,18	51:8 57:16	objective 177:7	181:12	87:20 91:9
48:6 65:19	77:3 163:13	objectives 89:11	okay 4:6,7 8:14	93:6 107:19
88:4 117:14	181:18 191:22	obligation 11:5	8:22 9:16 36:1	123:11 159:22
150:14 155:1	196:7	188:21	36:4 37:3,10	159:24 168:1
178:12 190:3	noticed 9:10	observations	46:22 47:1,8	180:10 184:14
noncitizens	67:6	177:13	53:13 54:17	187:7 192:11
80:3	noting 101:6	observers	55:10 56:2	one-minute
nonfiction 22:5	notion 122:21	76:24 80:18	58:24 60:13	144:6
		obstacles 76:10	61:21 62:18	
nonHispanic 27:7	notorious 23:24	obviously 8:23		one-person
	number 7:4 15:7	45:12 72:21	63:1,15,20	86:5
nonpartisan	19:9 22:1,14	114:25 125:3	65:1,11,20	one-tenth 35:7
47:25 131:12	52:11 65:3,4	128:15,25	66:16 67:20	one-third 48:15
171:22 176:20	65:14 66:13	129:3,4 135:1	70:13,16,24	one-vote 86:5
nonprofit 118:22	70:2 71:7,10	139:13 150:13	71:6 86:18	ones 148:15
144:24	76:179:5	156:14 183:8	87:192:25	online 36:22
nonprofit/non	85:22 86:3,4		93:13 99:21	61:11 77:8
170:23	92:20,20,21	occasionally 25:6	100:1 103:20	open 17:19
nonprofits	134:1 143:9,15		110:3 113:16	99:17 125:9
47:25 178:19	145:12 176:1	occasions 7:4	126:5,19,23	191:16
nontransparent	195:14,15	occur 195:7	129:11 130:14	opened 192:1
85:7	numbers 15:9	odd 12:16 67:7	130:17,18	opening 20:16
nonvoters 80:2	29:13 43:14	offer 14:9,22	139:6,10	133:16 137:2
nonwhite 27:23	43:20,23 44:2	64:21 188:4	143:21,22	<b>opera</b> 35:19
north 1:19 79:10	44:3,4,13 45:1	188:24	144:9,14	operate 130:10
95:22 107:17	66:6,11,12	offered 6:4,7	146:18 155:4,6	operates 131:21
144:24 145:4	67:21 71:15	51:9 183:21,25	156:11 157:6	opinion 29:18
145:4,6 147:8	78:24 79:12	184:12,13	158:1,4,11,25	196:22
	I	I	I	1

opinions 15:6	73:3 75:14	overlaid 159:14	131:24,25	69:17 70:25
29:17,18,20	85:4 92:12	overlay 87:25	151:19 163:5	105:20
37:18	93:21 118:23	overlaying	178:18 179:2,2	partnerships
opportunities	124:20,25	163:10	parks 85:12	48:24 67:3
52:9 119:1	127:6,12 131:6	overlooked	<b>part</b> 19:18 40:6	<b>parts</b> 152:3
131:18 153:4,8	131:8 133:2	97:25	52:13 59:3	party 12:14
157:16 195:11	168:19 170:23	oversight 98:4	67:18 96:19	72:20 73:8,23
opportunity 6:5	171:1	overwhelming	97:23 102:14	85:8 175:18
7:11 16:12	organizations	15:7 25:8	102:14 107:7	176:22 188:15
30:23 39:19	10:1,2 13:21	overwhelmin	110:9,24	<b>pass</b> 5:16 11:11
47:22 51:20	32:17 48:3,8	25:15 26:19	116:20 118:23	20:17
51:25 52:12	48:25 59:2	27:5 28:6,18	125:16 132:2,5	passed 49:21
62:4 73:1	67:4,18 75:20	145:7	133:10 151:10	80:10 90:4
75:10 80:25	77:2 78:7	<b>owe</b> 189:7,10	166:15 168:10	133:9
87:7 100:13	109:17 115:18	owner 178:22	168:23 171:19	pastor 168:14
103:14 107:6	115:21 131:15	owners 129:9	172:10,11 178:11	pastors 106:10
110:17 119:12	131:22 132:4		178:16 196:8	patience 11:17
124:19 126:2	132:20 134:16	<u> </u>	participate 6:5	<b>pause</b> 80:23
131:1 149:9	135:6 160:7	<b>P.L</b> 87:23 89:7	11:8 15:1 16:7	<b>PDF</b> 13:5,11
170:20,25	168:16 171:2	<b>p.m</b> 49:25	52:7 131:19,20	190:2
181:22,24	171:22 178:13	65:21 143:6	195:2	<b>PDFs</b> 50:5
188:10,23	organizer	packed 90:3	participated	Pelt 165:14
189:1 191:3	168:15	pages 175:21	177:6	Pelt's 163:1,15
192:21 193:19	original 21:24	paid 40:12 41:11	participating	<b>PEN</b> 22:16
194:25 195:2	127:12	41:22 73:21	6:10 68:15	pending 188:25
oppose 188:13	originally 112:11	81:10,21 115:6	94:8	penny 32:13
opposed 99:14	Orthodox	188:16 190:22	participation	people 8:5
129:22 186:19	93:22 94:11	<b>pain</b> 76:5	18:3 80:5	10:24 14:5,24
opposite 95:5	97:24 98:16	<b>pains</b> 34:17	88:11,23 89:1	15:5,15 17:16
138:12 160:17	outcome 198:14	painstaking	particular 43:11	18:2,14 20:1
opposition 13:17	outcomes	150:8	78:18 133:8	48:12,14,16,20
75:19 120:13	177:14	Pakistan 106:22	particularly	49:11 50:5,13
188:24	outlined 176:19	Palestinian	30:13 66:24	51:5,11 52:2,6
optimistic	outlining 24:1	106:22	125:6,13,20	52:7,10,12,14
94:24	Outreach	pandemic 6:10	131:17 138:1	52:24 56:16
optimized 153:8	168:14	76:3 80:17	151:8	56:16 58:1
optimizes 153:4	outside 106:19	110:18,19	parties 86:10	60:8 65:5,6,7
option 6:7 18:9	106:21	134:12 195:13	198:11,13	66:18,20,21,21
135:1,4	over-counted	parameters	partisan 16:5	66:21 67:12,15
options 84:23	34:25	153:11	17:7 40:2	68:15 69:3,4
135:4	overall 27:20	Paredes 118:12	72:14 76:3	69:5,20,21
orange 79:1	64:18	118:13 121:19	115:22,24	70:25 71:4,7
order 4:13 72:18	overcount 57:8	121:24 122:4	partisanship	71:12 73:11
141:4 174:12	overemphasize	parents 145:10	89:24	76:2 79:14
<b>Oregon</b> 49:18	80:4	<b>Park</b> 48:13	partner 171:5	80:21 84:20
76:17 134:20	overestimate	85:15 95:11,17	partners 48:22	85:4,19 86:2
organization	80:3	95:19 97:11,12	68:14 69:14,16	90:6 104:1,21
_	I	I	l	I

114:22 115:4	139:23	<b>Pilsen</b> 131:25	155:10,13,16	157:17 159:8
117:13 129:23	perfect 138:7	pitting 108:20	156:5,10,12,22	165:11
134:8 138:20	158:24	108:21	156:25 157:6	politician-dra
139:21 140:23	perfectly 31:9	place 11:2 127:9	157:20 158:3	16:14 18:12
141:16 142:22	perform 91:24	153:2	158:5,17	politicians 14:3
151:16 152:12	performed	places 12:16	160:22,23,25	14:4 16:8,9
152:14 153:6,6	31:10	112:14 145:11	161:3,9,10,22	18:18 52:25
155:21,22	Perino 178:5	173:14	161:25 162:2,8	189:8
156:3 158:2	period 32:2	plain 57:25	162:11 164:17	politics 23:9,12
168:20 169:1	33:22,25 34:3	plaintiff 32:19	164:18,25	23:21 157:21
169:24 170:5	permanent	plaintiffs 41:3	165:1,4,9,12,13	poll 27:2,11,12
174:3,22 175:8	181:10	plan 7:18 28:7,11	165:21,24	27:13,24
175:14 176:13	permission	28:15 29:11,12	166:4,5	70:25 71:3,4,7
188:22 189:7	49:19 52:21	29:14 32:20	plus 32:23	71:9
191:19	144:16	39:11,14 51:19	point 12:25	polling 25:19
people's 151:2	person 6:7	56:17,18	19:25 44:19	27:9
175:9	18:19 31:12	57:23 90:11	61:7,19 71:1	polls 25:20
people-powe	100:12 127:8	142:8 191:23	75:2 78:24,25	poor 151:25
48:18	152:1,1 155:23	planned 12:8	79:7 92:3	152:1
Peoria 138:18	157:10 160:11	planning 136:12	106:15 114:10	poorer 79:9
percent 26:9,10	195:4	191:13	114:16 127:9,11	poorest 151:14
26:11,17,21,24	person's 100:8	plans 56:22	148:20 149:2	151:15,22
26:25 27:20	personal 29:17	platform 42:15	149:5 183:13	pop 128:24
28:3,3,5,8,17	30:18 104:16	plea 94:22	186:3 191:8,9	popped 129:14
29:1 32:6,10	104:24	pleading 51:7	194:4,24	popular 21:24
32:12,23,23	personally 68:6	please 3:3,5	pointed 77:6	population 7:19
33:14,15,15,16	113:21 152:10	4:14 9:18 37:4	160:5	16:16 24:16,20
33:17,17,17,17	persons 22:13	54:18 58:7	points 15:25	27:8 28:17,23
33:18,20 34:6	31:1 32:3	59:14 60:9	24:21 26:7,11	29:1,5 31:7,20
34:12,13,23	perspective	70:1 80:25	56:10,21 77:17	32:13 33:25
35:7,8 56:12	102:20 125:3	105:15,16	89:16 100:8	34:9 35:3
56:22 128:18	129:19	109:10,11	115:9	50:11 54:6
133:12 145:8	perspectives	110:12,13,15	Poland 106:19	56:6 61:22
148:9,17,18,19	151:2	114:24 115:16	polarized 25:1	67:7 73:7 79:5
153:15,19,22	pertinent 31:19	120:17 136:4	172:2	79:15 88:13
153:23,24	Peterson 95:11	136:18 137:15	policy 118:12,14	90:2 106:19
percentage	95:17,19 97:11	144:13 168:6	118:21,23	106:23 107:3
24:21 26:11	PhD 21:21	175:11	120:12 125:13	108:17 109:1
27:20 56:21	phone 68:18	pleased 133:11	131:5,13 134:16	119:8 120:8
78:25 147:5	70:2	pledged 93:25	168:21	136:7 138:19
percentages	pick 189:9	pleaged 55.25 plenty 135:9	Polish 106:19	145:17,22
43:17	picking 14:4	Plummer 4:15	political 7:25	146:4,7 147:12
<b>Perez</b> 124:6,14	16:9	4:16 140:8,9	11:5 17:16 23:5	152:16 163:7
124:16 126:1	piece 133:20	141:7,25	23:24 73:8	167:3 176:8
127:4,11 128:4	pieces 92:20	142:21 143:9	77:20,25	populations
127.4,11 128.4	96:22 148:25	143:14 154:18	86:12 95:7	32:22 49:2
130:1,13,16,19	174:23	154:19 155:5,7	97:18 157:12	106:21,24
130.1,13,10,13	1/7.23	137.13 133.3,7	57.10 157.12	100.21,27

107:1 108:15	84:12	pretty 27:15	proceeded	128:11
portal 6:16 101:7	Prejudice 23:12	28:2 34:7	189:11	professionals
107:9 111:22	premier 124:25	65:24 123:12	proceeding	149:23
111:24 114:17	prepared 73:13	130:8 147:7	41:24 49:12	professor 21:12
114:20	Preschool	160:9	proceedings 1:9	21:16 22:3
portions 1:12	104:25	prevail 27:6	23:4 49:9	proficiency
pose 25:20	presence 96:11	28:9	119:6	131:18
posed 20:4	127:15	previous 13:2	process 6:11,19	progress 101:18
position 87:15	present 1:13 4:8	28:11 34:5	7:14 8:9 10:25	project 132:2
92:1	5:12 15:2	35:13 43:18	11:1 12:1 14:24	133:11
positions 31:2	23:15 25:12	51:20 102:25	16:8,16 17:2,19	projections 31:8
positive 110:25	132:5 196:3	103:6 124:24	18:1,10 19:19	prolonged
possible 40:23	presentation	133:7 160:12	45:23,24 48:5	194:9
77:22 133:3	35:21 126:4	183:17	48:23 49:12	promise 18:11,18
144:15 146:8	154:12,12,13	previously	49:13 54:6	50:20 145:1
152:21 175:3	155:3 161:17	55:23 96:11	72:13,25 75:17	
179:10 190:15		priests 106:10	76:4,15 77:24	promised 14:20 196:21
193:4	presentations 91:17	primarily 147:19	80:7,20 82:14	
		147:21	82:15 84:11,14	promises 16:1
possibly 56:19	presented		,	promoting 119:5
184:18	24:25 25:11	primary 25:21	85:2,10,13	135:16
post 167:3	60:17 101:21	26:3 87:19	86:6,15 88:11	proponents
posted 61:10	154:24 194:2	principles 29:15	88:24 89:1	171:25
149:13	195:23	prior 63:4 68:9	92:19 94:7	proposal 7:2,17
potentially	presenting	96:23 97:21	96:13 102:15	10:20 53:24
64:12 83:18	162:22	103:21,22	110:9 112:16	97:19 100:13
100:13	presents 49:14	143:3	118:25 119:4	103:3 146:22
<b>poverty</b> 151:16	preserve 96:13	Pritzker 18:10	125:9 132:1	153:2,9 175:15
power 85:6	preserved 16:19	<b>privy</b> 39:13	134:23 135:18	183:20,22
89:8,18 94:15	president	<b>Prize</b> 22:11	136:2,9,16	197:7
148:7,15,21	23:25 79:20	probability 66:7	139:17 140:4	proposals
151:8 154:1	112:1	66:8,10	141:5,8 143:20	50:25 51:3
powerful 31:1	presidential	probable 71:16	144:23 149:3	149:12 188:24
Practice 23:3	23:12 24:2	probably 35:9	149:19 150:9	proposed 6:22
<b>pray</b> 147:14	25:20 26:23	92:23 141:21	157:21 171:12	7:10 8:3 9:23
precedents	press 42:7	141:21 145:21	171:18 175:17	10:8 19:7,10,13
90:23	50:16 51:1	151:14 180:24	177:10 189:11	19:19,23 72:19
precincts 138:12	53:22 54:17	problem 43:9	192:15	81:15,18 88:14
163:22	60:18 64:11	46:6 65:15	produce 78:3	94:9 96:25
predecessors	65:5,24,25	119:24 120:3	190:4,8,9	98:21 99:4
90:25	67:8,11 68:10	155:5	produced	102:21 103:12
predicting	69:2,19,25	<b>problems</b> 30:1,2	75:25	123:8,9
23:25 24:2	70:10,10,11,14	78:12 146:18	product 102:18	132:22 137:4
prediction	70:18,20,23	proceed 4:9	103:15,19	138:14,20
23:24	71:14 89:16	47:19 121:13	productive	141:2,5 153:15
prefer 36:2	193:6	124:8 144:13	141:19	154:1 155:18
154:6	presume 38:18	168:6 174:12	products 77:13	172:18 173:7
preferences	67:14	177:16 178:8	, professional	173:10 175:11
·	l	l	Ι.	

404 0 404 0	10110		14244045	0 10 10 10
181:6 191:3	104:19	<b>push</b> 32:11 85:5	143:1,10,15	Quincy 48:13
192:22 194:1	provides 17:19	160:16	158:18 159:14	quite 30:14
194:22 197:22	118:25	put 11:2 17:15	159:20 160:10	35:24 54:23
proprietary	providing 24:10	29:2 44:23	160:19 161:11	121:17 144:19
39:2 187:20	43:19 50:2	45:20 53:25	161:12 162:24	162:25 163:17
188:16	proximity 179:4	54:3 55:8	164:19,22	166:19 168:17
protect 87:12	public 6:2,15,17	56:14 60:8,18	165:17,17,21	169:24 176:17
174:2	6:18 7:4,10 9:4	64:10 65:22	165:24 174:16	quizzed 13:16
protected 133:5	10:5 11:6 12:21	69:2 80:14	184:5 189:15	quorum 4:8
173:9,9 175:11	13:13 14:5	91:12 97:3	190:25 197:6	5:13
protecting 86:7	15:24 16:14	125:19 127:24	questions 14:23	quote 13:18 21:3
172:9	17:13 18:3 20:7	137:13 158:1	15:3 16:15,21	88:12
protections	35:17 38:6	168:11	18:25 19:2,4,11	quote/unquote
15:16,20 17:20	40:8 41:25	puts 71:12	19:14 35:18,24	77:19 88:10
60:22,22 61:2	44:19 51:2,13	putting 68:10	36:1,20 37:15	90:16
172:6,12	51:15,25 52:6	70:8,17,20	42:11,22 47:12	quoted 12:11
Protestant 22:3	75:18 88:11,20	71:2 175:12	53:4 60:20	quoting 70:10
23:10	88:23,25		62:2,19 63:3	
proud 75:21,23	89:4 92:5	Q	72:1 73:4 74:11	R
171:7	94:19,20	quality 1:11	74:25 76:25	<b>rabbi</b> 93:16
prove 88:12	114:21,22	quantitative	81:4 82:3	98:15,25 99:4
. 148:11,12	119:20 120:18	22:22 23:8,17	86:20,22	99:9,14,19,22
proves 128:5	142:23 149:9	question 8:16	91:17 93:10	100:6,16,24
157:19	149:12 150:25	18:22,23 19:1	98:9 99:24	101:2,4,9 102:1
provide 7:11,18	173:2 181:20	19:3 20:4,5,8	104:4,7 111:6	106:2
16:1 18:2 19:10	181:23 187:15	20:11,13 25:8	111:13 113:17	rabbi's 102:24
20:2,11,12	187:15 188:7	31:22 38:13	121:6 122:16	rabbis 94:18
47:22 50:3	188:17,18,19	38:23,23 44:2	124:2,4 125:11	106:9
51:3,8 73:10	191:2 192:21	44:6 46:4,13	126:4,10	race 28:10,12
83:22 119:12	195:2 196:7,19	46:20 55:3	130:18 132:17	28:22 29:4
119:15,25	198:18	56:9 58:5,8,9	136:22 138:8	86:16 104:23
140:14 142:14	publicly 25:19	59:11,19,24,25	138:25 139:2	races 107:13
149:9 158:8	114:15	60:16,17 61:14	143:22,23,24	140:24
177:17,20	published 22:6	61:21 62:22	143:25 154:4	racial 10:21
184:22 191:3	22:11 23:18	63:12,22	154:14 156:19	16:17 85:21
192:14,22	24:3 132:21	64:17,19,20	158:13 160:1,5	108:5,10
196:18,21	173:4	64:22 69:17	160:8,12,15	109:21 119:18
provided 6:16	purpose 51:2	70:24 71:2	161:8,18 167:7	170:25 173:5
60:21 72:17	98:2 102:3	72:11 75:19	167:23 170:9	racially 25:1
73:13 74:15,18	103:5 123:14	76:5 82:19	170:9,11,12	157:16 172:2
92:10,11	142:16 167:13	84:9 92:17	172:17 174:6,7	racism 172:4,11
103:22 110:12	183:8	100:11 111:9,14	177:23 178:1	radio 13:16
119:23 120:18	purposefully	111:17 112:7	180:1,2,4,7	raise 43:3 68:10
123:7 143:19	71:11,12	114:17 121:25	197:18	71:13 110:4
173:3 175:20	purposes 30:12	122:3 126:19	quick 8:16 74:10	raised 7:21
176:9 195:15	33:4 67:24	127:1,23 139:1	quickly 57:20	45:12 53:9
providers	186:8	139:7 140:9	92:23 122:10	58:9 65:2,6
			52.25 122.10	,-

69:3 123:22	180:12 195:9	39:12	134:13,15,18,18	reforms 80:15
161:18	197:8	recommendat	136:17 144:13	refused 14:1
Ramblers	reapportioned	38:10,20	145:1 149:3,7	150:24
126:22 127:3	192:6	120:23 121:23	149:18 171:3,12	regard 83:17
ramifications	rearranged	recommended	171:18 172:23	171:23
50:14	14:25	22:10 38:9,19	175:19 180:20	regarding 8:2
ramming 18:7	reason 11:1 15:10	reconvene	180:21 181:3,5	119:13 125:12
ran 124:25	48:22 67:8,11	181:8	181:8,22 182:3	193:12,21
ranging 35:7	77:15 103:3	record 40:8	186:9 189:21	regardless 85:7
80:11	128:15 160:4	51:16 62:23	189:22 191:4	98:8
ranted 152:8	reasonable	71:19 97:22	192:23 197:6	regards 44:12
rate 33:25	66:19 79:4	122:20 123:2	197:13,21	44:14 92:13
75:25 129:13	97:6	126:13,15	redistrictingc	103:9
rationale 90:15	reasonably	135:11 142:18	8:7 116:11	region 6:9
raved 152:8	43:17	142:25 174:1	redoing 12:3	178:25
raw 88:15	reassuring	recorded 1:9,11	redone 127:15	regional 87:9
<b>re-</b> 116:6	175:9	recording 1:13	redraw 14:22	regions 107:11
reach 52:8	rebranded	1:18 2:1 181:2,4	redrawing	119:14
112:18	127:13	197:21	134:25 192:4	register 51:5
reached 6:12	recalibrate	rectified 9:13	redrawn 148:10	registered
15:3 94:14	152:17,21	Redeemed	reduced 89:15	36:23
read 70:20	recall 40:23	168:14	198:8	registration
readily 57:9	68:11 195:3	redistrict 12:8	redundancy	183:2 186:23
reading 70:9,17	receive 10:7	90:23	142:10,25	regularly 171:4
70:22 193:9	119:11 141:14	redistricting 1:3	reengage	rehash 97:20
Reagan 30:20	174:17 176:10	2:2 4:13 6:1	76:20	reimagine 51:21
real 8:15 15:8,15	193:11,19,21	9:21 10:4 21:5	<b>refer</b> 145:6	reiterate 124:23
16:13 18:2,3,3	196:6,16 197:7	30:8,12,17,19	reference 67:9	related 198:10
21:14 25:10	received 1:10	37:17 38:24	140:12	relates 146:15
43:25 44:4	15:24 21:21,25	41:21 48:5,8	referenced	relative 198:12
48:15 111:3	26:20 114:12	50:17 51:4	53:19 79:22	release 7:10
194:25	136:2 177:8	52:5 53:1	193:6	42:8 50:16,19
reality 175:10	195:13	72:15 75:17	referencing	50:24 51:1
really 25:16	receiving 38:6	76:14 78:8	55:24	53:22 54:17
32:7 40:23	74:3 177:4	84:11 87:15,16	referring 165:8	60:18 64:11
59:18 62:7,22	194:1	87:20,21 88:11	refers 79:13	65:5,24,25
63:21 67:24	recess 180:25	88:24 89:1,6	reflect 86:16	67:8,11 68:10
75:21 77:9,23	197:19	89:23 90:8	120:10 125:24	69:19,25
80:9,21 94:14	recipient 22:12	90:24 91:4,10	176:1	70:10,10,12,14
108:2 111:2	recognize 63:16	92:19,19 94:13	reflected 7:5	70:18,20,23
115:22 140:2	63:17 89:14	97:21 105:5	10:22 84:16	71:14 87:22
152:9,9 154:6	162:18	110:25 112:3,3	158:19 179:18	88:8,19 120:17
156:2 157:10	recognized	112:19,20 116:7	reflective 89:20	121:18 122:9,13
157:10,13	78:8	118:17,19,25	119:7 125:21	122:24 191:1
162:22 163:16	recognizing	119:9 120:16	128:1	193:6
164:16 176:18	51:13	132:1,7,10,13	reflects 178:24	released 6:21
177:17 179:22	recommendat	132:16 134:3	reform 73:22	19:8,13 34:9
1	1	I	I	I

88:13 118:19	removed 138:12	36:15,18,19,25	137:20 138:6	94:25 95:25
119:17 121:21	rendered	37:3,10,11,14	138:11,23	97:5 101:11
132:15,19	148:24	37:15,20 38:2	139:3,6,8,10	102:7,7 117:21
135:20,21	renew 184:4	38:14,22 39:8	139:22 140:1	121:16 122:7,8
138:1 140:20	<b>Rep</b> 2:20 134:7	39:16,23 40:9	142:9,24	143:2 150:16
140:21 141:10	repeat 30:9	40:15,19 41:8	143:12 145:15	150:22 169:7
141:15 142:7,8	88:3 97:24	41:17 42:2,9	154:15,16	174:14 176:9
196:20 197:14	184:24	46:23 47:2,5	158:14,23	requests 7:6
releases 69:2	repeated 16:1	47:8 53:5,8,11	159:2,7,13	88:5 122:13
89:16	78:12 98:5	53:15 62:20	160:2,21,22	176:17 182:2,4
releasing 88:3	repeatedly 12:5	62:21 63:1,15	174:7,9 175:16	require 30:11
relevant 22:19	48:9	63:20 64:3,15	176:24 177:23	required 50:20
100:19 196:14	replacing 181:10	64:17,19 65:1	181:10,15	89:12 133:5
reliability 172:19	replicate 141:13	65:10,11,13,20	182:14,20	requirement
relief 76:17	report 50:25	65:23 66:16	183:1,5,16	30:5,6
134:24	reported 32:3	67:1,5,20	184:4,10,21	requires 15:14
relies 185:5	reportedly	68:9,17,24	185:3,14,19,23	77:18
relieve 134:22	150:4	69:1,8,9,15	186:6,14,18	research 15:2
religious 7:25	reporter 36:9	70:7,9,13,16	187:4,11 188:1	reside 95:9
132:3 168:16	36:10 198:1	70:22 71:6,22	189:14,17,20	97:9
168:18	reporting 56:3	71:23 72:1,2	189:25 190:19	residence 97:3
rely 31:23 50:13	reports 16:5	72:10 73:12,17	191:9,12,18	resident 144:25
remain 10:24	79:17,18 82:11	74:5,5,7,17,22	192:2,9,12,13	residents 14:20
172:10	represent 15:15	74:24 81:5,6	192:17,18	34:6 77:16,22
remained 25:3	17:17 109:7	81:14,21,24	193:5,11,15,20	80:2 90:4,10
129:20	110:17 124:20	82:16,25 83:8	193:24 194:12	resolution 7:21
	125:14,15,16	84:17 96:23	193.24 194.12	175:13
remaining 95:16	169:17 188:11	98:13,14 99:3	197:2,5,12,16	
remains 7:23	189:10		represented	resoundingly 195:16
		99:6,11,15,21		
remap 194:22	Representable 85:1	99:25 100:3,4	15:21 82:7 85:25 109:7	resources 152:3 170:5
remapping		100:5,20,25		
121:2 136:2	representation	101:1 109:2	115:23 125:1 131:16 171:23	respect 56:11
192:20	10:8 15:14 18:4	111:25 113:18		59:4 86:9
remarkable	24:10,19 35:1	114:9 121:7,13	representing	176:13
28:2 195:14,15	43:20 48:16	121:14,20	37:7 97:2	respected 90:9
remarks 133:16	52:23,24	122:2,5,16,17	112:6 124:17	respond 35:18
137:2 171:24	88:21 91:13	122:18 123:5	171:2	66:23,25
188:2 191:25	105:7 107:7	123:17 124:1	represents	121:25 162:10
remember 21:7	108:18 110:8	126:24,25	93:21	responded 76:3
21:9 32:9 33:1	116:19,21 119:5	127:2,16,17,19	republican	143:2,3
56:21 112:8	125:13 135:16	128:5 129:7,11	41:10,10 157:18	responding
132:13 145:16	179:9 188:22	129:22 130:12	170:3	68:16
remind 44:21		131:10 133:17	republicans	response 12:9
remote 194:25	2:4,20,22,24	134:6,9	14:13 41:3	46:13 57:20
195:5	3:1,10,12,15,17	136:22,23	81:10 115:25	60:19 62:2
remove 17:1	3:19,21,24 4:5	137:9,10,13,13	request 7:8,21	118:18 143:1
157:21	20:15 36:5,6	137:16,17,18	14:1 15:7	responsive
1	- I		-	-

<b>r</b>				
89:21	45:5 46:8	risk 15:16 49:7	sample 31:24	62:11 191:4
rest 95:15	49:10 55:1	83:23 142:10	31:25 146:10	192:22
102:21 130:25	56:13 57:18	142:25	sampling 48:16	schedules
restore 145:25	59:17 60:12	risks 78:9 83:18	49:6,21	14:25
146:8,11	61:11 63:18,20	<b>Road</b> 147:9	Samuel 87:3,4	Scholar 22:1
restored 138:10	64:6 65:11,23	164:7	87:8 91:20,25	scholarly 21:25
138:15	87:5,17 95:10	rob 52:12	92:16	scholarship
resubmit 113:3	95:22 108:3	<b>Robin</b> 168:3,3,9	sanctioned	22:19
113:11 116:3	109:9 110:1	168:13	37:18	school 85:12,16
result 26:22	126:9 130:21	robocalls 68:14	satisfied 61:1	147:15
112:16 125:23	132:20 133:4	Rock 12:17	Saturday 127:21	Science 23:6
resulting 7:17	133:22 135:24	48:13	132:12	sciences 22:22
results 24:2	142:17 144:2	<b>Rockford</b> 107:14	save 18:9	23:5
27:15	144:10,18,21	Rockwell 97:8	savvy 77:22	scientific 57:12
retained 21:5	146:2,17,20	rocky 8:23	saw 8:24,25	83:17
39:17,25 40:2	148:8,12 150:1	Rod 178:5	16:5 32:25	scientist 29:19
40:20,25 41:2	150:7 151:17	<b>Rogers</b> 97:12	33:4 51:10	scientists 31:14
41:9,11	152:4,25	roll 3:3 4:14	79:19 103:15	scoop 159:19
retainer 81:10	153:13 154:3,5	192:7	108:2 127:25	screen 8:25 9:2
retrogression	154:9 155:13	rolled 13:5,18	132:4 154:25	11:15 110:7
91:6	164:8,14 165:1	191:19	166:13 190:9	114:4 144:15
return 121:23	165:9,20	<b>Romney</b> 26:23	Sayeed 104:10	154:22 159:10
returned 195:17	166:15 167:6	Ronald 30:20	104:12 111:6,16	screens 9:4
returning 49:22	174:25 189:24	room 11:21 16:6	112:11 113:8,19	105:11 109:22
returns 152:18	193:20	52:17 77:7	114:8,23 115:9	screenshot
<b>Reverend</b> 168:4	rightly 80:18	138:25	116:8,12,18	148:23
168:8,9,13	rights 15:19	rooted 167:15	117:5,8,23	script 140:6
170:13	17:21 21:5	<b>Roots</b> 69:12	118:5 132:5	second 23:7
reverse 154:2	23:18 29:19	roughly 56:5,7	140:2	34:1 56:23
155:21 156:4	41:1,2,4 48:24	128:18 133:19	saying 30:15	63:9 130:6
review 23:22	50:18,22	round 7:21	32:11 39:21	154:23 193:8
52:1 61:6,19	60:23 64:1	27:21 196:22	68:11 70:5	securing 86:12
88:6 93:6	78:6 80:9	rule 86:5	84:7,8 105:8,9	see 9:8 14:12
141:18 144:22	83:21 87:11,12	rules 86:1	105:15 115:20	16:12 27:16
196:20	89:13,13,14	run 86:15 122:11	116:22 129:5	33:10 36:22
reviewed 93:7	90:5 129:23	running 23:13	137:21 140:13	42:14 43:23
reviewing 38:6	133:3,6,6,9	122:22	172:1 173:5	53:9 56:25
revised 49:23	150:6,10	runoff 27:19,25	188:3	65:4,5 67:8
revisions 187:12	153:11,12 154:9	runs 95:10	says 54:10	88:20 91:23
187:13	168:20 170:17	rural 34:22,23	69:19,25	95:2 100:6
Richard 22:7	170:23 171:4,6	57:11 74:19	74:13 138:11	109:12,13,22
178:4	171:6,10,17	rush 77:15	155:18	113:6 114:21
richest 151:22	172:9,12,20	<b>Ryan</b> 138:25	scale 64:13,14	117:11 123:7,12
<b>Ridge</b> 179:1	172:22 174:2		64:23 66:9	123:14 127:20
right 7:8 9:6	175:3 176:21	S	68:3 69:23	136:4 138:4
19:19 24:15	176:23	sabotage 80:17	schedule 189:15	139:6 140:23
27:13 36:14	<b>Rise</b> 22:4,14	safe 6:11	scheduled 12:15	151:5 153:25

154:13,14	126:6 132:10	111:9,10,11,24	134:16	114:10,13,14,24
158:16,18,23	132:16 135:7	112:8 113:5,14	series 6:1	114:25 115:1,9
159:1,18	135:21 137:2	114:10 140:8,8	serious 123:22	115:16 144:15
163:10 164:4	138:9 140:23	141:7,25	172:16	144:19,22
166:12 169:5	145:16 146:2	142:21 143:9	seriously 97:16	149:2 150:24
173:8 177:1	146:14,15,16,16	143:14 154:16	serve 17:11 31:12	154:22 158:21
183:9,11	146:17 147:22	154:18,19	131:23 188:22	170:20 175:12
190:10,14	149:11 151:1	155:5,7,10,13	served 170:6	176:20 179:7
197:20	153:14,18 161:7	155:16 156:5	serves 73:11	shared 9:3
seeing 5:14 12:6	161:8,13,16	156:10,12,22	service 15:20	35:15 87:16
12:6,7 117:23	173:4 177:25	156:25 157:6	17:4 86:9	100:17 106:17
170:10 190:13	180:2,20,21	157:20 158:3	128:12 169:1	107:1,4,11 113:6
seek 49:15	188:25 189:20	158:5,17	services 1:19	114:3,13 149:12
seeking 49:18	192:23 194:4	160:23,24,25	128:22 131:19	150:25 151:1
76:17 91:24	senator 4:15,16	161:3,8,10,22	178:19	152:15,16
134:23 176:19	4:17,18,19,20	161:25 162:2,8	session 88:5	175:7 176:21
seeks 5:14	4:21,22,23,24	162:11,12,15,16	122:6 175:23	196:18
seemingly	4:25,25 5:2,3	162:19 163:12	181:1	sharing 35:16
102:5	5:4,5,6,7,8,9	163:15,19,23	sessions 123:14	73:25 157:22
seen 13:4 18:4,5	5:10 8:17,25	164:2,6,8,10	set 49:16,19	sharply 26:14
29:13 43:14	9:2,9 11:14	164:15,17,18	52:21 53:24	shit 2:7,8,9,10,11
43:20 76:11	14:17 19:6,14	164:24,25	59:22 90:23	2:12
79:24 80:9	20:3,14 42:18	165:1,2,4,6,9	150:3 196:5	Shlomo 93:14
105:22,22	42:24 43:2,5	165:10,12,13,14	sets 54:18 55:2	93:19 100:6
106:15 114:3,4	43:10,22	165:19,21,24	55:3,11 77:16	shock 168:11
128:3 129:18	44:10,20,25	166:3,4,5,7,10	79:24	shocked 172:7
146:3	45:4,9 46:1,5	166:18,22	setting 72:16	shoes 80:16
self-response	46:6,9,19,22	167:1,4	seven 24:1	short 4:10 14:25
75:25	53:14,16 54:1	senatorjason	117:11,12,12	29:4 50:19
senate 4:11,13	54:8,16 55:1	161:4	seventh 75:25	120:10 131:5
5:21,25 6:22	55:10,16,22	senators 170:2	Severin 3:25	show 13:16
8:13 9:21 10:13	56:2 57:15	send 100:22	4:3 181:10	52:14 110:9
10:14,17 14:13	58:8,13,16,20	115:10,12 116:6	shameful 76:3	146:13 151:11
16:25 21:6	58:24 59:6,11	142:18	shape 133:18,19	159:11 169:1
24:14 27:3	59:16,18 60:11	sending 17:8	146:24	showed 11:21
28:7,17,25	60:13 61:4,9	118:3	Shapefile	159:10
37:9 39:18	61:16,21 62:1,7	senior 170:22	92:22 141:10	showing 18:13
40:1,3,6,7	62:10,14,15	sense 138:7	Shapefiles	52:6 127:21
41:10,12 42:5	83:8,9 86:18	154:6 175:5	92:10	shown 114:5
42:11 46:14,15	86:21 90:11	sent 98:18 112:9	shapes 45:10,16	152:19,20
			138:4	
76:14 81:18 87:14 94:12	91:18,19 92:9 92:25 93:4,8	112:10,11,21 169:20 191:2		shuffling 16:6 sic 2:25 64:14
			Shapesfile 107:10	
96:2,10 102:3	101:3,4,19	separated		side 12:18 68:15
111:18 112:2,19	102:2,6,9,23	147:16,16	shaping 7:2	69:13,13 77:17
113:10 114:7	103:20 104:3	separately	179:4	77:20 106:11
118:16 119:9	104:5 108:22	111:20	share 5:24	116:1 124:20
120:15 124:1,4	108:23,25	September	14:14 92:7	125:4,15 129:4

<b></b>				
132:13 151:3,17	153:17,18	62:18 68:24	37:15,20 38:2	69:16 160:8
158:6 161:13,16	size 79:2,12	88:25 97:15	38:14,22 39:8	173:14,17 176:5
165:7 168:15	<b>Skokie</b> 95:22	100:2 112:17	39:16,23 40:9	176:17 183:20
178:24 195:13	slide 154:24	121:9 124:14,15	40:15,19 41:8	183:22,24
side-by-side	155:11 158:17	124:15 146:21	41:17 42:9 72:1	194:21
155:11	158:22 159:1	163:21,21	72:2,10 73:12	specifically
signed 94:19	slightly 34:25	181:13	73:17 81:5,6,14	68:5 82:12
94:20	97:17	<b>sort</b> 78:20		
	••••		81:21,24 82:16	96:14 139:15
significant 58:3	slip 36:22 37:4	79:24 80:13	82:25 121:7,13	156:15
59:3 119:24	37:6	82:13 84:5	121:14,20	specificity 71:14
147:12 153:25	small 34:22	85:5,6,8	122:2,5,16	specifics 67:25
significantly	104:20 128:17	167:14	123:5,17	specify 91:22
57:10 89:10,19	130:6 195:8	sound 30:24	126:24 127:1,2	spent 48:20
91:8 101:22	smaller 59:10	89:11 101:23	127:16 136:22	spilled 76:5
silence 80:19	79:10,11,15	173:25	136:23 137:10	<b>spite</b> 50:23
94:198:6	snowsuits	sounded 65:16	137:13,17,20	146:6
similar 26:22	90:16 91:12	66:1	138:6 143:4	<b>split</b> 60:5 90:12
49:18 141:14	social 7:24	soundproofing	174:8,9 175:16	95:5 98:25
142:2,3 145:10	22:22 23:5	179:8	176:24 192:17	147:8 148:25
162:25 163:4	29:19 31:14	<b>sounds</b> 70:4	192:18 193:5,11	splitting 95:18
163:17 166:19	57:12 78:6	101:24 102:24	193:15,20,24	<b>spoke</b> 12:2
simple 70:24	127:15	103:1 144:10	194:12,19	24:23 63:8
98:3 173:3	societal 168:21	source 31:18	195:10 197:2,5	spokes 179:2
simply 17:23	<b>Society</b> 22:16	38:15 54:14	197:12,16	spokesman
29:14 59:21	sole 102:7	55:6 182:21	sparsely 76:23	13:15,20 98:19
61:10 106:13	solicit 183:20	185:9,9,15,20	127:23 132:12	spokesmen
121:17 134:4	solicited 183:19	sources 30:17	139:18	13:15
177:3	solid 57:12	182:13,15,17	<b>speak</b> 9:15	spokesperson
<b>Sims</b> 5:9,10	somebody 71:11	182:22 183:24	57:25 70:8	14:12
121:10 130:25	86:15 106:12	185:4,17,24	79:24 80:1	sponsor 18:6
sincere 17:18	152:2	186:25 187:5	126:2,9 127:15	19:1,5
196:10	somewhat	187:13,17,23	142:11 145:6	<b>spot</b> 27:15
sincerity 72:20	23:24 84:19	188:13 189:3	speaker 2:6,8	spreadsheet
single 28:10,12	95:2	south 12:18	2:10,11,12,14,18	173:3
28:22 29:4	soon 18:20	68:15 69:13	2:21,22,23,24	spring 12:16
33:8 94:16,22	19:25 65:25	106:11,21	4:1 8:15,22	Springfield
siphoned 95:17	87:22 90:4	107:24 108:11	9:8,12,16 13:15	107:14 143:19
sir 20:24 21:1	122:23 142:13	131:25	13:20 40:20	<b>SS</b> 198:3
57:15 100:15	142:19 187:8	southeast	56:12 112:1	<b>St</b> 1:20
118:5 142:24	<b>Soroka</b> 93:15,16	124:20 125:3	126:12,21	stable 35:12
sit 85:10 115:8	93:19 98:12,15	124.20 125.5	speaking 21:7,9	staff 6:12,17 7:14
site 148:24	98:25 99:4,9	southwest	149:5,6,22	29:14,22
sits 41:6	99:14,19	107:14 129:4	speaks 97:23	35:15 37:4
sitting 16:25	100:16,24	173:16,16	specific 7:24 8:1	43:15 71:11
17:12 193:8	101:2,5,9 102:1	178:16,24	33:3 55:17	112:1 114:6,11
six 115:4 117:11	sorry 42:21	spaces 112:14	66:11,14 67:25	114:12,14,24
123:17 153:16	46:5 53:7	<b>Spain</b> 4:4,5,5	68:20,24	stakeholders
	I		í í	

6:13132:9 133:14stop 52:20submit 77:10suggestestand 55:5133:17 134:1,6154:5 166:2486:11 102:4182:6 1870:11 73:3134:7 135:7,8172:13111:14 113:7,8,9suggestir	
	6:23
1 70.11 73.3 I 137.7 135.7 8 I 172.13 I 111.17 113.7 8 9 Leugagetir	
	g
176:23         140:20 150:5         stopped 50:19         114:22 116:2,6         65:7	
standard 89:5         150:11,21         stops 163:6         submitted 6:15         suggestide	
91:4 151:15,23 <b>storefront</b> 130:5 6:19 7:3 75:18 8:4 10:10	)
standards         153:8,10,15         stories 105:3         94:13 100:17         188:23	
120:22 155:18 168:11 story 21:22 101:7,22 103:7 suggests	
standing 169:18   169:12 192:20   25:10   107:8,21 111:20   Summit 2	4:6
stands 89:3   194:20 195:20   straight 12:14   111:20,21,24   Sunday 13	39:19
start 3:5,13 4:9 198:3,6,19 street 1:19 112:2,15,22 suppleme	ent
8:24 104:25 state's 49:2 127:22 166:14 114:5,15 135:7 61:22 8	2:20
124:10,15 51:22 streets 76:6 135:7,10 suppleme	ented
130:3,4 154:14 stated 13:16 147:3 166:17 148:23 151:4,4 59:14,24	1
started 14:19 53:21 91:25 166:19 169:10 79:23 8	2:7
52:5 102:12 121:17 133:16 strengthen submitting 8:5 support 1	)5:4
115:19 128:11 134:14,21 118:24 171:10 94:8 103:2 121:2,3	53:3
128:12,17 160:18 164:25 strenuous 111:12 115:18 188:12,2	4
144:22,23 statement 4:10 80:25 subordinate supporter	S
166:17 5:19,22 11:12 <b>stress</b> 80:6 89:24 75:15 10	
starting 20:18 12:11 13:13 strip 96:4,8 subpopulations supportin	q
starts 8:17 130:8 14:14 55:11 strongly 26:6 79:15 104:22	-
startup 130:3,3 65:22 81:25 121:1 125:8 subsequent 6:8 supports	135:14
startups 129:20 172:8 181:14 180:12 7:9 103:25 suppose	
state 10:6,6,21 statements struggled 91:1 subsequently 126:22	
14:20 15:16 14:10 20:17 173:1 60:5 supposed	15:17
24:11,13,13,16 67:23 137:23 struggling subsidies 105:4 18:24 4'	
24:17 25:2,10 states 15:17 132:20 substantial 52:24 8	
25:23 26:5,9 22:6 30:9,14 stuck 174:13 25:17 27:4 supposed	llv
26:25 27:6 72:8 76:1,16 <b>Studies</b> 22:9 <b>substantially</b> 173:9	,
28:11 30:10 78:10 79:10 23:21 26:21 suppress	ed
31:14 32:3,7,10 80:8,16,19 study 23:3,8 substantive 52:18	
33:18 35:12 85:5 90:23 24:17 79:13 23:10 173:7 suppress	on
38:25 41:21 111:1 134:23 studying 22:25 suburban 172:13	011
48:18,20 statewide 27:1 80:12 176:15 173:16 Supreme	12.4 9
50:13,18,22 57:17,18 58:4 stuff 150:1 suburbs 173:16 12:11 51:	
56:15,22 57:5 58:23 59:5 155:21,25 success 28:8 89:25 1	
61:3 64:1 118:22 165:22 105:2 105:2 193:2,12	
66:18 72:7 statistical 23:17 stumped successful 194:1,14	-
73:11,22 75:11 status 152:19 168:24 21:10 24:1 196:1,4	<u>~</u> 1
75:15,20,23 stay 47:10 subcontracting 122:12 sure 9:1,5	10.25
79:5,21 90:1 145:13 128:20 successfully 18:23 20	
94:4 96:23 staying 6:11 subject 181:5 24:24 101:7 37:4 40	
104:1 108:14,18 step 49:15 193:1 194:16 sufficient 43:9 44	
120:11,16         steps 175:17         submission 6:17         suggest 69:2         62:23 6           125:2,22 129:1         stick 43:8         103:4 106:1         177:11 183:7         64:3,4 6	-
125:2,22 129:1       stick 43:8       103:4 106:1       177:11 183:7       64:3,4 6	7.1

	1			
83:9 84:15	138:3 139:13	65:11,13,20,23	129:21 133:9	38:4,6 40:24
85:14,24	144:4,5 148:5	66:16 67:1,5	133:10 140:20	47:22 53:19
86:16 97:14,15	157:17 164:12	67:20 68:9,17	141:9 189:10	57:16 58:19
109:6 115:14,14	164:13 167:16	69:1,9,15 70:9	194:14 195:3	59:4 61:5
115:17 117:22	180:24 190:16	70:13,16,22	ten-minute	65:4 66:1
117:25 121:12	taken 5:24 9:5	71:6 75:8	180:24	67:6 72:4,16
122:20 123:2	13:7 27:13	99:25 100:4,5	tends 79:14	73:5,18 74:2
123:4 128:4	32:2 45:7	100:20,25	157:17	74:13,16,18,18
134:11 140:7,16	54:24 55:9	101:1 122:17,18	tens 48:11	81:3,7 83:6
141:23 144:19	67:15 97:16	130:25 137:14	tenth 56:20	91:20 93:6
150:9 151:4	136:1 182:19	137:16,18,18	142:18	97:20 98:2
156:22 157:13	184:18 198:11	138:23 139:6	tenure 21:8	101:20 102:10
158:3,3,7	takes 25:23	139:10,22	terms 24:9	102:13,16,24
159:13 188:13	136:9 163:7	140:1142:9,24	32:13 35:1	103:7,11,16,22
surgically 96:9	tale 151:12,21	tax 48:21	54:21 84:9	113:20 116:17
surprised	talk 45:22 57:16	Teacher 22:2	117:25 125:11	118:7 119:12,16
133:25 134:11	66:3,4 68:1	teaching 21:19	125:20,21	119:23 121:6
surprising 79:3	69:5 70:25	team 75:21	147:11 175:2	121:16 130:19
surrounding	116:1 150:18	155:22	territory 97:9	136:25 137:4
131:24	170:4 191:16	techniques	test 56:13 57:5	139:16 140:3
survey 29:10,22	talked 28:10	172:20	tested 84:22	141:19 142:3
31:16 48:10	38:2 57:21	technology 9:1	testified 8:24	154:21 158:7
78:11,14,15	84:18 169:22	12:20 36:14,16	13:2 15:11	159:18 160:5
87:18 88:17	talking 9:6	43:3 195:8	25:24 55:23	167:12,18
91:5 135:3	15:25 33:11	tell 2:7 39:1	77:5 87:14	170:14,20
182:6,23	57:18,19	54:9,13,18	94:12 105:21	174:11 176:25
185:4,6,16,24	62:24 77:16	59:14,21 60:9	106:15 115:5	181:17 183:9
186:8,16,20	78:24 79:7	68:17,19,21	132:9 180:6,11	185:15,19
186:22 189:4	89:16 114:1	71:15 77:9 85:1	testify 10:3 14:2	186:6,9 187:9
surveys 66:23	145:20,23	109:20 117:10	45:20 51:5	193:12,21 194:1
sway 89:19	147:25 149:15	128:2 147:1	87:7 102:22	195:12,16,23
swear 72:6	157:12,15	150:1,21	117:13 124:19	196:6,21,24
synagogues	164:20 175:1,1	152:23 164:11	124:22 131:1	197:7,10
106:9 109:19	175:2	182:16 189:8	144:5 160:11	text-heavy
systemic 172:11	talks 78:19	190:6	191:22	175:13
	85:15,15	telling 129:8	testifying 13:2	texts 175:22
T	Tammy 27:2	139:9 190:3	74:9 132:14	thank 4:12 5:17
tad 12:21	tape 198:6,7,11	tells 13:22	testimonies	5:23 8:7 9:19
tail 166:25	targeted 64:12	temples 109:19	15:2 133:7	11:7,10,13 14:7
take 4:14 19:20	64:14,23 65:7	temporary 181:11	169:21 197:18	14:8,11,17 18:21
41:15 45:7	66:9 68:3,12	ten 4:7 18:16	testimony 6:15	20:14,20 21:1
49:11 77:20	69:22	21:7 39:22,23	6:18 7:2,12	21:2 35:20
80:25 90:18	<b>Tarver</b> 3:9,10	41:22 84:13	8:6 13:1 14:15	36:6,20
92:11,23 93:5	53:5,8,11,15	92:11 107:11	19:7,10,12 20:1	37:20,22 41:8
98:10 102:16	62:20,21 63:1	110:15 124:24	20:2,11,12,18	42:1,9,13,17
116:16 123:1,13	63:15,20 64:3	125:7 128:8	20:21 28:11	43:5 45:25
128:8 136:5	64:17,19 65:1	128:24 129:13	29:6,6 37:23	46:1,22 47:18
				,

53:14,1662:21164:10,11,14,1564:3,2167:5166:5,6167:471:21,2372:2167:11,11,17,25	37:11,11,14	thoughtful 15:3	170:13 171:13
	40:6 44:9	thoughts 5:24	174:18 176:17
	46:11 54:22	8:10 14:22	178:2 179:24
	57:22 61:4	thousands 48:11	180:5,7 193:16
71:21,23       72:2       107:11,11,17,23         72:3,10       73:17       167:25       168:1,9         74:3,4,7,8,22       168:12       170:6,8         74:23       75:1,4,9       170:13,15,19	62:3 63:5	three 25:20	194:10,21
	66:19 69:1	56:3,20 75:14	195:19
	73:2 75:2	76:12 77:9	times 12:16
81:1,2,6,7,14 174:4,5,9,10	79:19 82:1	84:7 105:9	22:10 52:11
81:24 82:17 176:24 177:21	83:12,17,19	115:3 117:11,12	134:13 143:3
82:25 83:6,7177:22 178:2,983:9 86:18,21178:12 179:2186:24,25 87:1179:24,25	84:3 85:6	119:10,16,23	143:10,15
	87:5 92:10	132:11 139:21	156:13 168:17
	97:22 100:21	143:7 144:4	177:2
87:2,4,691:15180:5,8,10,2291:16,19,20181:15,1693:8,11,13,16189:12,14	101:5 102:16	149:14	tinkering 101:23
	113:20,23,24	<b>three-tenths</b>	tiny 31:24
	114:3,18 115:4	32:5	138:18
93:17 98:11,14 192:15,18	121:17 122:24	threw 76:12	title 21:14,15,15
98:15 99:21 197:16,20	122:25 123:23	ticking 122:3	today 5:25 7:23
100:6,20 101:1 Thankfully	124:12 125:10	Tim 11:11	8:19 10:3,9
101:2,4 104:2       90:14         104:3,8,9,13       thanks 46:9         111:1,5,10,11,12       53:18 76:2	125:15,19,23	time 1:13 5:24	12:23 13:2
	137:6,21 139:4	8:8 10:3,11	14:16 17:12
	139:4,17 141:21	12:19 13:9 16:3	19:24 29:16
111:16113:14,1883:11101:5113:19116:17118:4170:19117:3,3,8118:7Theory 23:2	142:10,12,15	18:1 21:22	37:7 44:22
	146:3 147:23	27:25 30:25	45:8 51:14
	152:5 157:9	31:5,16 34:13	53:19 56:5
118:9,13,14,15Theresa 109:2119:8 121:3,4,5thereto 198:13121:11,14,15thing 8:23,25	160:8,9,10,13	34:14,18 35:10	62:11 65:18
	160:17 161:17	39:25 41:23	66:1,2 75:7
	164:18,19	44:3,9 62:5	77:1 78:13
122:13,15       15:23 33:8         123:3,24,25       56:23 78:23         124:3,5,11,18       84:5 102:10	166:23,24	71:20 72:12,18	86:19 91:14
	167:20 177:1	72:21 73:14	93:23 98:2
	184:6 187:7	76:9,9,20	102:19,25
126:2,3,7,17,18104:15 143:5127:2,16,19,20146:19 168:24	190:19,23	80:22 86:25	103:5,17,24
	195:25	88:6,9 91:11,15	105:8 109:13
127:21 130:12       192:21 195:21         130:16,18,23       things 15:19         130:24 131:2       18:17 22:17	thinking 33:4	92:24 93:12	113:7 120:3
	thinks 13:24	96:15 105:24	131:16 136:19
	third 23:16	109:12 112:12	140:11 175:1
136:20,21,2329:15 42:4136:24 137:1763:5,10 64:8138:6 139:3,1064:11 67:22	24:12,13 37:16	112:25 113:12	180:11,17
	154:23 188:15	114:4,18,18	184:16,25
	third-party	116:1 118:17	187:10 189:22
139:14,15,2270:1973:13139:23140:280:1483:20140:4,10142:185:2286:1	187:20	121:20 123:24	191:1,2,19
	thorough 154:21	133:12 135:9	today's 56:6
	thoroughly	136:20 137:25	60:24 103:7
143:14,17,17102:15 103:18144:1,2,18107:4 114:13,14154:11,19,20151:24 156:21	120:19	139:13 141:9,17	103:23
	thought 101:17	142:18 143:25	told 36:12 60:15
	112:22,25	145:20 149:10	68:2 69:11
156:5158:11,14think 12:12,20158:15159:713:2,2220:16160:2161:6,1022:1834:23	127:24 128:1	151:24 152:8,9	77:7 82:6
	161:15 172:23	152:15,15,17,17	90:9 94:9
	177:5 182:2	158:10 167:23	103:23 123:18

tomorrow	13:8 14:21 18:2	63:21 66:6	<b>U.S</b> 27:3 30:7	116:22
103:18 161:2	51:16 88:7,10	67:16 68:15	87:22 89:25	underresourc
174:17 189:18	88:23,25	80:6 81:8	90:5 92:1	66:10 68:3
197:20	89:5 143:17	82:17 83:19	UCCRO 107:21	69:22
ton 86:9	150:12 196:8	101:16 106:4	111:13,15,17,19	underscored
tonight 36:16	transparent	125:17 126:9	111:23 132:3	51:17
72:5 73:19	17:19 45:23	137:6,22	133:11 135:6,7	understand
81:8 123:23	48:5 72:24	138:2 152:13	141:2 144:11	29:10 44:1
150:18 156:13	92:7 136:9,16	178:14	149:6,15,22	50:6 52:1
158:7 160:5,13	transportation	<b>Tuesday</b> 181:19	153:3 154:7	54:4 59:12,21
171:15,23 177:1	179:6	187:17	168:3	63:21 65:14
177:2,4,9	treat 60:4	tuning 179:21	<b>Uh-huh</b> 130:13	66:7 69:6
181:18,24	treated 89:24	turn 4:10 5:21	155:20 197:11	72:19 77:23
187:16 190:4	tremendous	8:11,13 42:10	ultimate 11:6	81:8 82:1 83:3
195:16,24	141:10	122:22 126:5	16:7	92:18 100:9
196:12,15,16	trends 125:5	turned 11:4	ultimately 41:6	115:19 121:16
tool 77:8,11	190:24	turnout 110:20	umbrella 93:21	123:20 137:1
84:18,21 85:10	triangle 147:10	tutorial 114:20	un-83:22	149:23,23
tools 84:25	148:5,16 151:9	Twenty-two	unable 1:12	150:15 175:15
172:14	164:3 167:17	105:22	188:4	184:11 187:12
top 22:13 50:18	triple 34:1	twice 24:5	unaccountable	194:23 196:11
64:2	triple-tried	twilight 88:4	85:7	understanding
topic 72:12	84:21	two 10:4 12:5	unanswered	81:19 83:14,23
196:2	troublesome	22:23 25:22	132:17	84:4 85:13
total 6:3 16:16	120:5	27:16 33:5		101:25 184:21
32:5,22 35:3	truck 130:7	51:8,10 56:20	unconvincing 172:3	undertaken 12:1
59:5 79:5	true 2:23 54:11	60:5 72:22	underage 80:2	72:25
totally 44:8	56:16 77:21	73:20 88:6,8	undercount	undertook 6:1
Town 151:18	86:6 120:11	104:18 111:19	48:11 57:8	undocumented
track 51:16	138:12,17	115:9 117:11,12	69:19 120:9	77:11
125:5 132:21	160:13 170:1	135:21 137:14	undercounted	unequal 88:21
138:3	truly 12:23	141:24 151:13	49:5 66:8	90:3
tracks 132:23	136:9	151:20,21	undercounting	unfolding 72:14
training 84:25	Trump's 80:17	196:22	34:22 57:10,11	unfortunately
transcribed 1:12	trust 89:4 110:13	two-minute	64:8,9	92:16 97:25
1:18 198:7	trusted 18:19	144:4	undercounts	103:20 119:16
transcriber 1:12	48:23 67:3	two-thirds 27:7	74:14,19	121:24 172:3
transcript 1:12	76:7 94:23	type 44:13	underlay 166:14	
1:13	truth 32:25 78:1	156:15	underlies 24:23	2:6,8,10,11,12
	try 3:2 73:9	types 93:2	underlines	2:14,18,21,22
transcription 1:9 198:7	93:5 101:11	156:14 176:5	136:8	2:14,18,21,22
	103:18 141:13			8:15,22 9:12
transcripts 6:18 36:13	161:15 171:10	typewriting 198:9	underlying 16:19 78:2	9:16 126:12,21
transformation	176:4		132:18 136:7	
168:21		typically 25:5 38:20	138:1 188:6	unique 33:22 98:1 183:17
	trying 11:17	30.20		united 15:17
transparency 11:25,25 12:13	19:20,22,24 39:15 59:12	U	underreprese 69:22 108:20	22:6 26:6,19
11.23,23 12.13	JJ.1J JJ.1Z		05.22 100.20	22.0 20.0,13

72:8 111:1	43:3 53:22	92:16	109:6 135:17	60:23 64:1
132:3 138:15	54:3 55:18	vendor 79:21	162:18 176:14	73:7 80:9
168:15,18	56:23,25	81:20	179:23	83:21 87:17
172:25	57:14 60:1	verbally 189:3	voices 52:14,18	89:12,13 90:5
unity 107:20,21	72:15 83:3,24	verbally 185.5	79:16 80:19	131:19 133:2,6
107:25 132:2	85:9,13,13	verifiable 154:9	86:14 105:6,9	133:6,9 148:7
133:11 135:6,10	87:18 109:13	verify 43:23	105:16 106:5	148:15 151:7
135:15 141:1	109:14,23	89:5 137:7	108:8 131:2	153:11,12 170:4
168:22 169:9	110:11,11 135:11	verifying 150:6	136:10,17	171:3,10,16
	154:7 156:7	veriging 150.6 versed 155:24	179:20	
169:19,20 172:24	154.7 156.7 157:1 174:19	155:25		172:2,22 174:2 176:7
			volunteer 40:12	
university 21:13	175:14,24	version 103:25	73:24	voting-age
21:15,18,20	197:10	120:24 125:17	vote 23:14,19	176:7
22:2 31:8	useful 140:16	versus 25:21	26:8,10,12,13	vu 21:4
105:2 126:16	141:11 143:20	27:2	26:14 27:4,5	vulnerable
155:23,24	user 84:22	vestige 172:2	28:3,4,5	104:23
unmute 20:24	uses 56:2	veteran 99:10	105:16	W
124:13	<b>usually</b> 25:13	veto 14:3,6 18:11	voted 26:18	
unnecessarily	76:24	vibrancy 108:12	27:22,23	wait 15:8 42:18
77:10	<b>utilize</b> 61:22	<b>vibrant</b> 109:14	134:9	42:18 49:16
unprecedented	utilized 54:12	116:20	<b>voter</b> 79:23	52:22 83:2
90:21	<b>utilizing</b> 103:16	Vice 75:8 139:4	80:1,5 82:8	92:1115:6
unresolved	120:4 156:6	Vice-Chair	82:20 84:5	116:23,24
172:17	<b>Utopia</b> 144:24	130:24,25	96:6 110:20	117:12 134:24
<b>unsure</b> 45:20		Vice-Chairman	183:2 186:21	135:2 149:21
<b>unveil</b> 50:1	V	121:10	186:23,25	155:1
unveiled 48:6	<b>v</b> 30:21	videotape 5:14	187:18 188:15	waiting 20:1
51:17,24 95:2	Valerie 144:11,13	viewed 6:23	voters 15:21	35:25 76:18
103:12 191:1	144:23 154:11	<b>views</b> 7:24	16:9 25:14	102:22 126:15
upcoming 45:3	162:12 164:16	52:14	26:5,18,18,24	135:1,22
77:17 189:15	166:11 169:7,21	Village 148:3	27:4,14,14,21	walk 37:5 64:5
upheld 120:22	validate 137:22	173:15	31:11 171:7,8	114:21
uphold 72:6	<b>Valle</b> 90:11	virtual 6:4,8	172:4,6,9,14	want 7:24 8:7
93:25	valuable 6:16	42:14 76:24	175:10 176:7	17:24 29:8
upset 152:10	84:2 186:4	195:11	176:23 188:8	37:24 44:21
upstairs 41:7	<b>Van</b> 163:1,15	virtually 12:20	votes 15:13	45:20 61:10
<b>Urbana</b> 107:13	165:14	17:10 96:11	52:18 90:13	62:23 63:2
urge 49:15	vanguard 80:14	195:21	voting 15:18	66:11 71:19
52:20 80:24	vans 130:7	visual 100:12	17:21 21:5	77:24 81:25
87:20 88:19	various 32:17	vitae 36:21	23:18 24:16	84:1,3 96:5
urged 76:15	128:14 135:25	vital 94:3	24:20 25:1,13	97:20 98:20
urgency 122:6	149:24 156:14	voice 10:25	25:18,25 26:1	100:7 102:14
urges 91:9	184:19	67:17 75:16	27:7 28:16,23	110:4,5 113:3
use 15:11 29:9	varying 32:22	91:2 97:18	29:1,5,19	114:2,16 115:21
30:11,16,19	78:22	105:8,24	31:20 35:2	115:22,23,24
31:15,16 33:6	<b>Vega</b> 87:3,4,8	106:2 107:5,18	41:1 50:10,18	116:16 117:24
38:3 42:7	91:16,20,25	107:22 107:5,18	50:22 54:6	121:9,16
50.5 42.7	00,20,20	107.22 100.13	JU.ZZ J4.0	,

122:20 123:2	ways 136:11	13:4 18:5	well-known	wishing 72:13
123:6 124:18	148:14	38:23 41:18	124:25	withhold 16:13
124:23 125:8	we'll 8:13 18:20	41:22 60:15	went 7:1 16:4	witness 15:10,10
127:4,24	35:19 42:12	64:12,13 66:7	27:18 58:21	20:3,10 36:22
130:18 138:7	45:24 60:15	66:8,10 73:20	68:6 103:1,2	36:25 37:4,6
141:13,22	62:16 87:2	74:12 76:10	141:9 151:18	41:11,23 42:12
144:5,6 145:18	113:9 115:14	80:9 82:2	187:10 194:5	42:16 44:15
149:2 154:22	116:2 124:5	92:2 104:18	weren't 39:21	45:13 54:10
160:3 161:20	130:19 144:3	105:8,10,22	72:22 175:7	62:18 63:4
167:19 168:9	144:10 164:13	110:11 120:3	196:14	75:3 77:1 81:9
168:12 169:3	174:12,15	127:13 131:14	west 69:13	87:3 88:16
169:14 176:3	177:16 179:23	145:22 146:7	97:12 148:25	93:2,14 103:14
180:5,9 186:2	180:25 181:21	150:13 151:5	151:16 165:7	104:10 118:11
wanted 53:11		151:20,21		
	we're 9:14 11:20	,	168:14 178:17	124:6 130:20
63:16 94:10	19:12,17,24	154:2 171:14	178:22 179:1,1	140:7,9 143:2
111:17 112:6	20:16 34:3,19	173:15 174:16	western 149:1	154:20 167:24
113:6 136:25	38:6 41:20	175:18 176:14	whatsoever 11:6	170:16 182:1,1,1
137:1,5 139:12	45:9 57:17,18	177:11 184:7	white 22:3	182:6 188:3,4
139:14 144:21	59:6,8,12	188:9 189:2	23:10,25 25:6	188:4 190:23
146:13 160:20	62:23 72:4	190:18 192:5	25:15,16,18,21	197:7
wanting 17:18	80:8 82:14	193:7	25:25 26:1,8	witness's 102:16
67:25 117:13	83:15 85:4,18	website 7:3	26:10,12,14,18	102:20
wants 20:10	93:5 102:18	100:14 127:8	26:24 27:4,7	witnessed 30:2
46:11 162:10	103:10,16,17,17	149:13	27:16,19,21,25	witnesses 7:9
191:16	104:21,23	websites 51:4	28:3,19 66:21	8:21 12:23
ward 68:18,19	110:3,20,20	127:14	67:7,12,14	15:23 19:3,8,9
68:21,21	113:1 116:14,22	weeds 156:20	white/black	19:15 20:19
wards 68:20	117:16 122:5	week 9:22	25:13	45:19 47:11
warning 57:4	123:23 132:25	112:15,23,23	whites 27:17	59:20 60:19
warns 32:22	138:21 139:5,9	120:18 122:6	<b>who've</b> 115:5	61:15 62:4
<b>Warsaw</b> 106:19	142:13,17	<b>week's</b> 50:4	whoever's	73:19,20,24
Washington	147:16,16	weekend 65:8	115:15	102:21 104:14
21:13	149:13 150:11	150:16	wholly 60:19	122:9 141:16
wasn't 57:7	151:20 152:16	weeks 38:25	whopping 24:21	142:2,5
waste 195:19	153:3 163:5	41:18 52:4	widely 90:4	143:20 174:13
watching 163:16	165:19 166:17	72:22 141:22	willing 47:10	174:17 177:18
way 3:13 17:22	168:2 169:11,11	175:1 190:1	49:7 92:7	180:9 181:12
17:23,23 31:24	169:12,13,18	196:13	157:7 184:22	181:20 190:21
32:19 80:25	171:7 172:4,5	weeks' 51:8	willingness	193:25 194:7
85:7,10 89:4	175:1,1,2	88:6	43:7	195:15,17
94:1,19 108:22	176:21,23	weigh 16:22	Wilson 178:5	won 22:8 28:18
120:24 128:21	177:1,7,15	52:1 177:18	window 12:14	wonder 83:13
130:10 148:1	178:3 180:12	188:23	195:8	83:22 97:1
150:6,13,18	180:24 182:13	weight 122:7	winning 27:5	wonderful
152:22 159:21	194:10 196:12	Welch 112:1	29:3	149:11 157:20
166:1 175:7	196:23,24	welcome 9:20	wins 28:1,2	158:9 161:23
195:3	we've 11:24,24	141:6 191:25	wish 39:7 80:19	wondering 131:7

160:4 189:21	worship 106:13	178:17 189:10	<b>14</b> 34:12,23	<b>2009</b> 33:9 57:1
191:22	worst 14:4	194:14,15	178:17	<b>2010</b> 33:9,22
woods 100:10	worth 101:5	195:3	<b>140,000</b> 32:1	34:4,24 35:6
word 18:13 42:3	wouldn't 34:14	<b>Yep</b> 46:9 56:10	120:10	40:14,19 57:1
47:3	64:5 71:7	116:12,18	<b>146</b> 90:2	60:4 131:10
words 1:12 13:4	158:6 194:19	130:23 139:25	<b>14th</b> 135:8	146:5
45:21 70:8,14	written 6:15,18	144:9 160:25	<b>15</b> 34:19 153:19	<b>2011</b> 24:25
70:17,21 71:2	8:5	yesterday 51:6	<b>15th</b> 95:10,17	25:24 29:7
78:16 89:15	wrong 69:3	<b>Yogi</b> 21:3	98:24 99:1,3	44:7 89:13
101:23 127:25	116:1	York 22:9	99:6	133:7 153:12
180:17 181:13	wrongdoing	<b>Young</b> 75:5,6	<b>16</b> 153:19,20,21	172:23 173:5
181:14	18:15	75:12 81:3,7,13	16th 95:9,10,14	<b>2012</b> 26:22
work 22:1 28:13	wrote 75:6	81:25 82:9	96:2,24	<b>2013</b> 131:11
32:11 40:15		83:6,11 84:1	98:23,23	<b>2015</b> 27:25
51:21 74:1,9	X	85:15 132:8	99:2,6,9	55:24
107:10 109:11			<b>17</b> 143:3 192:6	2015-to-2019
110:21,22	<u> </u>	Z	<b>17th</b> 98:23 99:2	135:12
118:23 123:21	<b>yeah</b> 11:16 33:4	<b>zero</b> 108:17	99:6	<b>2016</b> 27:3 134:9
127:5 136:19	36:3 46:20	116:20 120:1,1	<b>18</b> 26:10 33:20	<b>2017</b> 56:3
136:20 171:7	99:19,20	<b>zip</b> 109:19	18th 96:10,25	<b>2019</b> 27:11,21
172:4 178:18	112:11 113:5	<b>Zoom</b> 77:3	99:2,7	55:21,24
178:20	116:8 122:4	195:5	<b>1928</b> 23:13	146:5
worked 31:9	126:24 127:2		<b>1964</b> 89:25	<b>2020</b> 31:21 34:4
34:18 67:4	139:4,22 155:1	0	153:11	52:22 55:18
73:4 107:20	155:7 158:23	<b>0</b> 58:1	<b>1973</b> 21:21	56:19 60:1,1,6
108:24 116:24	163:12,12,18,19	084-003383	<b>1980</b> 90:7	75:17 89:6
131:10,14	163:24 165:13	1:18	<b>1982</b> 90:10	110:17 120:8
155:22	166:9,12 167:1		<b>1990</b> 30:22 31:5	<b>2021</b> 1:4,10
working 36:16	176:11 181:15	1	<b>1996</b> 126:16	109:10 121:2
51:14 72:11	<b>year</b> 22:2 32:1	<b>1</b> 146:16	<b>1998</b> 131:14	134:16 171:18
81:17 82:15	75:24 78:4	<b>1.8</b> 33:14	<b>19th</b> 68:18,19	172:8
101:17 106:3,8	110:14,14 172:8	<b>10</b> 32:10,12	<b>1st</b> 55:18	<b>2025</b> 198:21
111:3 170:24	years 18:16 21:7	34:19 56:22		<b>2030</b> 110:18
171:8 190:11	21:9,20 34:19	145:15 146:1	2	<b>21</b> 198:21
works 22:21	39:22,24	<b>100</b> 22:15 34:23	<b>2</b> 33:16 133:14	<b>21st</b> 6:21 132:17
85:10 87:12	41:22 54:11,14	41:1	134:7	190:1
108:22 168:24	56:4,5,7 72:12	<b>102</b> 58:22	2,000 6:12 58:3	<b>22</b> 105:21,24
world 72:24	74:10 75:14	<b>11</b> 26:7 146:17	<b>2.3</b> 33:15	<b>23</b> 147:17
128:19	84:13 90:2,19	153:22	<b>20</b> 21:9 82:13	<b>24</b> 134:6 147:19
world's 22:15	92:11 110:15	<b>110</b> 34:12	200 58:1 96:5,7	<b>24th</b> 189:23,25
worlds 151:21	124:24 125:7	<b>114</b> 138:25	<b>2000</b> 33:22	<b>25</b> 1:4 169:19
worried 33:6	128:8,24	<b>12</b> 21:23 146:18	40:14,16,19	<b>26</b> 29:1
80:18	129:13,21	148:25	2001 44:7	<b>28</b> 1:10
worries 137:16	131:16 133:9,10	<b>12:43</b> 12:2	<b>2005</b> 33:9	<b>29</b> 145:5
worry 32:14	140:20 141:9	<b>13</b> 131:22	34:16 57:1	
175:23	145:9,20,21	153:20,21,23	<b>2008</b> 22:3 26:3	3
worse 173:1	148:4 170:24	153:24	26:16	<b>3</b> 33:17 56:12

г

	I	1	1
148:19	85:5 145:9	9	
<b>3.5</b> 31:25	148:13,18	<b>9</b> 21:22 24:21	
<b>30</b> 12:3 41:20	153:15,22	33:18 145:15	
48:3 77:17	170:24 194:14	145:19 146:1	
145:21	<b>500</b> 95:21	146:24 147:25	
<b>30th</b> 11:2 78:4	<b>51</b> 26:21 33:19	<b>9,000,000</b> 76:1	
190:17	<b>51.5</b> 32:23	<b>9:00</b> 181:19	
<b>314</b> 1:20	<b>55</b> 78:6 148:18	187:16	
<b>31st</b> 191:14	153:23,24	<b>90</b> 28:8	
<b>32</b> 28:5	186:19	<b>94-171</b> 87:23	
<b>35,000</b> 75:14	<b>57</b> 26:10	89:7	
<b>36.6</b> 32:22	<b>58</b> 28:3 33:15	<b>95</b> 133:12	
<b>37</b> 33:19			
<b>376</b> 80:12	6	95th 127:22	
<b>39</b> 26:9	<b>6:00</b> 189:21	<b>990s</b> 13:19,19	
<b>396</b> 138:20	194:8	131:9	
330 130.20	<b>60</b> 27:20 52:6	<b>9th</b> 96:10	
4	94:19,20		
<b>4</b> 33:17 34:6	<b>63101</b> 1:20		
148:19	<b>64</b> 128:18		
4/30/2021	644-2191 1:20		
32:16	<b>65,000</b> 74:20		
<b>4:00</b> 189:18	<b>66</b> 28:2 128:18		
<b>40</b> 28:17 90:19	6th 68:21		
105:20 119:10	6UNIDENTIFI		
145:21 177:5	9:8		
195:19	5.0		
<b>400,000</b> 34:6	7		
<b>400,000</b> 34.0 <b>41</b> 26:24 27:4	7:00 115:8		
<b>41</b> 20.24 27.4 <b>41,877</b> 48:14	<b>7:30</b> 13:6 49:25		
•	65:21		
65:5 66:6,18	<b>7:34</b> 143:6		
71:10	700,000 32:3		
<b>42,000</b> 120:9	<b>711</b> 1:19		
<b>43</b> 26:17	<b>76</b> 33:16		
<b>43,000</b> 56:16	7th 135:8		
<b>45</b> 10:5 19:20	701133.0		
76:23 105:21	8		
<b>46</b> 6:3 51:20	8,000,000		
148:9,17	30:25		
<b>48</b> 21:20	8:00 165:23		
	8:45 181:19		
5			
<b>5</b> 145:16 146:2	<b>85</b> 22:14		
146:13,14,14	<b>86</b> 145:8		
<b>5-25-21</b> 2:2	<b>88</b> 33:17		
181:3,6 197:23	8th 68:21 96:2		
<b>50</b> 26:11,25			
	l	I	I